# Linear Algebra

#### **Chapter 5: Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors** Section 5.2. Diagonalization—Proofs of Theorems



### Table of contents

- 1 Theorem 5.2. Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of A
- 2 Corollary 1. A Criterion for Diagonalization
- 3 Corollary 2. Computation of  $A^k$ 
  - Example 5.2.A
- 5 Theorem 5.3. Independence of Eigenvectors
- 6 Page 315 Number 6
- Page 315 Number 18
- Page 315 Number 10
- Page 316 Number 22
- Page 316 Number 24
- Page 316 Number 26

#### Theorem 5.2. Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of A.

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix and let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  be (possibly complex) scalars and  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  be nonzero vectors in *n*-space. Let C be the  $n \times n$  matrix having  $\vec{v_j}$  as *j*th column vector and let

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Then AC = CD if and only if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  are eigenvalues of A and  $\vec{v_j}$  is an eigenvector of A corresponding to  $\lambda_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

Theorem 5.2. Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of A

# Theorem 5.2 (continued)

Proof. We have

$$CD = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vec{v_1} & \vec{v_2} & \cdots & \vec{v_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \lambda_1 \vec{v_1} & \lambda_2 \vec{v_2} & \cdots & \lambda_n \vec{v_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$
Also,  $AC = A \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vec{v_1} & \vec{v_2} & \cdots & \vec{v_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}$ . Therefore,  $AC = CD$  if and only if  $A\vec{v_j} = \lambda_j \vec{v_j}$ .

Linear Algebra

# Corollary 1. A Criterion for Diagonalization.

An  $n \times n$  matrix A is diagonalizable if and only if n-space has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of A.

**Proof.** Suppose A is diagonalizable. Then by Definition 5.3, "Diagonalizable Matrix,"  $C^{-1}AC = D$  for some invertible  $n \times n$  matrix C.

#### Corollary 1. A Criterion for Diagonalization.

An  $n \times n$  matrix A is diagonalizable if and only if *n*-space has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of A.

**Proof.** Suppose A is diagonalizable. Then by Definition 5.3, "Diagonalizable Matrix,"  $C^{-1}AC = D$  for some invertible  $n \times n$  matrix C. Then  $C(C^{-1}AC) = CD$  or AC = CD and so by Theorem 5.3, "Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of A," the *j*th column of C is an eigenvector of A corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ , where the *n* (possibly complex) eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ .

#### Corollary 1. A Criterion for Diagonalization.

An  $n \times n$  matrix A is diagonalizable if and only if *n*-space has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of A.

**Proof.** Suppose A is diagonalizable. Then by Definition 5.3, "Diagonalizable Matrix,"  $C^{-1}AC = D$  for some invertible  $n \times n$  matrix C. Then  $C(C^{-1}AC) = CD$  or AC = CD and so by Theorem 5.3, "Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of A," the *j*th column of C is an eigenvector of A corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ , where the *n* (possibly complex) eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ . Since C is invertible then by Theorem 1.12, "Conditions for  $A^{-1}$  to Exist" (see part (v)) the span of the column vectors of C span *n*-space (that is, span either  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as addressed in Theorem 1.12, or span  $\mathbb{C}^n$  if we use complex scalars; we need a result like Theorem 1.12 valid in the complex setting, but such a result holds).

#### Corollary 1. A Criterion for Diagonalization.

An  $n \times n$  matrix A is diagonalizable if and only if *n*-space has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of A.

**Proof.** Suppose A is diagonalizable. Then by Definition 5.3, "Diagonalizable Matrix,"  $C^{-1}AC = D$  for some invertible  $n \times n$  matrix C. Then  $C(C^{-1}AC) = CD$  or AC = CD and so by Theorem 5.3, "Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of A," the *j*th column of C is an eigenvector of A corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ , where the *n* (possibly complex) eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ . Since C is invertible then by Theorem 1.12, "Conditions for  $A^{-1}$  to Exist" (see part (v)) the span of the column vectors of C span *n*-space (that is, span either  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as addressed in Theorem 1.12, or span  $\mathbb{C}^n$  if we use complex scalars; we need a result like Theorem 1.12 valid in the complex setting, but such a result holds).

# Corollary 1 (continued)

**Proof (continued).** Since *n*-space is dimension *n* and the column vectors of *C* form a set of *n* vectors which span *n*-space then the vectors must be linearly independent (by Theorem 2.3(3a), "Existence and Determination of Bases") and so are a basis for *n*-space by Definition 3.6, "Basis of a Vector Space."

Conversely, suppose *n*-space has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of A, say  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  where  $\vec{v_j}$  is an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ . Then by Definition 3.6, "Basis of a Vector Space," the vectors are linearly independent. So if we form matrix C where the *j*th column of C is  $\vec{v_j}$  then C is invertible by Theorem 1.12, "Conditions for  $A^{-1}$  to Exist."

# Corollary 1 (continued)

**Proof (continued).** Since *n*-space is dimension *n* and the column vectors of *C* form a set of *n* vectors which span *n*-space then the vectors must be linearly independent (by Theorem 2.3(3a), "Existence and Determination of Bases") and so are a basis for *n*-space by Definition 3.6, "Basis of a Vector Space."

Conversely, suppose *n*-space has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of *A*, say  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_n$  where  $\vec{v}_j$  is an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ . Then by Definition 3.6, "Basis of a Vector Space," the vectors are linearly independent. So if we form matrix *C* where the *j*th column of *C* is  $\vec{v}_j$  then *C* is invertible by Theorem 1.12, "Conditions for  $A^{-1}$  to Exist." By Theorem 5.2, "Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of *A*," with *D* as a diagonal matrix with  $d_{jj} = \lambda_j$ , then AC = CD. Since *C* is invertible,  $C^{-1}AC = C^{-1}CD$  or  $C^{-1}AC = D$ . So *A* is diagonalizable.

# Corollary 1 (continued)

**Proof (continued).** Since *n*-space is dimension *n* and the column vectors of *C* form a set of *n* vectors which span *n*-space then the vectors must be linearly independent (by Theorem 2.3(3a), "Existence and Determination of Bases") and so are a basis for *n*-space by Definition 3.6, "Basis of a Vector Space."

Conversely, suppose *n*-space has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of *A*, say  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_n$  where  $\vec{v}_j$  is an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$ . Then by Definition 3.6, "Basis of a Vector Space," the vectors are linearly independent. So if we form matrix *C* where the *j*th column of *C* is  $\vec{v}_j$  then *C* is invertible by Theorem 1.12, "Conditions for  $A^{-1}$  to Exist." By Theorem 5.2, "Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of *A*," with *D* as a diagonal matrix with  $d_{jj} = \lambda_j$ , then AC = CD. Since *C* is invertible,  $C^{-1}AC = C^{-1}CD$  or  $C^{-1}AC = D$ . So *A* is diagonalizable.

#### Corollary 2. Computation of $A^k$ .

Let an  $n \times n$  matrix A have n eigenvectors and eigenvalues, giving rise to the matrices C and D so that AC = CD, as described in Theorem 5.2. If the eigenvectors are independent, then C is an invertible matrix and  $C^{-1}AC = D$ . Under these conditions, we have  $A^k = CD^kC^{-1}$ .

