## Complex Analysis

## Chapter VI. The Maximum Modulus Theorem

Supplement. Applications of the Maximum Modulus Theorem to Polynomials—Proofs of Theorems
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## The Centroid Theorem

## The Centroid Theorem.

The centroid of the zeros of a polynomial is the same as the centroid of the zeros of the derivative of the polynomial.

Proof. Let polynomial $p$ have zeros $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$. Then
$p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}=a_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{k}\right)$. Multiplying out, we find that the coefficient of $z^{n-1}$ is $a_{n-1}=-a_{n}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+\cdots+z_{n}\right)$.

## The Centroid Theorem

## The Centroid Theorem.

The centroid of the zeros of a polynomial is the same as the centroid of the zeros of the derivative of the polynomial.

Proof. Let polynomial $p$ have zeros $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$. Then $p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}=a_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{k}\right)$. Multiplying out, we find that the coefficient of $z^{n-1}$ is $a_{n-1}=-a_{n}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+\cdots+z_{n}\right)$. Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p$ is


Let the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ be $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n-1}$. Then

$$
p^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k a_{k} z^{k-1}=n a_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(z-w_{k}\right)
$$

## The Centroid Theorem

## The Centroid Theorem.

The centroid of the zeros of a polynomial is the same as the centroid of the zeros of the derivative of the polynomial.

Proof. Let polynomial $p$ have zeros $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$. Then $p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}=a_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{k}\right)$. Multiplying out, we find that the coefficient of $z^{n-1}$ is $a_{n-1}=-a_{n}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+\cdots+z_{n}\right)$. Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p$ is

$$
\frac{z_{1}+z_{2}+\cdots+z_{n}}{n}=\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{-a_{n-1}}{a_{n}}\right)=\frac{-a_{n-1}}{n a_{n}} .
$$

Let the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ be $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n-1}$. Then

$$
p^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k a_{k} z^{k-1}=n a_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(z-w_{k}\right)
$$

## The Centroid Theorem (continued)

## The Centroid Theorem.

The centroid of the zeros of a polynomial is the same as the centroid of the zeros of the derivative of the polynomial.

Proof (continued). Multiplying out, we find that the coefficient of $z^{n-2}$ is

$$
(n-1) a_{n-1}=-n a_{n}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ is


Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ is the same as the centroid of the zeros of $p$.

## The Centroid Theorem (continued)

## The Centroid Theorem.

The centroid of the zeros of a polynomial is the same as the centroid of the zeros of the derivative of the polynomial.

Proof (continued). Multiplying out, we find that the coefficient of $z^{n-2}$ is

$$
(n-1) a_{n-1}=-n a_{n}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ is

$$
\frac{w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{n-1}}{n-1}=\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{-(n-1) a_{n-1}}{n a_{n}}\right)=\frac{-a_{n-1}}{n a_{n}} .
$$

Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ is the same as the centroid of the zeros of $p$.

## The Lucas Theorem

## The Lucas Theorem.

If all the zeros of a polynomial $p$ lie in a half-plane in the complex plane, then all the zeros of the derivative $p^{\prime}$ lie in the same half-plane.

Proof. By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, we can factor $p$ as $p(z)=a_{n}\left(z-r_{1}\right)\left(z-r_{2}\right) \cdots\left(z-r_{n}\right)$. So

$$
\log p(z)=\log a_{n}+\log \left(z-r_{1}\right)+\log \left(z-r_{2}\right)+\cdots+\log \left(z-r_{n}\right)
$$

and differentiating both sides gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\frac{1}{z-r_{1}}+\frac{1}{z-r_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{z-r_{n}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z-r_{k}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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\end{equation*}
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## The Lucas Theorem (continued 1)

Proof (continued). Suppose the half-plane $H$ that contains all the zeros of $p(z)$ is described by $\operatorname{Im}((z-a) / b) \leq 0$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{1}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0, \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{2}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0, \ldots, \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{n}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0
$$

Now let $z^{*}$ be some number not in $H$. We want to show that $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$ (this will mean that all the zeros of $p^{\prime}(z)$ are in $H$ ). Well, $\operatorname{lm}\left(\left(z^{*}-a\right) / b\right)>0$. Let $r_{k}$ be some zero of $p$.

