### Theory of Matrices

#### Chapter 5. Matrix Transformations and Factorizations 5.7. QR Factorization—Proofs of Theorems

<span id="page-0-0"></span>

# Table of contents





#### Theorem 5.7.A

**Theorem 5.7.A.** Let  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$  be a linearly independent set of vectors. There exists unique scalars  $x_{ij}$  where  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $0 < i < j$ such that the k vectors

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
b_1 = a_1
$$
  
\n
$$
b_2 = a_2 - x_{12}b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
  
\n
$$
b_j = a_j - x_{j-1,j}b_{j-1} - x_{j-2,j}b_{j-2} - \cdots - x_{1j}b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
  
\n
$$
b_m = a_m - x_{m-1,m}b_{m-1} - x_{m-2,m}b_{m-2} - \cdots - x_{1m}b_1
$$

form an orthogonal set. The vectors  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k$  are nonzero and  $\langle x_{ij} = \langle a_j,b_i \rangle / \langle b_i,b_i \rangle$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $0 < i < m.$ 

#### Theorem 5.7.A (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).** We prove this by induction on  $k$ . The result is trivial for  $k = 1$  since we have  $b_1 = a_1$  and there are no  $x_{ii}$ . Suppose the result holds for  $m = k - 1$ . That is, suppose there exists unique  $x_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$  and  $0 < i < j$  such that  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{k-1}$  defined as given in the statement of the theorem form an orthogonal set of nonzero vectors  $\mathsf{where}\; \mathsf{x}_{\boldsymbol{ij}} = \langle \mathsf{a}_{\boldsymbol{j}}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \rangle / \langle \mathsf{b}_{\boldsymbol{i}}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \rangle$  . We define  $\mathsf{b}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$  as given in the statement of the theorem and we must show that  $b_k$  is nonzero and that the coefficients  $x_{ii}$ are as claimed for  $j = k$  and  $0 < i < j$ . Notice that for  $0 < i < j$  we have

$$
\langle b_k, b_i \rangle = \langle a_k - x_{k-1,k} b_{k-1} - x_{k-2,k} b_{k-2} - \cdots - x_{1k} b_1, b_i \rangle
$$

$$
= \langle a_k, b_i \rangle - x_{k-1, k} \langle b_{k-1}, b_i \rangle - \cdots - x_{i,k} \langle b_i, b_i \rangle - \cdots - x_{1k} \langle b_1, b_i \rangle
$$
  
=  $\langle a_k, b_i \rangle - x_{ik} \langle b_i, b_i \rangle$ .

#### Theorem 5.7.A (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).** We prove this by induction on  $k$ . The result is trivial for  $k = 1$  since we have  $b_1 = a_1$  and there are no  $x_{ii}$ . Suppose the result holds for  $m = k - 1$ . That is, suppose there exists unique  $x_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$  and  $0 < i < j$  such that  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{k-1}$  defined as given in the statement of the theorem form an orthogonal set of nonzero vectors where  $x_{ij} = \langle {\sf a}_j, {\sf b}_i \rangle / \langle {\sf b}_i, {\sf b}_i \rangle.$  We define  ${\sf b}_k$  as given in the statement of the theorem and we must show that  $b_k$  is nonzero and that the coefficients  $x_{ii}$ are as claimed for  $j = k$  and  $0 < i < j$ . Notice that for  $0 < i < j$  we have

$$
\langle b_k, b_j \rangle = \langle a_k - x_{k-1,k} b_{k-1} - x_{k-2,k} b_{k-2} - \cdots - x_{1k} b_1, b_j \rangle
$$

$$
= \langle a_k, b_i \rangle - x_{k-1, k} \langle b_{k-1}, b_i \rangle - \cdots - x_{i,k} \langle b_i, b_i \rangle - \cdots - x_{1k} \langle b_1, b_i \rangle
$$
  
=  $\langle a_k, b_i \rangle - x_{ik} \langle b_i, b_i \rangle$ .

So  $\langle b_k , b_i \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $\langle a_k , b_i \rangle - x_{ik} \langle b_i , b_i \rangle = 0$  or, since  $b_i \neq 0$  by the induction hypothesis,  $\langle b_k, b_i \rangle = 0$  (that is,  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{k-1}, b_k$  form an orthogonal set) if and only if  $x_{ik} = \langle a_k , b_i \rangle / \langle b_i , b_i \rangle$ .

