## Complex Variables

## Chapter 2. Analytic Functions

Section 2.20. Differentiation Formulas-Proofs of Theorems
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## Theorem 2.20.A

Theorem 2.20.A. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $f$ and $g$ be functions where derivatives exist at a point $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d z}[c]=0, \frac{d}{d z}[z]=1, \frac{d}{d z}[c f(z)]=c \frac{d}{d z}[f], \\
& \text { and } \frac{d}{d z}[f(z)+g(z)]=\frac{d}{d z}[f(z)]+\frac{d}{d z}[g(z)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof. By the definition of derivative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d z}[c] & =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(c)-(c)}{\Delta z}=0 \\
\frac{d}{d z}[z] & =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(z+\Delta z)-z}{\Delta z}=1 \\
\frac{d}{d z}[c f(z)] & =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{c f(z+\Delta z)-c f(z)}{\Delta z} \\
& =c \lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z}=c \frac{d}{d z}[f(z)]
\end{aligned}
$$
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Theorem 2.20.A. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $f$ and $g$ be functions where derivatives exist at a point $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d z}[c]=0, \frac{d}{d z}[z]=1, \frac{d}{d z}[c f(z)]=c \frac{d}{d z}[f], \\
& \text { and } \frac{d}{d z}[f(z)+g(z)]=\frac{d}{d z}[f(z)]+\frac{d}{d z}[g(z)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By the definition of derivative:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d z}[c] & =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(c)-(c)}{\Delta z}=0 \\
\frac{d}{d z}[z] & =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(z+\Delta z)-z}{\Delta z}=1 \\
\frac{d}{d z}[c f(z)] & =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{c f(z+\Delta z)-c f(z)}{\Delta z} \\
& =c \lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z}=c \frac{d}{d z}[f(z)]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.20.A (continued)

Theorem 2.20.A. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and let $f$ and $g$ be functions where derivatives exist at a point $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d z}[c]=0, \frac{d}{d z}[z]=1, \frac{d}{d z}[c f(z)]=c \frac{d}{d z}[f], \\
& \text { and } \frac{d}{d z}[f(z)+g(z)]=\frac{d}{d z}[f(z)]+\frac{d}{d z}[g(z)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof (continued). By the definition of derivative:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d z}[f(z)+g(z)] & =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f(z+\Delta z)+g(z+\Delta z)-(f(z)+g(z))}{\Delta z} \\
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z}+\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z+\Delta z)-g(z)}{\Delta z} \\
& =\frac{d}{d z}[f(z)]+\frac{d}{d z}[g(z)]
\end{align*}
$$

## Theorem 2.20.B

Theorem 2.20.B. If $f$ and $g$ are function whose derivative exists at a point $z$ then

$$
\text { Product Rule: } \frac{d}{d z}[f(z) g(z)]=f^{\prime}(z) g(z)+f(z) g^{\prime}(z) \text { and }
$$

$$
\text { Quotient Rule: } \frac{d}{d z}\left[\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right]=\frac{f^{\prime}(z) g(z)-f(z) g^{\prime}(z)}{(g(z))^{2}} \text { if } g(z) \neq 0 .
$$

Proof. By the definition of derivative, $\frac{d}{d z}[f(z) g(z)]=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z) g(z+\Delta z)-f(z) g(z)}{\Delta z} \\
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0}(f(z+\Delta z) g(z+\Delta z)-f(z) g(z+\Delta z)+f(z) g(z+\Delta z) \\
& -f(z) g(z)) / \Delta z \\
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{(f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)) g(z+\Delta z)}{\Delta z}+\frac{f(z)(g(z+\Delta z)-g(z))}{\Delta z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.20.B

Theorem 2.20.B. If $f$ and $g$ are function whose derivative exists at a point $z$ then

Product Rule: $\frac{d}{d z}[f(z) g(z)]=f^{\prime}(z) g(z)+f(z) g^{\prime}(z)$ and Quotient Rule: $\frac{d}{d z}\left[\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right]=\frac{f^{\prime}(z) g(z)-f(z) g^{\prime}(z)}{(g(z))^{2}}$ if $g(z) \neq 0$.