## The Lucas Theorem (continued 1)

Proof (continued). Suppose the half-plane $H$ that contains all the zeros of $p(z)$ is described by $\operatorname{Im}((z-a) / b) \leq 0$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{1}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0, \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{2}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0, \ldots, \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{n}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0
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Now let $z^{*}$ be some number not in $H$. We want to show that $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$ (this will mean that all the zeros of $p^{\prime}(z)$ are in $H$ ). Well, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(z^{*}-a\right) / b\right)>0$. Let $r_{k}$ be some zero of $p$. Then

(Notice that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(z^{*}-a\right) / b\right)>0$ since $z^{*}$ is not in $H$ and
$-\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{k}-a\right) / b\right) \geq 0$ since $r_{k}$ is in H.) The imaginary parts of reciprocal numbers have opposite signs, so $\operatorname{Im}\left(b /\left(z^{*}-r_{k}\right)\right)<0$.

## The Lucas Theorem (continued 1)

Proof (continued). Suppose the half-plane $H$ that contains all the zeros of $p(z)$ is described by $\operatorname{Im}((z-a) / b) \leq 0$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{1}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0, \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{2}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0, \ldots, \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{n}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0
$$

Now let $z^{*}$ be some number not in $H$. We want to show that $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$ (this will mean that all the zeros of $p^{\prime}(z)$ are in $H$ ). Well, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(z^{*}-a\right) / b\right)>0$. Let $r_{k}$ be some zero of $p$. Then
$\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{z^{*}-r_{k}}{b}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{z^{*}-a-r_{k}+a}{b}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{z^{*}-a}{b}\right)-\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{r_{k}-a}{b}\right)>0$.
(Notice that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(z^{*}-a\right) / b\right)>0$ since $z^{*}$ is not in $H$ and $-\operatorname{lm}\left(\left(r_{k}-a\right) / b\right) \geq 0$ since $r_{k}$ is in H.) The imaginary parts of reciprocal numbers have opposite signs, so $\operatorname{Im}\left(b /\left(z^{*}-r_{k}\right)\right)<0$.

## The Lucas Theorem (continued 2)

## The Lucas Theorem.

If all the zeros of a polynomial $p$ lie in a half-plane in the complex plane, then all the zeros of the derivative $p^{\prime}$ lie in the same half-plane.

Proof (continued). Recall

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\frac{1}{z-r_{1}}+\frac{1}{z-r_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{z-r_{n}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z-r_{k}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (1),


So $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) / p\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$ and $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$. Therefore if $p^{\prime}(z)=0$ then
$z \in H$.

## The Lucas Theorem (continued 2)

## The Lucas Theorem.

If all the zeros of a polynomial $p$ lie in a half-plane in the complex plane, then all the zeros of the derivative $p^{\prime}$ lie in the same half-plane.

Proof (continued). Recall

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\frac{1}{z-r_{1}}+\frac{1}{z-r_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{z-r_{n}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z-r_{k}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (1),

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{b p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right)}{p\left(z^{*}\right)}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{b}{z^{*}-r_{k}}\right)<0 .
$$

So $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) / p\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$ and $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$. Therefore if $p^{\prime}(z)=0$ then $z \in H$.

## The Eneström-Kakeya Theorem

The Eneström-Kakeya Theorem.
If $p(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with coefficients satisfying

$$
0 \leq a_{0} \leq a_{1} \leq \cdots \leq a_{n},
$$

then all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

## Proof. Define $f$ by the equation

$p(z)(1-z)=a_{0}+\left(a_{1}-a_{0}\right) z+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right) z^{2}+\cdots+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right) z^{n}-a_{n} z^{n+1}=$ $f(z)-a_{n} z^{n+1}$. Then for $|z|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| & \leq\left|a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{1}-a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{2}-a_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right| \\
& =a_{0}+\left(a_{1}-a_{0}\right)+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right)=a_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Eneström-Kakeya Theorem

