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## Dataset: ETSU Office of Planning and Analysis

| Year | \# Fresh. | \# Soph. | \# Jun. | \# Sen. | Total | New Fr. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2000 | 2615 | 1763 | 1840 | 2612 | 8830 | 1467 |
| 2001 | 2646 | 1780 | 1789 | 2771 | 8986 | 1488 |
| 2002 | 2600 | 1761 | 1884 | 2753 | 8998 | 1476 |
| 2003 | 2622 | 1820 | 1900 | 2879 | 9221 | 1474 |
| 2004 | 2544 | 1862 | 1941 | 3008 | 9355 | 1569 |
| 2005 | 2659 | 1773 | 1978 | 3076 | 9486 | 1484 |
| 2006 | 2842 | 1997 | 1972 | 3084 | 9895 | 1569 |
| 2007 | 3020 | 1972 | 2158 | 3120 | 10270 | 1717 |
| 2008 | 3081 | 2082 | 2183 | 3308 | 10654 | 1924 |
| 2009 | 3197 | 2273 | 2293 | 3523 | 11286 | 1924 |
| 2010 | 3277 | 2281 | 2447 | 3804 | 11809 | 2033 |
| 2011 | 3333 | 2291 | 2565 | 3949 | 12138 | 2052 |
| 2012 | 3213 | 2090 | 2454 | 4071 | 11828 | 2105 |

## Matrix Models: Terminology

## Demography or Ecology

- Age group
(juvenile, adult, etc.)
- Survival
- Birth or Immigration
- Living
- Death


## Student Enrollment

- Student classification (F, S, J, U)
- Retention
- Recruitment
- Enrolled
- Departure


## Matrix Model

- The state variable denotes the size of each student classification at a time point
- Denote the number of freshmen, sophomores, juniors, and seniors at year n : $\mathbf{x}_{1}(n), \mathbf{x}_{2}(n), \mathbf{x}_{3}(n), \mathbf{x}_{4}(n)$
- The general form of the matrix models is $\mathbf{x}(n+1)=\mathbf{A x}(n)+\mathbf{b}(n)$


## Models 1 and 2

- Model 1

$$
\mathbf{x}(n+1)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} \\
p_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}(n)
$$

- Model 2

$$
\mathbf{x}(n+1)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
p_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}(n)+\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1}(n)+\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1} n \\
\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2} n \\
\alpha_{3}+\beta_{3} n \\
\alpha_{4}+\beta_{4} n
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Models 3 and 4

- Model 3

$$
\mathbf{x}(n+1)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
p_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}(n)+\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1}(n) \\
\alpha_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \\
\alpha_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Model 4

$$
\mathbf{x}(n+1)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
p_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}(n)+\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{1}(n) \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Model 5

## - Model 5

$$
\mathbf{x}(n+1)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
p_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{x}(n)+\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1} n \\
\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2} n \\
\alpha_{3}+\beta_{3} n \\
\alpha_{4}+\beta_{4} n
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Vector Ordinary Least Squares

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\min _{\theta}\left[\omega_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{13}\left(x_{1}(n, \theta)-F_{n}\right)^{2}+\omega_{2} \sum_{n=1}^{13}\left(x_{2}(n, \theta)-S_{n}\right)^{2}+\right. \\
\left.\omega_{3} \sum_{n=1}^{13}\left(x_{3}(n, \theta)-J_{n}\right)^{2}+\omega_{4} \sum_{n=1}^{13}\left(x_{4}(n, \theta)-U_{n}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{array}
$$

- Goal: minimize sum of weighted sums of squares
- Genetic Algorithms
- Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS)


## OLS Results - Best Fit Solutions




## Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)

- Provides a means to quantify the quality of a statistical model
$\operatorname{AIC}=N \nu \ln \left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\mathbf{y}_{j}-\mathbf{f}\left(t_{j} ; \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{\text {oLs }}\right)\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{y}_{j}-\mathbf{f}\left(t_{j} ; \hat{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathrm{oLs}}\right)\right)}{N \nu}\right)+2\left(\kappa_{q}+1\right)$
- We want to use the model with the lowest AIC score

|  | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIC Score | 682.546 | 493.031 | 686.904 | 732.842 | 491.751 |

## Residual Plots of Selected Model Under AIC

Best Fit Plot


Model 5 - Proportional Best Fit


## Residual Plot



Model 5 - Proportional Residuals


## Bootstrap Sampling



## Estimates from Bootstrap Sampling

- Parameter Estimates and Standard Error

| Parameter | Estimate | Standard Error |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| F to S probability | 0.251 | 0.002 |
| S to J probability | 0.291 | 0.002 |
| J to U probability | 0.368 | 0.003 |
| F flat recruitment | 2369.702 | 1.026 |
| F yearly increase | 84.673 | 0.141 |
| S flat recruitment | 1072.319 | 4.462 |
| S yearly increase | 31.967 | 0.199 |
| J flat recruitment | 1192.494 | 2.905 |
| J yearly increase | 56.648 | 0.141 |
| U flat recruitment | 1880.667 | 5.462 |
| U yearly increase | 97.719 | 0.275 |

## Calculating the Student Life Table

- Retention Probability: $p_{x}=\hat{p}_{i}$
- Departure Probability: $q_{x}=1-\hat{p}_{i}$
- Number Enrolled: $\quad l_{x}=1000 \prod_{1}^{i-1} \hat{p}_{i}$
- Departure distribution: $d_{x}=l_{x} q_{x}$

$$
L_{x}=l_{x}-\left(1-a_{x}\right) d_{x}, \quad T_{x}=\sum_{i=x}^{4} L_{i}, \quad e_{x}=\frac{T_{x}}{l_{x}}
$$

## Life Table Estimates

|  | Freshman | Sophomore | Junior | Senior |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Probability | 0.251 | 0.291 | 0.368 | 0.000 |
| Departure Probability | 0.749 | 0.709 | 0.632 | 1.000 |
| \# Enrolled in Student Class | 1000.000 | 251.431 | 73.226 | 26.953 |
| Departure Distribution | 748.569 | 178.205 | 46.273 | 26.953 |
| Person-years Enrolled | 513.430 | 162.329 | 50.090 | 0.083 |
| Person-years Remaining | 725.932 | 212.501 | 50.173 | 0.083 |
| Remaining Time Enrolled | 0.726 | 0.845 | 0.685 | 0.003 |
| Average Years in Class | 0.350 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.003 |

## Discussion

- Extremely high departure rates indicate very few students graduate after four years spending one year in each student class
- Is the use of fixed demographic parameters valid for college enrollment?
- Are the assumptions too strong to find valuable qualities in the dynamics of student enrollment?


## Future Work

- Sensitivity analysis on the Model and the Life Table?
- Deeper analysis on Life Table values?
- Allow more time for GA convergence?
- More models?
- Look for more data?
- Other school systems?
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