#### Theorem 5.7.A (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).** We prove this by induction on  $k$ . The result is trivial for  $k = 1$  since we have  $b_1 = a_1$  and there are no  $x_{ij}$ . Suppose the result holds for  $m = k - 1$ . That is, suppose there exists unique  $x_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$  and  $0 < i < j$  such that  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{k-1}$  defined as given in the statement of the theorem form an orthogonal set of nonzero vectors where  $x_{ij} = \langle {\sf a}_j, {\sf b}_i \rangle / \langle {\sf b}_i, {\sf b}_i \rangle.$  We define  ${\sf b}_k$  as given in the statement of the theorem and we must show that  $b_k$  is nonzero and that the coefficients  $x_{ii}$ are as claimed for  $j = k$  and  $0 < i < j$ . Notice that for  $0 < i < j$  we have

$$
\langle b_k, b_j \rangle = \langle a_k - x_{k-1,k} b_{k-1} - x_{k-2,k} b_{k-2} - \cdots - x_{1k} b_1, b_j \rangle
$$

$$
= \langle a_k, b_i \rangle - x_{k-1, k} \langle b_{k-1}, b_i \rangle - \cdots - x_{i,k} \langle b_i, b_i \rangle - \cdots - x_{1k} \langle b_1, b_i \rangle
$$
  
=  $\langle a_k, b_i \rangle - x_{ik} \langle b_i, b_i \rangle$ .

So  $\langle b_k , b_i \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $\langle a_k , b_i \rangle - x_{ik} \langle b_i , b_i \rangle = 0$  or, since  $b_i \neq 0$  by the induction hypothesis,  $\langle b_k, b_i \rangle = 0$  (that is,  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{k-1}, b_k$  form an orthogonal set) if and only if  $x_{ik} = \langle a_k , b_i \rangle / \langle b_i , b_i \rangle$ .

## Theorem 5.7.A (continued 2)

**Proof (continued).** So the scalars  $x_{ii}$  for  $j = k$  and  $0 < i < j$  must satisfy  $x_{ik}=\langle a_k , b_i \rangle/\langle b_i , b_i \rangle$ . So by induction, the  $x_{ij}$  are as claimed for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $0 < i < j$ .

We just need to show that  $b_k$  is nonzero. From the definition of  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k$  we can express:

- $b_1$  in terms of  $a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_1$ ,
- $b_2$  in terms of  $a_2$  and  $a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_2$ ,
- $b_3$  in terms of  $a_3$ ,  $a_2$ , and  $a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_3$ , . . . , and
- $b_k$  in terms of  $a_k, a_{k-1}, \ldots, a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_k$ .

## Theorem 5.7.A (continued 2)

**Proof (continued).** So the scalars  $x_{ii}$  for  $j = k$  and  $0 < i < j$  must satisfy  $x_{ik}=\langle a_k , b_i \rangle/\langle b_i , b_i \rangle$ . So by induction, the  $x_{ij}$  are as claimed for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $0 < i < j$ .

We just need to show that  $b_k$  is nonzero. From the definition of  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k$  we can express:

- $b_1$  in terms of  $a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_1$ ,
- $b_2$  in terms of  $a_2$  and  $a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_2$ ,
- $b_3$  in terms of  $a_3$ ,  $a_2$ , and  $a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_3$ , . . . , and
- $b_k$  in terms of  $a_k, a_{k-1}, \ldots, a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_k$ .

Since  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$  is a linearly independent set and when expressing  $b_k$ as a linear combination of these vectors the coefficient of  $a_k$  is nonzero then  $b_k$  is nonzero. So the result holds for  $m = k$  and therefore holds in general by induction.

## Theorem 5.7.A (continued 2)

**Proof (continued).** So the scalars  $x_{ii}$  for  $j = k$  and  $0 < i < j$  must satisfy  $x_{ik}=\langle a_k , b_i \rangle/\langle b_i , b_i \rangle$ . So by induction, the  $x_{ij}$  are as claimed for  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $0 < i < j$ .

