

## Section 11.4. Continuous Mappings Between Topological Spaces

**Note.** In this section, we deal with continuous functions and some of their properties.

**Note.** The following definition is a parallel to the standard  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  definition of continuity where the “for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ” has been replaced with “for any neighborhood” and “there exists  $\delta > 0$ ” has been replaced with “there exists a neighborhood.”

**Definition.** For topological spaces  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{S})$ , a mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is *continuous at a point*  $x_0 \in X$  provided that for any neighborhood  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $f(x_0)$ , there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $x_0$  for which  $f(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ .  $f$  is *continuous* if it is continuous at each point in  $X$ .

**Proposition 11.10.** A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between topological spaces  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{S})$  is continuous if and only if for any subset  $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{S}$ , its inverse image under  $f$ ,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}) \in \mathcal{T}$ .

**Proposition 11.11.** The composition of continuous mappings between topological spaces when defined, is continuous.

**Note.** The straightforward proof of Proposition 11.11 is Problem 11.26.

**Definition.** Given two topologies  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_2$  for a set  $X$ , if  $\mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1$ , then  $\mathcal{T}_2$  is *weaker* than  $\mathcal{T}_1$  and  $\mathcal{T}_1$  is *stronger* than  $\mathcal{T}_2$ .

**Note.** The more open sets a topology has, the “harder” it is for sequences to converge (for example)—thus the use of “stronger” and “weaker.”

**Proposition 11.12.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{S}$  any collection of subsets of  $X$  that covers  $X$ . The collection of subsets of  $X$  consisting of intersections of finite subcollections of  $\mathcal{S}$  is a base for a topology  $\mathcal{T}$  for  $X$ . It is the weakest topology containing  $\mathcal{S}$  in the sense that if  $\mathcal{T}'$  is any other topology for  $X$  containing  $\mathcal{S}$  then  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{T}'$ .

**Note.** The proof of Proposition 11.12 is left as Problem 11.27.

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and consider a collection of mappings  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ , where each  $X_\alpha$  is a topological space. The weakest topology for  $X$  that contains the collection of sets

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f_\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\alpha) \mid f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_\alpha \text{ open in } X_\alpha\}$$

is the *weak topology* for  $X$  induced by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Note.** The following result more clearly elaborates on the meaning of the weak topology.

**Proposition 11.13.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\lambda : X \rightarrow X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  a collection of mappings where each  $X_\lambda$  is a topological space. The weak topology for  $X$  induced by  $\mathcal{F}$  is the topology on  $X$  that has the fewest number of sets among the topologies on  $X$  for which each mapping  $f_\lambda : X \rightarrow X_\lambda$  is continuous.

**Definition.** A continuous mapping from a topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  to a topological space  $(Y, \mathcal{S})$  is a *homeomorphism* provided it is one to one, maps  $X$  onto  $Y$ , and has continuous inverse  $f^{-1}$  from  $Y$  to  $X$ . If there is a homeomorphism between two topological spaces, the spaces are *homeomorphic*.

**Note.** Homeomorphic topological spaces are indistinguishable from each other *as topological spaces*. So if  $f : (X, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{S})$  is a homeomorphism then  $E \subseteq X$  is open in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  if and only if  $f(E)$  is open in  $\mathcal{S}$ . However, this does not mean that the two spaces share other properties. In the next example, we show that  $L^1(E)$  is homeomorphic to  $L^2(E)$  for measurable set  $E$ .

**Example. Mazur's Example.**

Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be Lebesgue measurable. For  $f \in L^1(E)$ , define function  $\Phi(f)$  on  $E$  by  $\Phi(f)(x) = \text{sgn}(f(x))|f(x)|^{1/2}$ . Then  $\Phi(f)$  clearly belongs to  $L^2(E)$ . We “leave as an exercise” (a tedious one) to show that for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$|\text{sgn}(a)|a|^{1/2} - \text{sgn}(b)|b|^{1/2}| \leq 2|a - b|.$$

It follows from this that  $\|\Phi(f) - \Phi(g)\|_2^2 \leq 2\|f - g\|_1$  for all  $f, g \in L^1(E)$ . So  $\Phi$  is a continuous mapping (to make  $\|\Phi(f) - \Phi(g)\|_2 < \varepsilon$ , consider  $f, g \in L^1(E)$  such that

$\|f - g\|_1 < \delta = \varepsilon^2/2$ ). In fact, we see that  $\Phi$  is uniformly continuous on  $L^1(E)$ . Also  $\Phi$  is one to one since  $\Phi(f) = \Phi(g)$  implies that  $f = g$ . Now  $\Phi$  is onto  $L^2(E)$  since its inverse is  $\Phi^{-1}(f)(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(f(x))|f(x)|^2$  for  $f \in L^2(E)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\operatorname{sgn}(f(x))|f(x)|^2) &= \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{sgn}(f(x))|f(x)|)|\operatorname{sgn}(f(x))|f(x)|^2|^{1/2} \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}(f(x))|f(x)| = f(x).\end{aligned}$$

Problem 11.38 gives that for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|\operatorname{sgn}(a)|a|^2 - \operatorname{sgn}(b)|b|^2| \leq 2|a - b|(|a| + |b|)$ . Therefore  $\|\Phi^{-1}(f) - \Phi^{-1}(g)\|_1 \leq 2\|f - g\|_2^2(\|f\|_2^2 + \|g\|_2^2)$ . So  $\Phi^{-1} : L^2(E) \rightarrow L^1(E)$  is continuous: For given  $f \in L^2(E)$ , to make  $\|\Phi^{-1}(f) - \Phi^{-1}(g)\|_1 < \varepsilon$ , consider  $g \in L^2(E)$  such that  $\|f - g\|_2 < \delta$  where  $\delta = \min\{\|f\|_2, \sqrt{\varepsilon}/(\sqrt{10}\|f\|_2)\}$ . We have for  $\|f - g\|_2 < \delta$  that  $\|g\|_2 < \|f\|_2 + \delta < 2\|f\|_2$  and so

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi^{-1}(f) - \Phi^{-1}(g)\|_1 &\leq 2\|f - g\|_2^2(\|f\|_2^2 + \|g\|_2^2) < 2\|f - g\|_2^2(\|f\|_2^2 + (2\|f\|_2)^2) \\ &= 10\|f - g\|_2^2\|f\|_2^2 < 10\left(\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{10}\|f\|_2}\right)^2\|f\|_2^2 = \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\Phi : L^1(E) \rightarrow L^2(E)$  is a homeomorphism and  $L^1(E)$  is (topologically) isomorphic to  $L^2(E)$ . Of course, as Banach spaces,  $L^1(E)$  and  $L^2(E)$  are quite different.

*Revised: 12/21/2016*