**Proof.** By Corollary 1, if the eigenvectors of A are independent, then A is diagonalizable and so C is invertible. Now consider

$$A^{k} = \underbrace{(CDC^{-1})(CDC^{-1})\cdots(CDC^{-1})}_{k \text{ factors}}$$
  
=  $CD(C^{-1}C)D(C^{-1}C)D(C^{-1}C)\cdots(C^{-1}C)DC^{-1}$   
=  $CDIDID\cdots IDC^{-1}$   
=  $C\underbrace{DDD\cdots}_{k \text{ factors}}C^{-1} = CD^{k}C^{-1}$ 

#### Corollary 2. Computation of $A^k$ .

Let an  $n \times n$  matrix A have n eigenvectors and eigenvalues, giving rise to the matrices C and D so that AC = CD, as described in Theorem 5.2. If the eigenvectors are independent, then C is an invertible matrix and  $C^{-1}AC = D$ . Under these conditions, we have  $A^k = CD^kC^{-1}$ .

**Proof.** By Corollary 1, if the eigenvectors of A are independent, then A is diagonalizable and so C is invertible. Now consider

$$A^{k} = \underbrace{(CDC^{-1})(CDC^{-1})\cdots(CDC^{-1})}_{k \text{ factors}}$$
  
=  $CD(C^{-1}C)D(C^{-1}C)D(C^{-1}C)\cdots(C^{-1}C)DC^{-1}$   
=  $CDIDID\cdots IDC^{-1}$   
=  $C\underbrace{DDD\cdots}_{k \text{ factors}}C^{-1} = CD^{k}C^{-1}$ 

### Example 5.2.A

**Example 5.2.A.** Diagonalize  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -6 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and calculate  $A^k$ . **Solution.** We have  $A - \lambda \mathcal{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -6 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 - \lambda & -3 \\ -6 & 2 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ . So the

characteristic polynomial is

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 5 - \lambda & -3 \\ -6 & 2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

 $= (5-\lambda)(2-\lambda) - (-3)(-6) = 10 - 7\lambda + \lambda^2 - 18 = \lambda^2 - 7\lambda - 8 = (\lambda+1)(\lambda-8).$ 

#### Example 5.2.A

1 4 /

**Example 5.2.A.** Diagonalize  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -6 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and calculate  $A^k$ .

Solution. We have 
$$A - \lambda \mathcal{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -6 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 - \lambda & -3 \\ -6 & 2 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$
. So the characteristic polynomial is

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 5 - \lambda & -3 \\ -6 & 2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

 $= (5-\lambda)(2-\lambda) - (-3)(-6) = 10 - 7\lambda + \lambda^2 - 18 = \lambda^2 - 7\lambda - 8 = (\lambda+1)(\lambda-8).$ 

So the eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1 = -1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 8$ . To find the eigenvectors corresponding to each eigenvalue we consider the formula  $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$  or  $(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ .

#### Example 5.2.A

**Example 5.2.A.** Diagonalize  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -6 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and calculate  $A^k$ .

**Solution.** We have  $A - \lambda \mathcal{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ -6 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 - \lambda & -3 \\ -6 & 2 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ . So the characteristic polynomial is

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 5 - \lambda & -3 \\ -6 & 2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

 $= (5-\lambda)(2-\lambda) - (-3)(-6) = 10 - 7\lambda + \lambda^2 - 18 = \lambda^2 - 7\lambda - 8 = (\lambda+1)(\lambda-8).$ 

So the eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1 = -1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 8$ . To find the eigenvectors corresponding to each eigenvalue we consider the formula  $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$  or  $(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ .

# Example 5.2.A (continued 1)

**Solution (continued).**  $\underline{\lambda_1 = -1}$ . With  $\vec{v_1} = [v_1, v_2]$  an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = -1$  we need  $(A - (-1)\mathcal{I})\vec{v_1} = \vec{0}$ . So we consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 - (-1) & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & 2 - (-1) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & 3 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2 + R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -3 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example 5.2.A (continued 1)

Solution (continued).  $\underline{\lambda_1 = -1}$ . With  $\vec{v_1} = [v_1, v_2]$  an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = -1$  we need  $(A - (-1)\mathcal{I})\vec{v_1} = \vec{0}$ . So we consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 - (-1) & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & 2 - (-1) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & 3 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2 + R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -3 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

a free variable,  $\begin{array}{cc} v_1 &= & r \\ v_2 &= & 2r \end{array}$ . So the collection of all eigenvectors of  $\lambda_1 = -1$  is  $\vec{v_1} = r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  where  $r \in \mathbb{R}, r \neq 0$ .

# Example 5.2.A (continued 1)

Solution (continued).  $\underline{\lambda_1 = -1}$ . With  $\vec{v_1} = [v_1, v_2]$  an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = -1$  we need  $(A - (-1)\mathcal{I})\vec{v_1} = \vec{0}$ . So we consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 - (-1) & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & 2 - (-1) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & 3 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2 + R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -3 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

a free variable,  $\begin{array}{ccc} v_1 &=& r\\ v_2 &=& 2r \end{array}$ . So the collection of all eigenvectors of  $\lambda_1 = -1$  is  $\vec{v}_1 = r \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  where  $r \in \mathbb{R}, r \neq 0$ .

# Example 5.2.A (continued 2)

#### Solution (continued).

 $\lambda_2 = 8$ . As above, we consider  $(A - 8I)\vec{v}_2 = \vec{0}$  and consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 - (8) & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & 2 - (8) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & -6 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{R_2 \to R_2 - 2R_1}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -3 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{R_1 \to R_1/(-3)}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
So we need
$$\stackrel{v_1 + v_2 = 0}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{or}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{v_1 = -v_2}{\bigvee} \stackrel{or, with s = v_2 as a free}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{v_1 = -s}{\bigvee} \stackrel{v_2 = s}{\longrightarrow}$$

# Example 5.2.A (continued 2)

#### Solution (continued).

 $\underline{\lambda_2=8.}$  As above, we consider  $(A-8\mathcal{I})\vec{v_2}=\vec{0}$  and consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5-(8) & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & 2-(8) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & -6 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{R_2 \to R_2 - 2R_1}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -3 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{R_1 \to R_1/(-3)}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
So we need
$$\begin{array}{c} v_1 + v_2 &= & 0 \\ 0 &= & 0 \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{c} v_1 &= & -v_2 \\ v_2 &= & v_2 \end{array} \text{ or, with } s = v_2 \text{ as a free} \\ \text{variable,} \quad \begin{array}{c} v_1 &= & -s \\ v_2 &= & s \end{array}.$$
So the collection of all eigenvectors of  $\lambda_2 = 8$  is
$$\vec{v}_2 = s \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } s \in \mathbb{R}, \ s \neq 0.$$