Proof. By the definition of derivative, $\frac{d}{d z}[f(z) g(z)]=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z) g(z+\Delta z)-f(z) g(z)}{\Delta z} \\
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0}(f(z+\Delta z) g(z+\Delta z)-f(z) g(z+\Delta z)+f(z) g(z+\Delta z) \\
& -f(z) g(z)) / \Delta z \\
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{(f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)) g(z+\Delta z)}{\Delta z}+\frac{f(z)(g(z+\Delta z)-g(z))}{\Delta z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.20.B (continued)

## Proof (continued).

$=\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z} \lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} g(z+\Delta z)$ $+\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} f(z) \lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z+\Delta z)-g(z)}{\Delta z}$
$=f^{\prime}(z) \lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} g(z+\Delta z)+f(z) g^{\prime}(z)$
$=f^{\prime}(z) g(z)+f(z) g^{\prime}(z)$ since $g$ is continuous at $z$ by Theorem 2.19.A.
By the definition of derivative, $\frac{d}{d z}[f(z) / g(z)]=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d z}\left[\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right] & =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{f(z+\Delta z)}{g(z+\Delta z)}-\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}}{\Delta z} \\
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z) f(z+\Delta z)-f(z) g(z+\Delta z)}{\Delta z g(z+\Delta z) g(z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.20.B (continued)

## Proof (continued).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z} \lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} g(z+\Delta z) \\
& \quad+\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} f(z) \lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z+\Delta z)-g(z)}{\Delta z} \\
& =f^{\prime}(z) \lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} g(z+\Delta z)+f(z) g^{\prime}(z) \\
& =f^{\prime}(z) g(z)+f(z) g^{\prime}(z) \text { since } g \text { is continuous at } z \text { by Theorem 2.19.A. }
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of derivative, $\frac{d}{d z}[f(z) / g(z)]=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d z}\left[\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}\right] & =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{f(z+\Delta z)}{g(z+\Delta z)}-\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}}{\Delta z} \\
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z) f(z+\Delta z)-f(z) g(z+\Delta z)}{\Delta z g(z+\Delta z) g(z)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.20.B (continued)

## Proof (continued).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z) f(z+\Delta z)-g(z) f(z)+g(z) f(z)-f(z) g(z+\Delta z)}{\Delta z g(z+\Delta z) g(z)} \\
& =\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z) \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z}-f(z) \frac{g(z+\Delta z)-g(z)}{\Delta z}}{g(z+\Delta z) g(z)} \\
& =\frac{\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} g(z) \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z}-\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} f(z) \frac{g(z+\Delta z)-g(z)}{\Delta z}}{\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} g(z+\Delta z) g(z)} \\
& =\frac{g(z) \lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+\Delta z)-f(z)}{\Delta z}-f(z) \lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(z+\Delta z)-g(z)}{\Delta z}}{g(z) \lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} g(z+\Delta z)} \\
& =\frac{g(z) f^{\prime}(z)-f(z) g^{\prime}(z)}{(g(z))^{2}}=\frac{f^{\prime}(z) g(z)-f(z) g^{\prime}(z)}{(g(z))^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary 2.20.A

Corollary 2.20.A. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\frac{d}{d z}\left[z^{n}\right]=n z^{n-1}$.
Proof. The traditional way to prove this is using the Binomial Theorem (Theorem 1.3.2) and you are asked to do this in Exercise 2.20.6b. Here we give an inductive proof proof (this is Exercise 2.20.6a).
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Proof. The traditional way to prove this is using the Binomial Theorem (Theorem 1.3.2) and you are asked to do this in Exercise 2.20.6b. Here we give an inductive proof proof (this is Exercise 2.20.6a).
We have the result $\frac{d}{d z}\left[z^{1}\right]=1$ by Theorem 2.20.A so the base case $n=1$ holds.
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We have the result $\frac{d}{d z}\left[z^{1}\right]=1$ by Theorem 2.20.A so the base case $n=1$ holds. Now assume the result holds for $n=k$ and consider $n=k+1$ :

and the induction hypothesis


Therefore the claim holds for $n=k+1$ and hence by Mathematical Induction it holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

