Real Analysis

Chapter 11. Topological Spaces: General Properties 11.2. The Seperation Properties—Proofs of Theorems



Real Analysis





Proposition 11.6. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is a Tychonoff space if and only if every set consisting of a single point is closed.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Set $\{x\}$ is closed if and only if $X \sim \{x\}$ is open by Proposition 11.4.

Proposition 11.6. A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is a Tychonoff space if and only if every set consisting of a single point is closed.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Set $\{x\}$ is closed if and only if $X \sim \{x\}$ is open by Proposition 11.4. Now $X \sim \{x\}$ is open if and only if for each $y \in X \sim \{x\}$ there is a neighborhood of y that is contained in $X \sim \{x\}$, by Proposition 11.1; that is, if and only if there is a neighborhood of y that does not contain x. **Proposition 11.6.** A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is a Tychonoff space if and only if every set consisting of a single point is closed.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Set $\{x\}$ is closed if and only if $X \sim \{x\}$ is open by Proposition 11.4. Now $X \sim \{x\}$ is open if and only if for each $y \in X \sim \{x\}$ there is a neighborhood of y that is contained in $X \sim \{x\}$, by Proposition 11.1; that is, if and only if there is a neighborhood of ythat does not contain x. So (X, T) is Tychonoff (and any two points can be separated in the Tychonoff sense) if and only if singletons form closed sets. **Proposition 11.6.** A topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is a Tychonoff space if and only if every set consisting of a single point is closed.

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Set $\{x\}$ is closed if and only if $X \sim \{x\}$ is open by Proposition 11.4. Now $X \sim \{x\}$ is open if and only if for each $y \in X \sim \{x\}$ there is a neighborhood of y that is contained in $X \sim \{x\}$, by Proposition 11.1; that is, if and only if there is a neighborhood of y that does not contain x. So (X, T) is Tychonoff (and any two points can be separated in the Tychonoff sense) if and only if singletons form closed sets.

Proposition 11.7. Every metric space is normal.

Proof. Let(X, \mathcal{T}) be a metric space. Define the distance between a subset $F \subset X$ and a point $x \in X$ as dist $(x, F) = \in \{\rho(x, x') \mid x' \in F\}$. Let F_1 and F_2 be closed disjoint subsets of X.

Proposition 11.7. Every metric space is normal.

Proof. Let(X, \mathcal{T}) be a metric space. Define the distance between a subset $F \subset X$ and a point $x \in X$ as dist $(x, F) = \in \{\rho(x, x') \mid x' \in F\}$. Let F_1 and F_2 be closed disjoint subsets of X. Define

 $\mathcal{O}_1 = \{x \in X \mid \mathsf{dist}(X, F_1) < \mathsf{dist}(x, F_2)\}$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_2 = \{x \in X \mid \mathsf{dist}(X, F_2) < \mathsf{dist}(x, F_1)\}.$$

Since the complement of a closed set is open by Proposition 11.4, then for closed F and $x \notin F$ we have dist(x, F) > 0 (that is, $x \in X \sim F$ and there is an ε neighborhood of x contained in $X \sim F$ since $X \sim F$ is open). So $F_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_1$ and $F_2 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$.

Proposition 11.7. Every metric space is normal.

Proof. Let(X, T) be a metric space. Define the distance between a subset $F \subset X$ and a point $x \in X$ as dist $(x, F) = \in \{\rho(x, x') \mid x' \in F\}$. Let F_1 and F_2 be closed disjoint subsets of X. Define

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \{x \in X \mid \mathsf{dist}(X, F_1) < \mathsf{dist}(x, F_2)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_2 = \{x \in X \mid \mathsf{dist}(X, F_2) < \mathsf{dist}(x, F_1)\}.$$

Since the complement of a closed set is open by Proposition 11.4, then for closed F and $x \notin F$ we have dist(x, F) > 0 (that is, $x \in X \sim F$ and there is an ε neighborhood of x contained in $X \sim F$ since $X \sim F$ is open). So $F_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_1$ and $F_2 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$. Also, $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset$ (or else there is some x such that both dist $(x, F_1) < \text{dist}(x, F_2)$ AND dist $(x, F_2) < \text{dist}(x, F_1)$). In addition, \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 are open based on the triangle inequality for ρ (see page 183).

Proposition 11.7. Every metric space is normal.

Proof. Let(X, T) be a metric space. Define the distance between a subset $F \subset X$ and a point $x \in X$ as dist $(x, F) = \in \{\rho(x, x') \mid x' \in F\}$. Let F_1 and F_2 be closed disjoint subsets of X. Define

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \{x \in X \mid \mathsf{dist}(X, F_1) < \mathsf{dist}(x, F_2)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_2 = \{x \in X \mid \mathsf{dist}(X, F_2) < \mathsf{dist}(x, F_1)\}.$$

Since the complement of a closed set is open by Proposition 11.4, then for closed F and $x \notin F$ we have dist(x, F) > 0 (that is, $x \in X \sim F$ and there is an ε neighborhood of x contained in $X \sim F$ since $X \sim F$ is open). So $F_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_1$ and $F_2 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$. Also, $\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2 = \emptyset$ (or else there is some x such that both dist $(x, F_1) < \text{dist}(x, F_2)$ AND dist $(x, F_2) < \text{dist}(x, F_1)$). In addition, \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 are open based on the triangle inequality for ρ (see page 183).