# Example 5.2.A (continued 2)

#### Solution (continued).

So

 $\lambda_2 = 8$ . As above, we consider  $(A - 8\mathcal{I})\vec{v}_2 = \vec{0}$  and consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5-(8) & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & 2-(8) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -3 & | & 0 \\ -6 & -6 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\stackrel{R_2 \to R_2 - 2R_1}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -3 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{R_1 \to R_1/(-3)}{\longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
So we need
$$\begin{array}{c} v_1 + v_2 &= & 0 \\ 0 &= & 0 \end{array} \text{ or } \begin{array}{c} v_1 &= & -v_2 \\ v_2 &= & v_2 \end{array} \text{ or, with } s = v_2 \text{ as a free}$$
variable,
$$\begin{array}{c} v_1 &= & -s \\ v_2 &= & s \end{array}.$$
So the collection of all eigenvectors of  $\lambda_2 = 8$  is
$$\vec{v}_2 = s \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } s \in \mathbb{R}, \ s \neq 0.$$

# Example 5.2.A (continued 3)

**Solution (continued).** If we take r = s = 1 then we have the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = -1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 8$  with corresponding eigenvectors  $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$  and  $ec{v}_2 = igg| egin{array}{c} -1 \\ 1 \end{array} igg|$ , respectively. So by Theorem 5.2, "Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of A," with  $C = \begin{vmatrix} \vdots & \vdots \\ \vec{v_1} & \vec{v_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{vmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$  we have AC = CD. We find  $C^{-1}$  (by Note 1.5.A, "Computation of Inverses"):

$$\begin{bmatrix} C | \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & | & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & | & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example 5.2.A (continued 3)

**Solution (continued).** If we take r = s = 1 then we have the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = -1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 8$  with corresponding eigenvectors  $ec{v}_1 = \left| egin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \end{array} 
ight|$  and  $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{vmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$ , respectively. So by Theorem 5.2, "Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of A," with  $C = \begin{vmatrix} \vdots & \vdots \\ \vec{v_1} & \vec{v_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{vmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$  we have AC = CD. We find  $C^{-1}$  (by Note 1.5.A, "Computation of Inverses"):

$$\begin{bmatrix} C | \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & | & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2 - 2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & | & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Example 5.2.A (continued 4)

#### Solution (continued).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & | & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2/3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & | & -2/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{R_1 \to R_1 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 1 & | & -2/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix},$$
so  $C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 1/3 \\ -2/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . So  $A = CDC^{-1}$  where  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $C^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

# Example 5.2.A (continued 4)

#### Solution (continued).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & | & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2/3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & | & -2/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{R_1 \to R_1 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & 1/3 & 1/3 \\ 0 & 1 & | & -2/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix},$$
so  $C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 1/3 \\ -2/3 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . So  $\boxed{A = CDC^{-1} \text{ where}}$ 
$$\boxed{C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } C^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

# Example 5.2.A (continued 5)

Solution (continued). As in Corollary 2,

$$A^{k} = CD^{k}C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{bmatrix}^{k} \left(\frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{k} & 0 \\ 0 & 8^{k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{k} & -8^{k} \\ 2(-1)^{k} & 8^{k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} (-1)^{k} + 2(8^{k}) & (-1)^{k} - 8^{k} \\ 2(-1)^{k} - 2(8^{k}) & 2(-1)^{k} + 8^{k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

#### Theorem 5.3. Independence of Eigenvectors.

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. If  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  are eigenvectors of A corresponding to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , respectively, the set  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}\}$  is linearly independent and A is diagonalizable.

**Proof.** We prove this by contradiction. Suppose that the conclusion is false and the hypotheses are true. That is, suppose the eigenvectors  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  are linearly dependent.

#### Theorem 5.3. Independence of Eigenvectors.

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. If  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  are eigenvectors of A corresponding to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , respectively, the set  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}\}$  is linearly independent and A is diagonalizable.

**Proof.** We prove this by contradiction. Suppose that the conclusion is false and the hypotheses are true. That is, suppose the eigenvectors  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  are linearly dependent. Then one of them is a linear combination of its predecessors (see page 203 number 37). Let  $\vec{v_k}$  be the first such vector, so that

$$\vec{v_k} = d_1 \vec{v_1} + d_2 \vec{v_2} + \dots + d_{k-1} \vec{v}_{k-1}$$
(2)

and  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \dots, \vec{v_{k-1}}\}$  is independent.

#### Theorem 5.3. Independence of Eigenvectors.

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. If  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  are eigenvectors of A corresponding to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , respectively, the set  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}\}$  is linearly independent and A is diagonalizable.

**Proof.** We prove this by contradiction. Suppose that the conclusion is false and the hypotheses are true. That is, suppose the eigenvectors  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  are linearly dependent. Then one of them is a linear combination of its predecessors (see page 203 number 37). Let  $\vec{v_k}$  be the first such vector, so that

$$\vec{v_k} = d_1 \vec{v_1} + d_2 \vec{v_2} + \dots + d_{k-1} \vec{v}_{k-1}$$
(2)

and  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \dots, \vec{v_{k-1}}\}$  is independent. Multiplying (2) by  $\lambda_k$ , we obtain

$$\lambda_k \vec{v_k} = d_1 \lambda_k \vec{v_1} + d_2 \lambda_k \vec{v_2} + \dots + d_{k-1} \lambda_k \vec{v}_{k-1}.$$
(3)

#### Theorem 5.3. Independence of Eigenvectors.

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. If  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  are eigenvectors of A corresponding to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , respectively, the set  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}\}$  is linearly independent and A is diagonalizable.

**Proof.** We prove this by contradiction. Suppose that the conclusion is false and the hypotheses are true. That is, suppose the eigenvectors  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  are linearly dependent. Then one of them is a linear combination of its predecessors (see page 203 number 37). Let  $\vec{v_k}$  be the first such vector, so that

$$\vec{v_k} = d_1 \vec{v_1} + d_2 \vec{v_2} + \dots + d_{k-1} \vec{v}_{k-1}$$
(2)

and  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \dots, \vec{v_{k-1}}\}$  is independent. Multiplying (2) by  $\lambda_k$ , we obtain

$$\lambda_k \vec{v_k} = d_1 \lambda_k \vec{v_1} + d_2 \lambda_k \vec{v_2} + \dots + d_{k-1} \lambda_k \vec{v_{k-1}}.$$
(3)

# Theorem 5.3 (continued)

#### Theorem 5.3. Independence of Eigenvectors.

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. If  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  are eigenvectors of A corresponding to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , respectively, the set  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}\}$  is linearly independent and A is diagonalizable.

**Proof (continued).** Also, multiplying (2) on the left by the matrix *A* yields

$$\lambda_k \vec{v_k} = d_1 \lambda_1 \vec{v_1} + d_2 \lambda_2 \vec{v_2} + \dots + d_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} \vec{v_{k-1}}$$
(4),

since  $A\vec{v_i} = \lambda_i \vec{v_i}$ . Subtracting (4) from (3), we see that

$$ec{\mathsf{D}} = d_1(\lambda_k - \lambda_1)ec{\mathsf{v}_1} + d_2(\lambda_k - \lambda_2)ec{\mathsf{v}_2} + \cdots + d_{k-1}(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})ec{\mathsf{v}_{k-1}}.$$

But this equation is a dependence relation since not all  $d_i$ 's are 0 and the  $\lambda$ 's are hypothesized to be different. This contradicts the linear independence of the set  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_{k-1}}\}$ . This contradiction shows that  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}\}$  is independent. From Corollary 1 of Theorem 5.2 we see that A is diagonalizable.