## The Eneström-Kakeya Theorem.

If $p(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with coefficients satisfying

$$
0 \leq a_{0} \leq a_{1} \leq \cdots \leq a_{n},
$$

then all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.
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& =a_{0}+\left(a_{1}-a_{0}\right)+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right)=a_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the function $z^{n} f(1 / z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(a_{j}-a_{j-1}\right) z^{n-j}$ (where we take $a_{-1}=0$ ) has the same bound on $|z|=1$ as $f$. Namely, $\left|z^{n} f(1 / z)\right| \leq a_{n}$ for $|z|=1$. Since $z^{n} f(1 / z)$ is analytic in $|z| \leq 1$, we have $\left|z^{n} f(1 / z)\right| \leq a_{n}$ for $|z| \leq 1$ by the Maximum Modulus Theorem. Hence, $|f(1 / z)| \leq a_{n} /|z|^{n}$ for $|z|<1$
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## The Eneström-Kakeya Theorem (continued)

## The Eneström-Kakeya Theorem.

If $p(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with coefficients satisfying

$$
0 \leq a_{0} \leq a_{1} \leq \cdots \leq a_{n},
$$

then all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

Proof (continued). Hence, $|f(1 / z)| \leq a_{n} /|z|^{n}$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Replacing $z$ with $1 / z$, we see that $|f(z)| \leq a_{n} z^{n}$ for $|z| \geq 1$, and making use of this we get, $|(1-z) p(z)|=\left|f(z)-a_{n} z^{n+1}\right| \geq a_{n}|z|^{n+1}-|f(z)| \geq$ $a_{n}|z|^{n+1}-a_{n}|z|^{n}=a_{n}|z|^{n}(|z|-1)$. So if $|z|>1$ then $(1-z) p(z) \neq 0$. Therefore, all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

## The Eneström-Kakeya Theorem (continued)

## The Eneström-Kakeya Theorem.

If $p(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with coefficients satisfying

$$
0 \leq a_{0} \leq a_{1} \leq \cdots \leq a_{n},
$$

then all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

Proof (continued). Hence, $|f(1 / z)| \leq a_{n} /|z|^{n}$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Replacing $z$ with $1 / z$, we see that $|f(z)| \leq a_{n} z^{n}$ for $|z| \geq 1$, and making use of this we get, $|(1-z) p(z)|=\left|f(z)-a_{n} z^{n+1}\right| \geq a_{n}|z|^{n+1}-|f(z)| \geq$ $a_{n}|z|^{n+1}-a_{n}|z|^{n}=a_{n}|z|^{n}(|z|-1)$. So if $|z|>1$ then $(1-z) p(z) \neq 0$. Therefore, all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

## Rate of Growth Theorem

## Rate of Growth Theorem (Bernstein).

If $p$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ such that $|p(z)| \leq M$ on $|z|=1$, then for $R \geq 1$ we have

$$
\max _{|z|=R}|p(z)| \leq M R^{n} .
$$

Proof. For $p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$ we have $r(z)=z^{n} p(1 / z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{n-k}$. Notice that for $|z|=1$ (and $1 / z=\bar{z}$ ) we have $\|r\|=\|p\|$ where $\|p\|=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$. By the Maximum Modulus Theorem, for $|z| \leq 1$ we have $|r(z)| \leq\|r\|=\|p\| \leq M$. That is, $\left|z^{n} p(1 / z)\right| \leq M$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Replacing $z$ with $1 / z$, we have $\left|\left(1 / z^{n}\right) p(z)\right| \leq M$ for $|z| \geq 1$, or $|p(z)| \leq M|z|^{n}$ for $|z| \geq 1$.

## Rate of Growth Theorem
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If $p$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ such that $|p(z)| \leq M$ on $|z|=1$, then for $R \geq 1$ we have
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\max _{|z|=R}|p(z)| \leq M R^{n} .
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Proof. For $p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$ we have $r(z)=z^{n} p(1 / z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{n-k}$. Notice that for $|z|=1$ (and $1 / z=\bar{z}$ ) we have $\|r\|=\|p\|$ where $\|p\|=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$. By the Maximum Modulus Theorem, for $|z| \leq 1$ we have $|r(z)| \leq\|r\|=\|p\| \leq M$. That is, $\left|z^{n} p(1 / z)\right| \leq M$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Replacing $z$ with $1 / z$, we have $\left|\left(1 / z^{n}\right) p(z)\right| \leq M$ for $|z| \geq 1$, or $|p(z)| \leq M|z|^{n}$ for $|z| \geq 1$.