## Corollary 2.20.A

Corollary 2.20.A. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\frac{d}{d z}\left[z^{n}\right]=n z^{n-1}$.
Proof. The traditional way to prove this is using the Binomial Theorem (Theorem 1.3.2) and you are asked to do this in Exercise 2.20.6b. Here we give an inductive proof proof (this is Exercise 2.20.6a).
We have the result $\frac{d}{d z}\left[z^{1}\right]=1$ by Theorem 2.20.A so the base case $n=1$ holds. Now assume the result holds for $n=k$ and consider $n=k+1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d z}\left[z^{n}\right]= & \frac{d}{d z}\left[z^{k+1}\right]=\frac{d}{d z}\left[z^{k} z\right] \\
& =\left[k z^{k-1}\right](z)+\left(z^{k}\right)[1] \text { by the Product Rule (Theorem 2.20.B) } \\
& \text { and the induction hypothesis } \\
= & k z^{k}+z^{k}=(k+1) z^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the claim holds for $n=k+1$ and hence by Mathematical Induction it holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

## Theorem 2.20.C

## Theorem 2.20.C. The Chain Rule.

Suppose that $f$ has a derivative at $z_{0}$ and that $g$ has a derivative at $f\left(z_{0}\right)$. Then the composition function $F(z)=(g \circ f)(z)=g(f(z))$ has a derivative at $z_{0}$ and $F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$. In differential notation with $w=f(z)$ and $W=g(w)$ (so that $W=F(z)$ ) we have $\frac{d W}{d z}=\frac{d W}{d w} \frac{d w}{d z}$. Proof. Denote $w_{0}=f\left(z_{0}\right)$. Since $g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)$ exists by hypothesis then by the definition of derivative, there is some $\varepsilon>0$ such that $g$ is defined on $\left|w-w_{0}\right|<\varepsilon$.
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## Theorem 2.20.C

## Theorem 2.20.C. The Chain Rule.

Suppose that $f$ has a derivative at $z_{0}$ and that $g$ has a derivative at $f\left(z_{0}\right)$. Then the composition function $F(z)=(g \circ f)(z)=g(f(z))$ has a derivative at $z_{0}$ and $F^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$. In differential notation with $w=f(z)$ and $W=g(w)$ (so that $W=F(z)$ ) we have $\frac{d W}{d z}=\frac{d W}{d w} \frac{d w}{d z}$.

Proof. Denote $w_{0}=f\left(z_{0}\right)$. Since $g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)$ exists by hypothesis then by the definition of derivative, there is some $\varepsilon>0$ such that $g$ is defined on $\left|w-w_{0}\right|<\varepsilon$. So we define

$$
\Phi(w)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{g(w)-g\left(w_{0}\right)}{w-w_{0}}-g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right) & \text { if } w \neq w_{0} \\
0 & \text { if } w=w_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Theorem 2.20.C (continued 1)

Proof (continued). ... and note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{w \rightarrow w_{0}} \Phi(w)=\lim _{w \rightarrow w_{0}}\left(\frac{g(w)-g\left(w_{0}\right)}{w-w_{0}}-g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)\right) \\
=\lim _{w \rightarrow w_{0}}\left(\frac{g(w)-g\left(w_{0}\right)}{w-w_{0}}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)=0=\Phi\left(w_{0}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

So $\Phi$ is continuous at $w_{0}$. Rearranging the definition of $\phi$ we get

$$
g(w)-g\left(w_{0}\right)=\left(g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)+\Phi(w)\right)\left(w-w_{0}\right) \text { for }\left|w-w_{0}\right|<\varepsilon .
$$

Since $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ exists then $f$ is continuous at $z_{0}$ by Theorem 2.19.A, so by definition of continuity there is $\delta>0$ such that for all $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\delta$ we have $\left|f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|w-w_{0}\right|<\varepsilon$.