0

# Theorem 5.3 (continued)

#### Theorem 5.3. Independence of Eigenvectors.

Let A be an  $n \times n$  matrix. If  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}$  are eigenvectors of A corresponding to distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , respectively, the set  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}\}$  is linearly independent and A is diagonalizable.

**Proof (continued).** Also, multiplying (2) on the left by the matrix *A* yields

$$\lambda_k \vec{v_k} = d_1 \lambda_1 \vec{v_1} + d_2 \lambda_2 \vec{v_2} + \dots + d_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} \vec{v_{k-1}}$$
(4),

since  $A\vec{v_i} = \lambda_i \vec{v_i}$ . Subtracting (4) from (3), we see that

$$ec{\mathcal{D}} = d_1(\lambda_k - \lambda_1)ec{v_1} + d_2(\lambda_k - \lambda_2)ec{v_2} + \cdots + d_{k-1}(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})ec{v_{k-1}}.$$

But this equation is a dependence relation since not all  $d_i$ 's are 0 and the  $\lambda$ 's are hypothesized to be different. This contradicts the linear independence of the set  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_{k-1}}\}$ . This contradiction shows that  $\{\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \ldots, \vec{v_n}\}$  is independent. From Corollary 1 of Theorem 5.2 we see that A is diagonalizable.

()

# Page 315 Number 6

**Page 315 Number 6.** Find the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  and corresponding eigenvectors  $\vec{v}_i$  of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . Find an invertible matrix C and a diagonal matrix D such that  $D = C^{-1}AC$ .

**Solution.** We show all computations and details, so this will take a while...

# Page 315 Number 6

**Page 315 Number 6.** Find the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  and corresponding eigenvectors  $\vec{v}_i$  of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . Find an invertible matrix C and a diagonal matrix D such that  $D = C^{-1}AC$ .

**Solution.** We show all computations and details, so this will take a while...

We have

$$A - \lambda \mathcal{I} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix} - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} -3 - \lambda & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 - \lambda & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Page 315 Number 6

**Page 315 Number 6.** Find the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  and corresponding eigenvectors  $\vec{v}_i$  of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . Find an invertible matrix C and a diagonal matrix D such that  $D = C^{-1}AC$ .

**Solution.** We show all computations and details, so this will take a while. . .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} - \lambda \mathcal{I} &= \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix} - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -3 - \lambda & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 - \lambda & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$
## Page 315 Number 6 (continued 1)

Solution (continued). So the characteristic polynomial is

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} -3 - \lambda & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 - \lambda & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= (-3 - \lambda) \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 8 \\ 1 & 7 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} - (5) \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 8 \\ 2 & 7 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} + (-20) \begin{vmatrix} 2 & -\lambda \\ 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= (-3 - \lambda) ((-\lambda)(7 - \lambda) - (8)(1)) - 5 ((2)(7 - \lambda) - (8)(2))$$
$$20 ((2)(1) - (-\lambda)(2)) = (-3 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 7\lambda - 8) - 5(-2\lambda - 2) - 20(2\lambda + 2)$$

# Page 315 Number 6 (continued 1)

Solution (continued). So the characteristic polynomial is

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I}) = \begin{vmatrix} -3 - \lambda & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 - \lambda & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= (-3 - \lambda) \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 8 \\ 1 & 7 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} - (5) \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 8 \\ 2 & 7 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} + (-20) \begin{vmatrix} 2 & -\lambda \\ 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= (-3 - \lambda) ((-\lambda)(7 - \lambda) - (8)(1)) - 5 ((2)(7 - \lambda) - (8)(2))$$
$$-20 ((2)(1) - (-\lambda)(2)) = (-3 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 7\lambda - 8) - 5(-2\lambda - 2) - 20(2\lambda + 2)$$

$$= (-3 - \lambda)(\lambda - 8)(\lambda + 1) + 10(\lambda + 1) - 40(\lambda + 1)$$
  
=  $(\lambda + 1)((-3 - \lambda)(\lambda - 8) + 10 - 40) = (\lambda + 1)(-3\lambda + 24 - \lambda^2 + 8\lambda + 10 - 40)$   
=  $(\lambda + 1)(-\lambda^2 + 5\lambda - 6) = -(\lambda + 1)(\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 6) = -(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3).$ 

# Page 315 Number 6 (continued 1)

Solution (continued). So the characteristic polynomial is

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I}) = \begin{vmatrix} -3 - \lambda & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 - \lambda & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 - \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= (-3 - \lambda) \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 8 \\ 1 & 7 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} - (5) \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 8 \\ 2 & 7 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} + (-20) \begin{vmatrix} 2 & -\lambda \\ 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= (-3 - \lambda) ((-\lambda)(7 - \lambda) - (8)(1)) - 5 ((2)(7 - \lambda) - (8)(2))$$
$$= (-3 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 7\lambda - 8) - 5(-2\lambda - 2) - 20(2\lambda + 2)$$

$$= (-3 - \lambda)(\lambda - 8)(\lambda + 1) + 10(\lambda + 1) - 40(\lambda + 1)$$
  
=  $(\lambda + 1)((-3 - \lambda)(\lambda - 8) + 10 - 40) = (\lambda + 1)(-3\lambda + 24 - \lambda^2 + 8\lambda + 10 - 40)$   
=  $(\lambda + 1)(-\lambda^2 + 5\lambda - 6) = -(\lambda + 1)(\lambda^2 - 5\lambda + 6) = -(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3).$ 

## Page 315 Number 6 (continued 2)

**Solution (continued).** Since  $p(\lambda) = -(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)$ , then the eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1 = -1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 3$ . To find the eigenvectors corresponding to each eigenvalue we consider the formula  $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$  or  $(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ .

 $\frac{\lambda_1 = -1}{\lambda_1 = -1}$  With  $\vec{v}_1 = [v_1, v_2, v_3]$  an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = -1$  we need  $(A - \lambda I)\vec{v}_1 = \vec{0}$ .

### Page 315 Number 6 (continued 2)

**Solution (continued).** Since  $p(\lambda) = -(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)$ , then the eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1 = -1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 3$ . To find the eigenvectors corresponding to each eigenvalue we consider the formula  $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$  or  $(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ .

 $\underline{\lambda_1 = -1}$ . With  $\vec{v_1} = [v_1, v_2, v_3]$  an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\overline{\lambda_1 = -1}$  we need  $(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})\vec{v_1} = \vec{0}$ . So we consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 - (-1) & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0 - (-1) & 8 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 - (-1) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 8 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 8 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_{3 \to R_{3} - R_{2}} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 8 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} R_{2 \to R_{2} + R_{1}} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & -12 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

### Page 315 Number 6 (continued 2)

**Solution (continued).** Since  $p(\lambda) = -(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)$ , then the eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1 = -1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 3$ . To find the eigenvectors corresponding to each eigenvalue we consider the formula  $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$  or  $(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ .

