## Complex Analysis

Chapter IX. Analytic Continuation and Riemann Surfaces
IX.6. Analytic Manifolds—Proofs of Theorems
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## Proposition IX.6.3

Proposition IX.6.3. Let $(X, \Phi)$ be an analytic surface.
(a) Let $V$ be an open connected subset of $X$. If

$$
\Phi_{V}=\{(U \cap V, \varphi) \mid(U, \varphi) \in \Phi\}
$$

then $\left(V, \Phi_{V}\right)$ is an analytic surface.
(b) If $\Omega$ is a topological space such that there is a homeomorphism $h$ of $X$ onto $\Omega$ then with

$$
\Phi=\left\{\left(h(U), \varphi \circ h^{-1}\right) \mid(U, \varphi) \in \Phi\right\}
$$

we have that $(\Omega, \Psi)$ is an analytic surface.
Proof. (a) First, since every element of $X$ is in at least one member of $\Phi$ then every element of $V$ is in at least one element of $\Phi_{V}$ and part (i) of the definition is satisfied.
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## Theorem IX. 6.3 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). Since $\varphi_{b}\left(V_{a} \cap V_{b}\right) \subset \varphi_{b}\left(U_{a} \cap U_{b}\right)$ then $\varphi_{a} \circ \varphi_{b}^{-1}$ is also analytic on $\varphi_{b}\left(V_{a} \cap V_{b}\right)$ and

$$
\varphi_{a} \circ \varphi_{b}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{a}\left(V_{a} \cap V_{b}\right)=\varphi_{a} \circ\left(\varphi_{b}^{-1} \varphi_{b}\right)\left(V_{a} \cap V_{b}\right)\right.
$$

since function composition is associative

$$
=\varphi_{a}\left(V_{a} \cap V_{b}\right)
$$

and so $\varphi_{a} \circ \varphi_{b}^{-1}$ maps $\varphi_{b}\left(V_{a} \cap V_{b}\right)$ onto $\varphi_{a}\left(V_{a} \cap V_{b}\right)$. So part (ii) of the definition is satisfied.
(b) Since $X$ is connected and $X$ is homeomorphic to $\Omega$ then $\Omega$ is connected.
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## Theorem IX. 6.3 (continued 2)

Proof (continued). Now we check part (ii) of the definition of analytic surface. Let $(U, \varphi),(V, \mu) \in \Phi$ (so that $\left.\left(h(U), \varphi \circ h^{-1}\right),\left(h(V), \mu \circ h^{-1}\right) \in \Psi\right)$ such that $h(U) \cap h(V) \neq \varnothing$. But then

$$
h^{-1}(h(U) \cap h(V))-h^{-1}(h(U)) \cap h^{-1}(h(V))=U \cap V \neq \varnothing .
$$

## So

$\left(\varphi \circ h^{-1}\right) \circ\left(\mu \circ h^{-1}\right)^{-1}=\left(\varphi \circ h^{-1}\right) \circ\left(h \circ \mu^{-1}\right)=\varphi \circ\left(h \circ h^{-1}\right) \circ \mu^{-1}=\varphi \circ \mu^{-1}$
where $\varphi \circ \mu^{-1}$ is analytic since $\Phi$ satisfies part (ii) of the definition. Therefore $\psi$ satisfies part (ii) of the definition and $(\Omega, \Psi)$ is an analytic surface, as claimed.
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$$

So
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## Proposition IX.6.6

Proposition IX.6.6. Let $G$ be a region in the plane and let $f$ be an analytic function on $g$ with non-vanishing derivative. For $\alpha=(a, f(a)) \in \Gamma=\{(z, f(z)) \mid z \in G\}$. Let $D_{z}$ be a disk about a such that $D_{a} \subset G$ and $f$ is one to one on $D_{a}$ (which is possible since $f^{\prime}(a) \neq 0$ ).
Let $U_{\alpha}=\left\{(z, f(z)) \mid z \in D_{a}\right\}$ and define $\varphi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\varphi_{\alpha}(z, f(z))=f(z)$ for each $(z, f(z)) \in U_{\alpha}$. If $\Gamma$ is the graph of $f$ and $\Phi=\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\right\}$ then $(\Gamma, \Phi)$ is an analytic surface.