Proposition 11.8. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a Tychonoff topological space. Then X is normal if and only if whenever \mathcal{U} is a neighborhood of a closed subset F of X, then there is another neighborhood of F whose closure is contained in \mathcal{U} ; that is, there is an open \mathcal{O} for which $F \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

Real Analysis

Proof. Suppose X is normal. Let F be closed and let \mathcal{U} be a neighborhood of F. So F and $X \sim \mathcal{U}$ are disjoint closed sets. Hence, there are disjoint open sets \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{V} for which $F \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $X \sim \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$.

Proposition 11.8. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a Tychonoff topological space. Then X is normal if and only if whenever \mathcal{U} is a neighborhood of a closed subset F of X, then there is another neighborhood of F whose closure is contained in \mathcal{U} ; that is, there is an open \mathcal{O} for which $F \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Suppose X is normal. Let F be closed and let \mathcal{U} be a neighborhood of F. So F and $X \sim \mathcal{U}$ are disjoint closed sets. Hence, there are disjoint open sets \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{V} for which $F \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $X \sim \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$. Thus $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V}$ (since $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{V} = \emptyset$) and so $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ (since $X \sim \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$). Since $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V}$ and $X \sim \mathcal{V}$ is closed, then $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \subset \overline{X} \sim \mathcal{V} = X \sim \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$.

Proposition 11.8. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a Tychonoff topological space. Then X is normal if and only if whenever \mathcal{U} is a neighborhood of a closed subset F of X, then there is another neighborhood of F whose closure is contained in \mathcal{U} ; that is, there is an open \mathcal{O} for which $F \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Suppose X is normal. Let F be closed and let \mathcal{U} be a neighborhood of F. So F and $X \sim \mathcal{U}$ are disjoint closed sets. Hence, there are disjoint open sets \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{V} for which $F \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $X \sim \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$. Thus $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V}$ (since $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{V} = \emptyset$) and so $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ (since $X \sim \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$). Since $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V}$ and $X \sim \mathcal{V}$ is closed, then $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \subset \overline{X} \sim \mathcal{V} = X \sim \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$.

Suppose set \mathcal{O} exists as claimed. Let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of X. Then $A \subset X \sim B$ and $X \sim B$ is open by Proposition 11.4. Thus there is open set \mathcal{O} for which $A \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}} \subset X \sim B$ by hypothesis.

Proposition 11.8. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a Tychonoff topological space. Then X is normal if and only if whenever \mathcal{U} is a neighborhood of a closed subset F of X, then there is another neighborhood of F whose closure is contained in \mathcal{U} ; that is, there is an open \mathcal{O} for which $F \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Suppose X is normal. Let F be closed and let \mathcal{U} be a neighborhood of F. So F and $X \sim \mathcal{U}$ are disjoint closed sets. Hence, there are disjoint open sets \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{V} for which $F \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $X \sim \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$. Thus $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V}$ (since $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{V} = \emptyset$) and so $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ (since $X \sim \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$). Since $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V}$ and $X \sim \mathcal{V}$ is closed, then $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \subset \overline{X} \sim \mathcal{V} = X \sim \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$.

Suppose set \mathcal{O} exists as claimed. Let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of X. Then $A \subset X \sim B$ and $X \sim B$ is open by Proposition 11.4. Thus there is open set \mathcal{O} for which $A \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}} \subset X \sim B$ by hypothesis. Then \mathcal{O} and $X \sim \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ are disjoint with $A \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $B \subset X \sim \overline{\mathcal{O}}$. So the space is normal.

Proposition 11.8. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a Tychonoff topological space. Then X is normal if and only if whenever \mathcal{U} is a neighborhood of a closed subset F of X, then there is another neighborhood of F whose closure is contained in \mathcal{U} ; that is, there is an open \mathcal{O} for which $F \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Suppose X is normal. Let F be closed and let \mathcal{U} be a neighborhood of F. So F and $X \sim \mathcal{U}$ are disjoint closed sets. Hence, there are disjoint open sets \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{V} for which $F \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $X \sim \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$. Thus $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V}$ (since $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{V} = \emptyset$) and so $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ (since $X \sim \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$). Since $\mathcal{O} \subset X \sim \mathcal{V}$ and $X \sim \mathcal{V}$ is closed, then $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \subset \overline{X} \sim \mathcal{V} = X \sim \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$.

Suppose set \mathcal{O} exists as claimed. Let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of X. Then $A \subset X \sim B$ and $X \sim B$ is open by Proposition 11.4. Thus there is open set \mathcal{O} for which $A \subset \mathcal{O} \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}} \subset X \sim B$ by hypothesis. Then \mathcal{O} and $X \sim \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ are disjoint with $A \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $B \subset X \sim \overline{\mathcal{O}}$. So the space is normal.