 $\frac{\lambda_1 = -1}{\lambda_1 = -1}$  With  $\vec{v}_1 = [v_1, v_2, v_3]$  an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = -1$  we need  $(A - \lambda I)\vec{v}_1 = \vec{0}$ . So we consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 - (-1) & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0 - (-1) & 8 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 - (-1) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 8 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 8 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 8 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{R_3 \to R_3 - R_2} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 8 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2 + R_1} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & -12 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Page 315 Number 6 (continued 3)

#### Solution (continued).

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & -12 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2/6} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \to R_1/(-2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \to R_1/(-2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
So we need 
$$\begin{array}{c} v_1 & + & 5v_3 &= & 0 & v_1 &= & -5v_3 \\ v_2 & - & 2v_3 &= & 0 & or & v_2 &= & 2v_3 & or, \text{ with } r = v_3 \\ & 0 &= & 0 & v_3 &= & v_3 \\ v_1 &= & -5r \\ \text{as a free variable, } v_2 &= & 2r \\ v_3 &= & r \end{bmatrix}$$

## Page 315 Number 6 (continued 3)

#### Solution (continued).

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & -12 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2/6} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \to R_1/(-2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \to R_1/(-2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
So we need  $v_2 - 2v_3 = 0$  or  $v_2 = 2v_3$  or, with  $r = v_3$   
 $0 = 0$   $v_3 = v_3$   
 $v_1 = -5r$   
as a free variable,  $v_2 = 2r$ .  
 $v_3 = r$ 

## Page 315 Number 6 (continued 4)

**Solution (continued).** So the collection of all eigenvectors of  $\lambda_1 = -1$  is

	[ _5 ]	
$\vec{v}_1 = r$	2	where $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , $r \neq 0$ .
	1	

 $\underline{\lambda_2=2.}$  As above, we consider  $(A-2\mathcal{I})\vec{v}_2=\vec{0}$  and consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3-(2) & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0-(2) & 8 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 7-(2) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & 8 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\stackrel{R_1 \to R_1/(-5)}{\underset{R_2 \to R_2/2}{\longrightarrow} R_2/R_2/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{R_2 \to R_2 - R_1}{\underset{R_3 \to R_3 - 2R_1}{\longrightarrow} R_3 - 2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

### Page 315 Number 6 (continued 4)

**Solution (continued).** So the collection of all eigenvectors of  $\lambda_1 = -1$  is

	<b>[</b> −5 ]	
$\vec{v}_1 = r$	2	where $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , $r \neq 0$ .
	L 1	

 $\lambda_2 = 2$ . As above, we consider  $(A - 2\mathcal{I})\vec{v}_2 = \vec{0}$  and consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3-(2) & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0-(2) & 8 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 7-(2) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & 8 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\stackrel{R_1 \to R_1/(-5)}{\underset{R_2 \to R_2/2}{\frown R_2/2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 5 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{R_2 \to R_2 - R_1}{\underset{R_3 \to R_3 - 2R_1}{\frown R_3 \to R_3 - 2R_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Page 315 Number 6 (continued 5)

#### Solution (continued).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \to R_3/3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \to R_1 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
o we need  
$$v_1 + 3v_3 = 0 \quad v_1 = -3v_3$$
  
o we need  
$$v_2 - v_3 = 0 \text{ or } v_2 = v_3 \text{ or, with } s = v_3$$
  
$$v_1 = -3s$$
  
s a free variable,  $v_2 = s$ .  
 $v_3 = s$ 

## Page 315 Number 6 (continued 5)

#### Solution (continued).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 \to R_3/3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \to R_1 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$v_1 \qquad + 3v_3 = 0 \qquad v_1 = -3v_3$$
So we need
$$v_2 \qquad - v_3 = 0 \text{ or } v_2 = v_3 \text{ or, with } s = v_3$$

$$v_1 = -3s$$
as a free variable,
$$v_2 = s$$

$$v_3 = s$$

### Page 315 Number 6 (continued 6)

**Solution (continued).** So the collection of all eigenvectors of  $\lambda_2 = 2$  is

	[ -3 ]	
$\vec{v}_2 = s$	1	where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , $s \neq 0$ .
	L 1 _	

 $\underline{\lambda_3=3.}$  As above, we consider  $(A-3\mathcal{I})\vec{v}_3=\vec{0}$  and consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 - (3) & 5 & -20 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 - (3) & 8 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 - (3) & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 5 & -20 & 0 \\ 2 & -3 & 8 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\underbrace{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3}_{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 2 & -3 & 8 & 0 \\ -6 & 5 & -20 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - R_1}_{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 + 3R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & -8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

### Page 315 Number 6 (continued 6)

**Solution (continued).** So the collection of all eigenvectors of  $\lambda_2 = 2$  is

	[ −3 <sup>−</sup>	
$\vec{v}_2 = s$	1	where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , $s \neq 0$ .
	1	

 $\lambda_3 = 3$ . As above, we consider  $(A - 3\mathcal{I})\vec{v}_3 = \vec{0}$  and consider the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3-(3) & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 0-(3) & 8 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 7-(3) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \\ 2 & -3 & 8 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
$$\underbrace{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3}_{I \to I} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 2 & -3 & 8 & | & 0 \\ -6 & 5 & -20 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2 - R_1}_{R_3 \to R_3 + 3R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & -8 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Page 315 Number 6 (continued 7)

#### Solution (continued).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & -8 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2/(-4)} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{R_1 \to R_1 - R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 5 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_1/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5/2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{V_1} + (5/2)v_3 = 0 \quad v_1 = -(5/2)v_3$$
So we need  $v_2 - v_3 = 0$  or  $v_2 = v_3$  or, with  $0 = 0 \quad v_3 = v_3$ 
$$\xrightarrow{V_1} = -5t$$
 $t = v_3/2$  as a free variable,  $v_2 = 2t$ .

## Page 315 Number 6 (continued 7)

#### Solution (continued).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & -8 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 \to R_2/(-4)} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{R_1 \to R_1 - R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 5 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_1/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 5/2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{V_1} + (5/2)v_3 = 0 \quad v_1 = -(5/2)v_3$$
So we need  $v_2 - v_3 = 0$  or  $v_2 = v_3$  or, with  $0 = 0 \quad v_3 = v_3$ 
$$v_1 = -5t$$
$$t = v_3/2 \text{ as a free variable,} \quad v_2 = 2t$$

#### Page 315 Number 6 (continued 8)

**Solution (continued).** So the collection of all eigenvectors of  $\lambda_3 = 3$  is

	[    −5 <sup>−</sup>	
$\vec{v}_3 = t$	2	where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , $t \neq 0$ .
	2	

If we take r = s = t = 1 then we have the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = -1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 3$  with corresponding eigenvalues  $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

and 
$$\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -5\\ 2\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
, respectively.

#### Page 315 Number 6 (continued 8)

**Solution (continued).** So the collection of all eigenvectors of  $\lambda_3 = 3$  is

$$ec{v_3}=t\left[egin{array}{c} -5\\2\\2\end{array}
ight]$$
 where  $t\in\mathbb{R},\ t
eq 0.$ 

If we take r = s = t = 1 then we have the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = -1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 3$  with corresponding eigenvalues  $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

and  $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -5\\2\\2 \end{bmatrix}$ , respectively. So by Theorem 5.2, "Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of A," with  $C = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -3 & -5\\2 & 1 & 2\\1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , ...