Proof. Since 「 is homeomorphic to $G$ (though the projection homeomorphism $p$, as described above) and $G$ is connected, then $\Gamma$ is connected. Fix $\alpha=(a, f(a)) \in \Gamma$. Just as the projection $p$ of $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ is a homeomorphism, the projection $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is a homeomorphism of $U_{\alpha}$ onto $f\left(D_{\alpha}\right)$ (except that $\varphi_{\alpha}$ projects into the range of $f$ instead of the domain of $f$ ).
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Proof. Since $\Gamma$ is homeomorphic to $G$ (though the projection homeomorphism $p$, as described above) and $G$ is connected, then $\Gamma$ is connected. Fix $\alpha=(a, f(a)) \in \Gamma$. Just as the projection $p$ of $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ is a homeomorphism, the projection $\varphi_{\alpha}$ is a homeomorphism of $U_{\alpha}$ onto $f\left(D_{\alpha}\right)$ (except that $\varphi_{\alpha}$ projects into the range of $f$ instead of the domain of $f$ ). Suppose that $\beta=(b, f(b)) \in \Gamma$ with $U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \neq \varnothing$. We can now show that $\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}: \varphi_{\beta}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow \varphi_{\alpha}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)$ is analytic.
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## Proposition IX. 6.6 (continued)

Proposition IX.6.6. Let $G$ be a region in the plane and let $f$ be an analytic function on $g$ with non-vanishing derivative. For $\alpha=(a, f(a)) \in \Gamma=\{(z, f(z)) \mid z \in G\}$. Let $D_{z}$ be a disk about a such that $D_{a} \subset G$ and $f$ is one to one on $D_{a}$ (which is possible since $f^{\prime}(a) \neq 0$ ). Let $U_{\alpha}=\left\{(z, f(z)) \mid z \in D_{a}\right\}$ and define $\varphi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\varphi_{\alpha}(z, f(z))=f(z)$ for each $(z, f(z)) \in U_{\alpha}$. If $\Gamma$ is the graph of $f$ and $\Phi=\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\right\}$ then $(\Gamma, \Phi)$ is an analytic surface.

Proof (continued). Since $f: D_{b} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is one to one and analytic, then it has a local inverse $g: \Omega=d\left(D_{b}\right) \rightarrow D_{b}$ such that $f(g(\omega))=\omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ (by Corollary IV.7.6).
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Proof (continued). Since $f: D_{b} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is one to one and analytic, then it has a local inverse $g: \Omega=d\left(D_{b}\right) \rightarrow D_{b}$ such that $f(g(\omega))=\omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ (by Corollary IV.7.6).
o $\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}$ is constant and hence analytic on $\varphi_{\beta}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)$. That is, $(\Gamma, \Phi)$ is an analytic surface.

## Proposition IX. 6.6 (continued)

Proposition IX.6.6. Let $G$ be a region in the plane and let $f$ be an analytic function on $g$ with non-vanishing derivative. For $\alpha=(a, f(a)) \in \Gamma=\{(z, f(z)) \mid z \in G\}$. Let $D_{z}$ be a disk about a such that $D_{a} \subset G$ and $f$ is one to one on $D_{a}$ (which is possible since $f^{\prime}(a) \neq 0$ ). Let $U_{\alpha}=\left\{(z, f(z)) \mid z \in D_{a}\right\}$ and define $\varphi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\varphi_{\alpha}(z, f(z))=f(z)$ for each $(z, f(z)) \in U_{\alpha}$. If $\Gamma$ is the graph of $f$ and $\Phi=\left\{\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\right\}$ then $(\Gamma, \Phi)$ is an analytic surface.

Proof (continued). Since $f: D_{b} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is one to one and analytic, then it has a local inverse $g: \Omega=d\left(D_{b}\right) \rightarrow D_{b}$ such that $f(g(\omega))=\omega$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ (by Corollary IV.7.6). Since $\varphi_{\beta}\left(U_{\beta}\right)=\Omega=f\left(D_{b}\right)$ then $\varphi_{\beta}^{-1}(\omega)=\left(g(\omega, \omega)\right.$. Thus $\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}(\omega)=\varphi_{\alpha}((g(\omega), \omega))=\omega$ for each $\omega \in \varphi_{\beta}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)$. So $\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}$ is constant and hence analytic on $\varphi_{\beta}\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)$. That is, $(\Gamma, \Phi)$ is an analytic surface.