## Bernstein Lemma

Bernstein Lemma. Let $p$ and $q$ be polynomials such that
(i) $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq 1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. Then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

Proof. Define $f(z)=p(z) / q(z)$. Then $f$ is analytic on $|z|>1,|f(z)| \leq 1$ for $|z|=1$, and $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|f(z)| \leq 1$. So by the Maximum Modulus Principle for Unbounded Domains,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq 1 \text { for }|z| \geq 1 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Bernstein Lemma

Bernstein Lemma. Let $p$ and $q$ be polynomials such that
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$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq 1 \text { for }|z| \geq 1 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $|\lambda|>1$ and define polynomial $g(z)=p(z)-\lambda q(z)$. If $g\left(z_{0}\right)=p\left(z_{0}\right)-\lambda q\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ and if $q\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$ then
$\left|p\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=|\lambda|\left|q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>\left|q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|$. Therefore $\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|p\left(z_{0}\right) / q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>1$ and
so $\left|z_{0}\right|<1$ by $(*)$. Now if $q\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, then $\left|z_{0}\right| \leq 1$ and it could be that $\left|z_{0}\right|=1$ in which case $p\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ and $g\left(z_{0}\right)=0$. So all zeros of $g$ lie in
$z \mid \leq 1$.

## Bernstein Lemma

Bernstein Lemma. Let $p$ and $q$ be polynomials such that
(i) $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq 1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. Then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

Proof. Define $f(z)=p(z) / q(z)$. Then $f$ is analytic on $|z|>1,|f(z)| \leq 1$ for $|z|=1$, and $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|f(z)| \leq 1$. So by the Maximum Modulus Principle for Unbounded Domains,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq 1 \text { for }|z| \geq 1 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Bernstein Lemma (continued)

Bernstein Lemma. Let $p$ and $q$ be polynomials such that (i) $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq 1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. Then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

Proof (continued). By Lucas' Theorem, $g^{\prime}$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$. So for no $|\lambda|>1$ is $g^{\prime}(z)=p^{\prime}(z)-\lambda q^{\prime}(z)=0$ where $|z|>1$; or in other words, $p^{\prime}(z) / q^{\prime}(z)=\lambda$ where $|\lambda|>1$ has no solution in $|z|>1$. Hence $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|>1$. By taking limits, we have $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z| \geq 1$, and the result follows.

## Bernstein Lemma (continued)

Bernstein Lemma. Let $p$ and $q$ be polynomials such that (i) $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq 1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. Then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

Proof (continued). By Lucas' Theorem, $g^{\prime}$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$. So for no $|\lambda|>1$ is $g^{\prime}(z)=p^{\prime}(z)-\lambda q^{\prime}(z)=0$ where $|z|>1$; or in other words, $p^{\prime}(z) / q^{\prime}(z)=\lambda$ where $|\lambda|>1$ has no solution in $|z|>1$. Hence $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|>1$. By taking limits, we have $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z| \geq 1$, and the result follows.

## Bernstein's Inequality

## Bernstein's Inequality.

Let $p$ be a polynomial of degree $n$. Then

$$
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq n \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|
$$

Proof. Let $M=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$ and define $q(z)=M z^{n}$. Then
(i) $|p(z)| \leq R^{n} M$ for $|z|=R$ by Bernstein's Rate of Growth Theorem, and so $\lim _{|z|=R \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty}\left(R^{n} M\right) /\left(R^{n} M\right)=1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|=M$ on $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

So, by the Bernstein's Lemma, $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$. This implies

$$
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \max _{|z|=1}\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|=\max _{|z|=1}\left|n M z^{n-1}\right|=n M=n \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| .
$$

## Bernstein's Inequality

## Bernstein's Inequality.

Let $p$ be a polynomial of degree $n$. Then

$$
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq n \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|
$$

Proof. Let $M=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$ and define $q(z)=M z^{n}$. Then
(i) $|p(z)| \leq R^{n} M$ for $|z|=R$ by Bernstein's Rate of Growth Theorem, and so $\lim _{|z|=R \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty}\left(R^{n} M\right) /\left(R^{n} M\right)=1$,
(ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|=M$ on $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. So, by the Bernstein's Lemma, $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$. This implies that

$$
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \max _{|z|=1}\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|=\max _{|z|=1}\left|n M z^{n-1}\right|=n M=n \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| .
$$