We just need to show that  $b_k$  is nonzero. From the definition of  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k$  we can express:

- $b_1$  in terms of  $a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_1$ ,
- $b_2$  in terms of  $a_2$  and  $a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_2$ ,
- $b_3$  in terms of  $a_3$ ,  $a_2$ , and  $a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_3$ , . . . , and

•  $b_k$  in terms of  $a_k, a_{k-1}, \ldots, a_1$  with a coefficient of 1 for  $a_k$ . Since  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k\}$  is a linearly independent set and when expressing  $b_k$ as a linear combination of these vectors the coefficient of  $a_k$  is nonzero then  $b_k$  is nonzero. So the result holds for  $m = k$  and therefore holds in general by induction.

#### Theorem 5.7.B

**Theorem 5.7.B.** Let A be an  $n \times m$  matrix of full column rank (that is, rank $(A) = m$ ). Then there is  $n \times m$  matrix B, where the columns of B are mutually orthogonal and nonzero, and  $m \times m$  upper triangular matrix X, with all diagonal entries 1, such that  $A = BX$ .

**Proof.** Denote the (linearly independent) columns of A as  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m$ . Recursively define (column) vectors  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m$  as

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
b_1 = a_1
$$
  
\n
$$
b_2 = a_2 - x_{12}b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
b_3 = a_3 - x_{23}b_2 - x_{13}b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
  
\n
$$
b_j = a_j - x_{j-1,j}b_{j-1} - x_{j-2,j}b_{j-2} - \cdots - x_{1j}b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
  
\n
$$
b_m = a_m - x_{m-1,m}b_{m-1} - x_{m-2,m}b_{m-2} - \cdots - x_{1m}b_1
$$

#### Theorem 5.7.B

**Theorem 5.7.B.** Let A be an  $n \times m$  matrix of full column rank (that is, rank $(A) = m$ ). Then there is  $n \times m$  matrix B, where the columns of B are mutually orthogonal and nonzero, and  $m \times m$  upper triangular matrix X, with all diagonal entries 1, such that  $A = BX$ .

**Proof.** Denote the (linearly independent) columns of A as  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m$ . Recursively define (column) vectors  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m$  as

$$
b_1 = a_1
$$
  
\n
$$
b_2 = a_2 - x_{12}b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
b_3 = a_3 - x_{23}b_2 - x_{13}b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
  
\n
$$
b_j = a_j - x_{j-1,j}b_{j-1} - x_{j-2,j}b_{j-2} - \cdots - x_{1j}b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
  
\n
$$
b_m = a_m - x_{m-1,m}b_{m-1} - x_{m-2,m}b_{m-2} - \cdots - x_{1m}b_1
$$

## Theorem 5.7.B (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).**  $\dots$  where  $x_{ij} = \langle a_j, b_i \rangle / \langle b_i, b_i \rangle$ . The  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$ are mutually orthogonal and each is nonzero by Theorem 5.7.A. We can rearrange the equations defining the  $b_i$ 's to express the  $a_j$ 's as linear combinations of the  $b_i$ 's:

$$
a_1 = b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
a_2 = b_2 + x_{12}b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
  
\n
$$
a_j = b_j + x_{j-1,j}b_{j-1} + x_{j-2,j}b_{j-2} + \cdots + x_{1j}b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
  
\n
$$
a_m = b_m + x_{m-1,m}b_{m-1} + x_{m-2,m}b_{m-2} + \cdots + x_{1m}b_1
$$
  
\n
$$
(*)
$$

where  $x_{ij} = \langle a_j, b_i \rangle / \langle b_i, b_i \rangle$ .

## Theorem 5.7.B (continued 2)

**Theorem 5.7.B.** Let A be an  $n \times m$  matrix of full column rank (that is, rank(A) = m). Then there is  $n \times m$  matrix B, where the columns of B are mutually orthogonal and nonzero, and  $m \times m$  upper triangular matrix X, with all diagonal entries 1, such that  $A = BX$ .

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Proof (continued).** Define  $n \times m$  matrix B with columns  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m$ and  $m \times m$  matrix X with entry  $(i, j)$  as  $x_{ii}$  for  $i < j$ ,  $x_{ii} = 1$ , and  $x_{ii} = 0$ for  $i > i$ . So the columns of B are orthogonal and X is upper triangular with diagonal entries of 1. From  $(*)$ , we see that  $A = BX$ , as claimed.  $\square$