

The General Pythagorean Identity

Real Analysis

Chapter 16. Continuous Linear Operators on Hilbert Spaces

16.3. Bessel's Inequality and Orthonormal Bases—Proofs of Theorems



Theorem. The General Pythagorean Identity.

If u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n are n orthonormal vectors in H , and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$\|\alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \dots + \alpha_n u_n\|^2 = |\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2 + \dots + |\alpha_n|^2.$$

Proof. We have

$$\|\alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \dots + \alpha_n u_n\|^2 = \langle \alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \dots + \alpha_n u_n, \alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \dots + \alpha_n u_n \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \delta_{ij} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^2 = |\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2 + \dots + |\alpha_n|^2.$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i \alpha_i \langle u_i, u_i \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^2 = |\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2 + \dots + |\alpha_n|^2.$$

because u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n are orthogonal

The General Pythagorean Identity, continued

Theorem. The General Pythagorean Identity.

If u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n are n orthonormal vectors in H , and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ then

$$\|\alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2 + \dots + \alpha_n u_n\|^2 = |\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2 + \dots + |\alpha_n|^2.$$

Proof (continued).

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i^2 \text{ since } \langle u_i, u_i \rangle = \|u_i\|^2 = 1 \text{ because } u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \text{ are orthonormal} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\alpha_i|^2 - |\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2 + \dots + |\alpha_n|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Bessel's Inequality

Theorem. Bessel's Inequality.

For $\{\varphi_k\}$ an orthonormal sequence in H and $h \in H$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle^2 \leq \|h\|^2$.

Proof. For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $h_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|h - h_n\|^2 = \|h\|^2 - 2\langle h, h_n \rangle + \|h_n\|^2 \\ &= \|h\|^2 - 2\left\langle h, \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k \right\rangle + \|h_n\|^2 \\ &= \|h\|^2 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \langle h, \varphi_k \rangle \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^n \langle h, \varphi_k \rangle^2 \\ &= \|h\|^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle h, \varphi_k \rangle^2. \end{aligned}$$

by the General Pythagorean Identity

Bessel's Inequality (continued)

Theorem. Bessel's Inequality.

For $\{\varphi_k\}$ an orthonormal sequence in H and $h \in H$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle^2 \leq \|h\|^2$.

Proof (continued) . Therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \langle h, \varphi_k \rangle^2 \leq \|h\|^2.$$

Since n is arbitrary,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle h, \varphi_k \rangle^2 \leq \|h\|^2,$$

as claimed. \square

0

Real Analysis

March 7, 2017

6 / 12

Proposition 16.9

Proposition 16.9 (continued 1)

Proof. Therefore $\{h_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in H . Since H is complete then $\{h_n\} = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k \rightarrow h_* \in H$. That is, $\sum_{k=1}^n \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$ converges strongly in H .

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n > m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h - h_n, \varphi_m \rangle &= \left\langle h - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k, \varphi_m \right\rangle = \langle h, \varphi_m \rangle - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \langle \varphi_k, \varphi_m \rangle \\ &= \langle h, \varphi_m \rangle - \langle \varphi_m, h \rangle \langle \varphi_m, \varphi_m \rangle \text{ since } \langle \varphi_k, \varphi_m \rangle = 0 \text{ for } k \neq m \\ &\quad \text{because } \{\varphi_k\} \text{ is an orthogonal set} \\ &= \langle h, \varphi_m \rangle - \langle \varphi_m, h \rangle \text{ since } \|\varphi_m\|^2 = \langle \varphi_m, \varphi_m \rangle = 1 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of the inner product (that is, for $\{u_n\} \rightarrow u$ and $v \in H$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u_n, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$); this follows from Proposition 16.7) we have

Proposition 16.9

Proposition 16.9. Let $\{\varphi_k\}$ be an orthonormal sequence in a Hilbert space H and let $h \in H$. Then the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$ converges strongly in H and the vector $h - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$ is orthogonal to each φ_k .