#### Page 315 Number 6 (continued 8)

**Solution (continued).** So the collection of all eigenvectors of  $\lambda_3 = 3$  is

$$\vec{v}_3 = t \begin{bmatrix} -5\\2\\2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 where  $t \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq 0$ .

If we take r = s = t = 1 then we have the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 = -1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 2$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 3$  with corresponding eigenvalues  $\vec{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\vec{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,

and  $\vec{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -5\\2\\2 \end{bmatrix}$ , respectively. So by Theorem 5.2, "Matrix Summary of Eigenvalues of *A*," with  $C = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vec{v}_1 & \vec{v}_2 & \vec{v}_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -3 & -5 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , ...

#### Page 315 Number 6 (continued 9)

**Page 315 Number 6.** Find the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  and corresponding eigenvectors  $\vec{v}_i$  of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . Find an invertible matrix C and a diagonal matrix D such that  $D = C^{-1}AC$ .

**Solution (continued).** ... and 
$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

we have AC = CD. By Theorem 5.3, "Independence of Eigenvalues," we have that  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3$  are linearly independent vectors and A is diagonalizable (notice that C is invertible by Theorem 1.16, "The Square Case, m = n"). So  $D = C^{-1}AC$  where

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -3 & -5 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}. \square$$

#### Page 315 Number 6 (continued 9)

**Page 315 Number 6.** Find the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  and corresponding eigenvectors  $\vec{v}_i$  of  $A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 5 & -20 \\ 2 & 0 & 8 \\ 2 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ . Find an invertible matrix C and a diagonal matrix D such that  $D = C^{-1}AC$ .

**Solution (continued).** ... and 
$$D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

we have AC = CD. By Theorem 5.3, "Independence of Eigenvalues," we have that  $\vec{v_1}, \vec{v_2}, \vec{v_3}$  are linearly independent vectors and A is diagonalizable (notice that C is invertible by Theorem 1.16, "The Square Case, m = n"). So  $D = C^{-1}AC$  where

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -3 & -5 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}. \square$$

**Page 315 Number 18.** Prove that similar square matrices have the same eigenvalues with the same algebraic multiplicities.

Proof. (This is repetitious with Exercise 5.1.38.) Notice that

$$C^{-1}AC - \lambda \mathcal{I} = C^{-1}AC - \lambda C^{-1}C$$
  
=  $C^{-1}AC - C^{-1}(\lambda C)$  by Theorem 1.3.A(7),  
"Scalars Pull Through"  
=  $C^{-1}(AC - \lambda C)$  by Theorem 1.3.A(10),  
"Distribution Law of Matrix Multiplication"  
=  $C^{-1}(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})C$  by Theorem 1.3.A(10).

**Page 315 Number 18.** Prove that similar square matrices have the same eigenvalues with the same algebraic multiplicities.

Proof. (This is repetitious with Exercise 5.1.38.) Notice that

$$C^{-1}AC - \lambda \mathcal{I} = C^{-1}AC - \lambda C^{-1}C$$
  
=  $C^{-1}AC - C^{-1}(\lambda C)$  by Theorem 1.3.A(7),  
"Scalars Pull Through"  
=  $C^{-1}(AC - \lambda C)$  by Theorem 1.3.A(10),  
"Distribution Law of Matrix Multiplication"  
=  $C^{-1}(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})C$  by Theorem 1.3.A(10).

# Page 315 Number 18 (continued)

**Proof (continued).** Recall that  $det(C^{-1}) = 1/det(C)$  by Exercise 4.2.31. So the characteristic polynomial for  $C^{-1}AC$  is

$$det(C^{-1}AC - \lambda \mathcal{I}) = det(C^{-1}(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})C) \text{ as just shown}$$
  
=  $det(C^{-1})det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})det(C)$  by Theorem 4.4,  
"The Multiplicative Property"  
=  $(1/det(C))det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})det(C)$   
=  $det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I}).$ 

## Page 315 Number 18 (continued)

**Proof (continued).** Recall that  $det(C^{-1}) = 1/det(C)$  by Exercise 4.2.31. So the characteristic polynomial for  $C^{-1}AC$  is

$$det(C^{-1}AC - \lambda \mathcal{I}) = det(C^{-1}(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})C) \text{ as just shown}$$
  
=  $det(C^{-1})det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})det(C)$  by Theorem 4.4,  
"The Multiplicative Property"  
=  $(1/det(C))det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})det(C)$   
=  $det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I}).$ 

Now det $(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})$  is the characteristic polynomial of A, so A and  $C^{-1}AC$  have the same characteristic polynomials. So these polynomials have the same roots with the same multiplicities (of course) and since the eigenvalues of a matrix are the roots of the characteristic polynomial, then A and  $C^{-1}AC$  have the same eigenvalues with the same algebraic multiplicities, as claimed.

## Page 315 Number 18 (continued)

**Proof (continued).** Recall that  $det(C^{-1}) = 1/det(C)$  by Exercise 4.2.31. So the characteristic polynomial for  $C^{-1}AC$  is

$$det(C^{-1}AC - \lambda \mathcal{I}) = det(C^{-1}(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})C) \text{ as just shown}$$
  
=  $det(C^{-1})det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})det(C)$  by Theorem 4.4,  
"The Multiplicative Property"  
=  $(1/det(C))det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})det(C)$   
=  $det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I}).$ 

Now det $(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})$  is the characteristic polynomial of A, so A and  $C^{-1}AC$  have the same characteristic polynomials. So these polynomials have the same roots with the same multiplicities (of course) and since the eigenvalues of a matrix are the roots of the characteristic polynomial, then A and  $C^{-1}AC$  have the same eigenvalues with the same algebraic multiplicities, as claimed.

()

**Page 315 Number 10.** Determine whether  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  is diagonalizable.

**Solution.** First, we find the eigenvalues of *A*. Notice that  $A - \lambda \mathcal{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 - \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 - \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$  is upper triangular, so by Example 4.2.4,  $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I}) = (3 - \lambda)^3$ . So  $\lambda = 3$  is the only eigenvalue of *A* and it is of algebraic multiplicity 3.

**Page 315 Number 10.** Determine whether  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  is diagonalizable.

**Solution.** First, we find the eigenvalues of *A*. Notice that  $A - \lambda \mathcal{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 - \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 - \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ is upper triangular, so by Example 4.2.4,  $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I}) = (3 - \lambda)^3$ . So  $\lambda = 3$  is the only eigenvalue of *A* and it is of algebraic multiplicity 3. With  $\vec{v} = [v_1, v_2, v_3]^T$  as an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda = 3$ , we need  $(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ . So we consider the augmented matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3-(3) & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 3-(3) & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3-(3) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Page 315 Number 10.** Determine whether  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  is diagonalizable.