## Theorem 2.20.C (continued 1)

Proof (continued). ... and note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{w \rightarrow w_{0}} \Phi(w)=\lim _{w \rightarrow w_{0}}\left(\frac{g(w)-g\left(w_{0}\right)}{w-w_{0}}-g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)\right) \\
=\lim _{w \rightarrow w_{0}}\left(\frac{g(w)-g\left(w_{0}\right)}{w-w_{0}}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)=0=\Phi\left(w_{0}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

So $\Phi$ is continuous at $w_{0}$. Rearranging the definition of $\Phi$ we get

$$
g(w)-g\left(w_{0}\right)=\left(g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)+\Phi(w)\right)\left(w-w_{0}\right) \text { for }\left|w-w_{0}\right|<\varepsilon .
$$

Since $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ exists then $f$ is continuous at $z_{0}$ by Theorem 2.19.A, so by definition of continuity there is $\delta>0$ such that for all $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\delta$ we have $\left|f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|w-w_{0}\right|<\varepsilon$. So
$g(f(z))-g\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\left(g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)+\Phi(f(z))\right)\left(f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)$ for $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\delta$

## Theorem 2.20.C (continued 1)

Proof (continued). ... and note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{w \rightarrow w_{0}} \Phi(w)=\lim _{w \rightarrow w_{0}}\left(\frac{g(w)-g\left(w_{0}\right)}{w-w_{0}}-g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)\right) \\
=\lim _{w \rightarrow w_{0}}\left(\frac{g(w)-g\left(w_{0}\right)}{w-w_{0}}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)=g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)=0=\Phi\left(w_{0}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

So $\Phi$ is continuous at $w_{0}$. Rearranging the definition of $\Phi$ we get

$$
g(w)-g\left(w_{0}\right)=\left(g^{\prime}\left(w_{0}\right)+\Phi(w)\right)\left(w-w_{0}\right) \text { for }\left|w-w_{0}\right|<\varepsilon .
$$

Since $f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ exists then $f$ is continuous at $z_{0}$ by Theorem 2.19.A, so by definition of continuity there is $\delta>0$ such that for all $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\delta$ we have $\left|f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|w-w_{0}\right|<\varepsilon$. So
$g(f(z))-g\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\left(g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)+\Phi(f(z))\right)\left(f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)$ for $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\delta$

## Theorem 2.20.C (continued 2)

Proof (continued). ... or

$$
\frac{g(f(z))-g\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}{z-z_{0}}=\left(g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)+\Phi(f(z)) \frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-z_{0}} \text { for } 0<\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\delta .\right.
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{g(f(z))-g\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}{z-z_{0}}=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left(g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)+\Phi(f(z))\right) \lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-z_{0}}\right.
$$

## Theorem 2.20.C (continued 2)

Proof (continued). ... or

$$
\frac{g(f(z))-g\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}{z-z_{0}}=\left(g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)+\Phi(f(z)) \frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-z_{0}} \text { for } 0<\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\delta .\right.
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{g(f(z))-g\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}{z-z_{0}}=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left(g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)+\Phi(f(z))\right) \lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-z_{0}}\right.
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d z}[g(f(z))]\right|_{z=z_{0}}= & g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \Phi(f(z)) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \\
= & g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\Phi\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \text { since } f \text { is } \\
& \text { continuous at } z_{0} \text { and } \Phi \text { is continuous at } w_{0}=f\left(z_{0}\right) \\
= & g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \text { since } \Phi\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\Phi\left(w_{0}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 2.20.C (continued 2)

Proof (continued). . . . or

$$
\frac{g(f(z))-g\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}{z-z_{0}}=\left(g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)+\Phi(f(z)) \frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-z_{0}} \text { for } 0<\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\delta .\right.
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{g(f(z))-g\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)}{z-z_{0}}=\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}}\left(g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)+\Phi(f(z))\right) \lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \frac{f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)}{z-z_{0}}\right.
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d z}[g(f(z))]\right|_{z=z_{0}}= & g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\lim _{z \rightarrow z_{0}} \Phi(f(z)) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \\
= & g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\Phi\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \text { since } f \text { is } \\
& \text { continuous at } z_{0} \text { and } \Phi \text { is continuous at } w_{0}=f\left(z_{0}\right) \\
= & g^{\prime}\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \text { since } \Phi\left(f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\Phi\left(w_{0}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