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $h_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$. By the General Pythagorean Identity, for each pair of natural number n and k ,

$$\|h_{n+k} - h_n\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \langle \varphi_i, h \rangle \varphi_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \langle \varphi_i, h \rangle^2.$$

By Bessel's Inequality, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_i, h \rangle^2 \leq \|h\|^2$ so for $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m, n \geq N_\varepsilon$ (say $m \geq n$) we have

$$\|h_m - h_n\|^2 = \sum_{i=n_1}^m \langle \varphi_1, h \rangle^2 \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_i, h \rangle^2 < \varepsilon$$

(since the tail of a convergent sequence of real numbers must get small).

0

Real Analysis

March 7, 2017

7 / 12

Proposition 16.9

Proposition 16.9 (continued 2)

Proposition 16.9. Let $\{\varphi_k\}$ be an orthonormal sequence in a Hilbert space H and let $h \in H$. Then the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$ converges strongly in H and the vector $h - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$ is orthogonal to each φ_k .

Proof (continued).

$$\begin{aligned} h - h_* &= h - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k = h - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k \right) = h - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n \\ &\quad \text{and so} \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle h - h_*, \varphi_k \rangle = \left\langle h - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n, \varphi_m \right\rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle h - h_n, \varphi_m \rangle = 0.$$

Since $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary, $h - h_*$ is orthogonal to φ_m for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

0

Real Analysis

March 7, 2017

8 / 12

0

Real Analysis

March 7, 2017

9 / 12

Lemma 16.3.A

Lemma 16.3.A. Let $\{\varphi_k\}$ be an orthonormal sequence in Hilbert space H . Then $\{\varphi_k\}$ is complete if and only if the closed linear span of $\{\varphi_k\}$ is H .

Proof. Suppose $S = \{\varphi_k\}$ is complete. Then the only vector that is orthogonal to every element of S is 0 ; that is, $S^\perp = \{0\}$. So by Corollary 16.4, the linear space of S is all of H .

Suppose the closed linear span of S is H . Then by Corollary 16.4, $S^\perp = \{0\}$. That is, the only element of H orthogonal to every element of $S = \{\varphi_k\}$ is 0 . So, by the definition of “complete,” $S = \{\varphi_k\}$ is complete. \square

0

Theorem 16.11

Theorem 16.11. Every infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space has an orthonormal basis.

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be the collection of subsets of H that are orthonormal.

Order \mathcal{F} by inclusion. For every linearly ordered subcollection of \mathcal{F} , the union of the sets in the subcollection is an upper bound for the subcollection. By Zorn’s Lemma, there is a maximal subset S_0 of \mathcal{F} . Since H is separable and S_0 is orthonormal, then by Exercise 16.19, S_0 is countable. Let $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be an enumeration of S_0 . If $h \in H$, $h \neq 0$, then by

Proposition 16.9, $h - \sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$ is orthogonal to each φ_k . Therefore $h - \sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$ could be added to S_0 thus violating its maximality. So $h = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$ and so $\{\varphi_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H . \square

Proposition 16.10

Proposition 16.10. An orthonormal sequence $\{\varphi_k\}$ is a Hilbert space H is complete if and only if it is an orthonormal basis.

Proof. First, assume $\{\varphi_k\}$ is complete. Since $\{\varphi_k\}$ is an orthonormal sequence. Then by Proposition 16.9, for any $h \in H$, $h - \sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$ is orthogonal to each φ_k . Since $\{\varphi_k\}$ is complete then, by definition, the only vector orthogonal to every φ_k is 0 . So for all $h \in H$ we have $h = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k$. Therefore $\{\varphi_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H .

Conversely, suppose $\{\varphi_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H . Then if $h \in H$ is orthogonal to all φ_k then $h = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \langle \varphi_k, h \rangle \varphi_k = \sum_{k=1}^\infty 0 \varphi_k = 0$. So the only vector $h \in H$ that is orthogonal to every φ_k is $h = 0$. That is, $\{\varphi_k\}$ is (by definition) complete. \square

0

0