**Solution.** First, we find the eigenvalues of *A*. Notice that  $A - \lambda \mathcal{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 - \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 - \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ is upper triangular, so by Example 4.2.4,  $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda \mathcal{I}) = (3 - \lambda)^3$ . So  $\lambda = 3$  is the only eigenvalue of *A* and it is of algebraic multiplicity 3. With  $\vec{v} = [v_1, v_2, v_3]^T$  as an eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda = 3$ , we need  $(A - \lambda \mathcal{I})\vec{v} = \vec{0}$ . So we consider the augmented matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3-(3) & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 3-(3) & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3-(3) & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

# Page 315 Number 10 (continued)

Page 315 Number 10. Determine whether 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is  
diagonalizable.  
Solution (continued). So we need  
 $v_2 = 0$   $v_1 = v_1$   
 $v_3 = 0$  or  $v_2 = 0$  or,  
 $0 = 0$   $v_3 = 0$   
 $v_1 = r$   
with  $r = v_1$  as a free variable,  $v_2 = 0$ . So the collection of all  
 $v_3 = 0$   
eigenvectors of  $\lambda = 3$  is  $\vec{v} = r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  where  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \neq 0$ . But then there  
can be only one vector in a set of linearly independent eigenvectors. That  
is, the dimension of  $E_{\lambda}$  is 1 and so  $\lambda = 3$  is of geometric multiplicity 1.  
So, by Theorem 5.4, "A Criterion for Diagonalization,"  
A is not diagonalizable.

# Page 315 Number 10 (continued)

Page 315 Number 10. Determine whether 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 isdiagonalizable. $v_2 = 0$  $v_1 = v_1$ Solution (continued). So we need $v_3 = 0$  or  $v_2 = 0$  or,  
 $0 = 0$  $v_3 = 0$  $v_1 = r$ with  $r = v_1$  as a free variable, $v_2 = 0$ . So the collection of all  
 $v_3 = 0$ eigenvectors of  $\lambda = 3$  is  $\vec{v} = r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  where  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \neq 0$ . But then there  
can be only one vector in a set of linearly independent eigenvectors. That  
is, the dimension of  $E_{\lambda}$  is 1 and so  $\lambda = 3$  is of geometric multiplicity 1.  
So, by Theorem 5.4, "A Criterion for Diagonalization,"A is not diagonalizable. $\Box$ 

Linear Algebra

**Page 316 Number 22.** Let *A* and *C* be  $n \times n$  matrices, and let *C* be invertible. Prove that, if  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of *A* with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $C^{-1}AC$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Prove that all eigenvectors of  $C^{-1}AC$  are of the form  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$ , where  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of *A*.

**Proof.** If  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of A corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda$  then  $A\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v}$ .

**Page 316 Number 22.** Let *A* and *C* be  $n \times n$  matrices, and let *C* be invertible. Prove that, if  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of *A* with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $C^{-1}AC$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Prove that all eigenvectors of  $C^{-1}AC$  are of the form  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$ , where  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of *A*.

**Proof.** If  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of A corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda$  then  $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$ . So

$$(C^{-1}AC)(C^{-1}\vec{v}) = C^{-1}A(CC^{-1})\vec{v} \text{ by Theorem 1.3.A(8),}$$
  
"Associativity of Matrix Multiplication"  

$$= C^{-1}A\mathcal{I}\vec{v} = C^{-1}A\vec{v}$$
  

$$= C^{-1}(\lambda\vec{v}) = \lambda(C^{-1}\vec{v}) \text{ by Theorem 1.3.A(7),}$$
  
"Scalars Pull Through"

and so  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $C^{-1}AC$  with corresponding eigenvector  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$ , as claimed.

**Page 316 Number 22.** Let *A* and *C* be  $n \times n$  matrices, and let *C* be invertible. Prove that, if  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of *A* with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $C^{-1}AC$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Prove that all eigenvectors of  $C^{-1}AC$  are of the form  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$ , where  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of *A*.

**Proof.** If  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of A corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda$  then  $A\vec{v} = \lambda\vec{v}$ . So

$$(C^{-1}AC)(C^{-1}\vec{v}) = C^{-1}A(CC^{-1})\vec{v} \text{ by Theorem 1.3.A(8),}$$
  
"Associativity of Matrix Multiplication"  

$$= C^{-1}A\mathcal{I}\vec{v} = C^{-1}A\vec{v}$$
  

$$= C^{-1}(\lambda\vec{v}) = \lambda(C^{-1}\vec{v}) \text{ by Theorem 1.3.A(7),}$$
  
"Scalars Pull Through"

and so  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $C^{-1}AC$  with corresponding eigenvector  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$ , as claimed.

(

## Page 316 Number 22 (continued)

**Page 316 Number 22.** Let A and C be  $n \times n$  matrices, and let C be invertible. Prove that, if  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of A with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $C^{-1}AC$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Prove that all eigenvectors of  $C^{-1}AC$  are of the form  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$ , where  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of A.

**Proof (continued).** Now suppose  $\vec{w}$  is an eigenvector of  $C^{-1}AC$ . Then  $C^{-1}AC\vec{w} = \lambda \vec{w}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $C(C^{-1}AC\vec{w}) = C\lambda \vec{w}$  or  $(CC^{-1})AC\vec{w} = \lambda C\vec{w}$  or  $A(C\vec{w}) = \lambda(C\vec{w})$ . So  $\vec{v} = C\vec{w}$  is an eigenvector of A with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then  $\vec{w} = C^{-1}\vec{v}$  and so all eigenvectors of  $C^{-1}AC$  are of the form  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$  where  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of A, as claimed.

## Page 316 Number 22 (continued)

**Page 316 Number 22.** Let A and C be  $n \times n$  matrices, and let C be invertible. Prove that, if  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of A with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $C^{-1}AC$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Prove that all eigenvectors of  $C^{-1}AC$  are of the form  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$ , where  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of A.

**Proof (continued).** Now suppose  $\vec{w}$  is an eigenvector of  $C^{-1}AC$ . Then  $C^{-1}AC\vec{w} = \lambda \vec{w}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $C(C^{-1}AC\vec{w}) = C\lambda \vec{w}$  or  $(CC^{-1})AC\vec{w} = \lambda C\vec{w}$  or  $A(C\vec{w}) = \lambda(C\vec{w})$ . So  $\vec{v} = C\vec{w}$  is an eigenvector of A with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then  $\vec{w} = C^{-1}\vec{v}$  and so all eigenvectors of  $C^{-1}AC$  are of the form  $C^{-1}\vec{v}$  where  $\vec{v}$  is an eigenvector of A, as claimed.
**Page 316 Number 24.** Prove that if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$  are distinct real eigenvalues of an  $n \times n$  real matrix A and if  $B_i$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $E_{\lambda_i}$ , then the union of the bases  $B_i$  is an independent set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B_i = {\vec{b}_1^i, \vec{b}_2^i, \dots, \vec{b}_{n_i}^i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , where  $n_i$  is the dimension of  $E_{\lambda_i}$ . Suppose

$$a_{1}^{1}\vec{b}_{1}^{1} + a_{2}^{1}\vec{b}_{2}^{1} + \dots + a_{n_{1}}^{1}\vec{b}_{n_{1}}^{1} + a_{1}^{2}\vec{b}_{1}^{2} + a_{2}^{2}\vec{b}_{2}^{2} + \dots + a_{n_{2}}^{2}\vec{b}_{n_{2}}^{2} + \dots + a_{n_{k}}^{k}\vec{b}_{n_{k}}^{k} = \vec{0}. \qquad (*)$$

**Page 316 Number 24.** Prove that if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$  are distinct real eigenvalues of an  $n \times n$  real matrix A and if  $B_i$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $E_{\lambda_i}$ , then the union of the bases  $B_i$  is an independent set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B_i = {\vec{b}_1^i, \vec{b}_2^i, \dots, \vec{b}_{n_i}^i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , where  $n_i$  is the dimension of  $E_{\lambda_i}$ . Suppose

$$a_{1}^{1}\vec{b}_{1}^{1} + a_{2}^{1}\vec{b}_{2}^{1} + \dots + a_{n_{1}}^{1}\vec{b}_{n_{1}}^{1} + a_{1}^{2}\vec{b}_{1}^{2} + a_{2}^{2}\vec{b}_{2}^{2} + \dots + a_{n_{2}}^{2}\vec{b}_{n_{2}}^{2} + \dots + a_{n_{k}}^{k}\vec{b}_{n_{k}}^{k} = \vec{0}.$$
 (\*)

If we let  $\vec{w}_i = a_1^i \vec{b}_1^i + a_2^i \vec{b}_2^i + \dots + a_{n_1}^i \vec{b}_{n_1}^i$  then (\*) gives  $\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 + \dots + \vec{w}_k = \vec{0}$ . But  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_k$  are linearly independent by Theorem 5.3, "Independence of Eigenvectors." This implies that each  $\vec{w}_i$ must in fact be the zero vector,  $\vec{w}_i = \vec{0}$  (or else some nonzero  $\vec{w}_i$  is a linear combination of the other  $\vec{w}_i$ 's, contradicting the linear independence).

**Page 316 Number 24.** Prove that if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$  are distinct real eigenvalues of an  $n \times n$  real matrix A and if  $B_i$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $E_{\lambda_i}$ , then the union of the bases  $B_i$  is an independent set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B_i = {\vec{b}_1^i, \vec{b}_2^i, \dots, \vec{b}_{n_i}^i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , where  $n_i$  is the dimension of  $E_{\lambda_i}$ . Suppose

$$a_{1}^{1}\vec{b}_{1}^{1} + a_{2}^{1}\vec{b}_{2}^{1} + \dots + a_{n_{1}}^{1}\vec{b}_{n_{1}}^{1} + a_{1}^{2}\vec{b}_{1}^{2} + a_{2}^{2}\vec{b}_{2}^{2} + \dots + a_{n_{2}}^{2}\vec{b}_{n_{2}}^{2} + \dots + a_{n_{1}}^{k}\vec{b}_{n_{1}}^{k} = \vec{0}. \qquad (*)$$

If we let  $\vec{w}_i = a_1^i \vec{b}_1^i + a_2^i \vec{b}_2^i + \dots + a_{n_1}^i \vec{b}_{n_1}^i$  then (\*) gives  $\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 + \dots + \vec{w}_k = \vec{0}$ . But  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \dots, \vec{w}_k$  are linearly independent by Theorem 5.3, "Independence of Eigenvectors." This implies that each  $\vec{w}_i$ must in fact be the zero vector,  $\vec{w}_i = \vec{0}$  (or else some nonzero  $\vec{w}_i$  is a linear combination of the other  $\vec{w}_i$ 's, contradicting the linear independence).

# Page 316 Number 24 (continued)

**Page 316 Number 24.** Prove that if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$  are distinct real eigenvalues of an  $n \times n$  real matrix A and if  $B_i$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $E_{\lambda_i}$ , then the union of the bases  $B_i$  is an independent set of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Proof (continued).** But then  $\vec{w}_i = a_1^i \vec{b}_1^i + a_2^j \vec{b}_2^i + \dots + a_{n_1}^i \vec{b}_{n_1}^i = \vec{0}$  and since the  $\vec{b}_j^i$ 's are a basis for  $E_{\lambda_i}$  then the  $\vec{b}_j^i$  are linear independent for a given *i* and so each  $a_j^i = 0$  for given *i*. Since this holds for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  then all  $a_j^i = 0$  and so we see from (\*) that  $\{\vec{b}_1^1, \vec{b}_2^1, \dots, \vec{b}_{n_k}^k\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$  is a linearly independent set.

()

**Page 316 Number 26.** Prove that the set  $\{e^{\lambda_1 x}, e^{\lambda_2 x}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_k x}\}$ , where the  $\lambda_i$  are distinct, is independent in the vector space  $D_{\infty}$  of all functions mapping  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and having derivatives of all orders (see Note 3.2.A).

**Proof.** We know that differentiation D is a linear transformation mapping  $D_{\infty}$  into  $D_{\infty}$  (see Example 3.4.1). Now  $D(e^{\lambda_i x}) = \frac{d}{dx}[e^{\lambda_i x}] = \lambda_i e^{\lambda_i x}$ , so  $e^{\lambda_i x}$  is an eigenvector of D with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ .

**Page 316 Number 26.** Prove that the set  $\{e^{\lambda_1 x}, e^{\lambda_2 x}, \dots, e^{\lambda_k x}\}$ , where the  $\lambda_i$  are distinct, is independent in the vector space  $D_{\infty}$  of all functions mapping  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and having derivatives of all orders (see Note 3.2.A).

**Proof.** We know that differentiation D is a linear transformation mapping  $D_{\infty}$  into  $D_{\infty}$  (see Example 3.4.1). Now  $D(e^{\lambda_i x}) = \frac{d}{dx}[e^{\lambda_i x}] = \lambda_i e^{\lambda_i x}$ , so  $e^{\lambda_i x}$  is an eigenvector of D with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ . Since  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$  are distinct by hypothesis, then by Theorem 5.3, "Independence of Eigenvectors," the set of eigenvectors  $\{e^{\lambda_1 x}, e^{\lambda_2 x}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_k x}\}$  are linearly independent, as claimed.

()

**Page 316 Number 26.** Prove that the set  $\{e^{\lambda_1 \times}, e^{\lambda_2 \times}, \dots, e^{\lambda_k \times}\}$ , where the  $\lambda_i$  are distinct, is independent in the vector space  $D_{\infty}$  of all functions mapping  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  and having derivatives of all orders (see Note 3.2.A).

**Proof.** We know that differentiation D is a linear transformation mapping  $D_{\infty}$  into  $D_{\infty}$  (see Example 3.4.1). Now  $D(e^{\lambda_i x}) = \frac{d}{dx}[e^{\lambda_i x}] = \lambda_i e^{\lambda_i x}$ , so  $e^{\lambda_i x}$  is an eigenvector of D with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ . Since  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$  are distinct by hypothesis, then by Theorem 5.3, "Independence of Eigenvectors," the set of eigenvectors  $\{e^{\lambda_1 x}, e^{\lambda_2 x}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_k x}\}$  are linearly independent, as claimed.