

## Lemma VII.5.A

## Complex Analysis

## Chapter VII. Compactness and Convergence in the Space of Analytic Functions

VII.5. The Weierstrass Factorization Theorem—Proofs of Theorems



**Lemma VII.5.A.** Let  $\{z_n\}$  be a sequence of nonzero complex numbers. Suppose  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k$  exists. If  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \neq 0$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = 1$ .

**Proof.** Denote  $p_n = \prod_{k=1}^n z_k$ . Suppose  $\prod_{k=1}^n z_n$  exists and is not zero. Then no  $p_n$  is 0 and  $p_n/p_{n-1} = z_n$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = z$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_n}{p_{n-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n \text{ implies } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_n}{p_{n-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n,$$

or  $1 = z/z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n$ . □

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

1 / 36

Proposition VII.5.2

## Proposition VII.5.2

**Proposition VII.5.2.** Let  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges to a nonzero complex number if and only if the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log z_n$  converges.

**Proof.** We just showed that if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log z_n$  converges (say to  $s$ ) then  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges (to  $e^s$ ). Now suppose  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges, say  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = z$  where  $z = re^{i\theta}$  for some  $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$ . Define  $\ell(p_n) = \log |p_n| + i\theta_n$  where  $-\pi < \theta_n \leq \theta + \pi$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = z$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_n| = |z| = r$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_n = \theta$ ; hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell(p_n) = \ell(p_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log |p_n| + i\theta_n) = \log |z| + i\theta$  (notice  $\theta_n \in (\theta - \pi, \theta + \pi]$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). If  $s_n \sum_{k=1}^n \log z_k$  then  $\exp(s_n) = p_n$  and so  $s_n = \ell(p_n) + 2\pi i k_n$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $p_n \rightarrow z$  then  $s_n - s_{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^n \log z_k - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \log z_k = \log z_n$  (where we use the principal branch of the logarithm here) and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s_n - s_{n-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \log 1 = 0$ .

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

3 / 36

Proposition VII.5.2

## Proposition VII.5.2 (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).** Also

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(p_n) - \ell(p_{n-1}) &= (\log |p_n| + i\theta_n) - (\log |p_{n-1}| + i\theta_{n-1}) \\ &= \log \left| \frac{p_n}{p_{n-1}} \right| + i(\theta_n - \theta_{n-1}) = \log |z_n| + i(\theta_n - \theta_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\ell(p_n) - \ell(p_{n-1})) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\log |z_n| + i(\theta_n - \theta_{n-1})) \log \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n \right) \\ &+ i \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\theta_n - \theta_{n-1}) = \log 1 + i(\theta - \theta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s_n = \ell(p_n) + 2\pi i k_n$  then  $\ell(p_n) = s_n - 2\pi i k_n$ , and so

$$\ell(p_n) - \ell(p_{n-1}) = (s_n - 2\pi i k_n) - (s_{n-1} - 2\pi i k_{n-1}) = s_n - s_{n-1} - 2\pi i (k_n - k_{n-1})$$

and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ((s_n - s_{n-1}) - 2\pi i (k_n - k_{n-1})) = 0$ , so

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (k_n - k_{n-1}) = 0$ . But since  $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  then there is some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k_m = k$  for some fixed  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and for all  $m, n \geq n_0$ .

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

4 / 36

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

5 / 36

## Proposition VII.5.2 (continued 2)

**Proposition VII.5.2.** Let  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges to a nonzero complex number if and only if the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log z_n$  converges.

**Proof (continued).** Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\ell(p_n) + 2\pi i k_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell(p_n) + 2\pi i \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = \ell(z) + 2\pi i k.$$

That is,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log z_k$  converges. □

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

6 / 36

Proposition VII.5.4

## Proposition VII.5.4

**Proposition VII.5.4.** Let  $\operatorname{Re}(z) > -1$ . Then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(1 + z_n)$  converges absolutely if and only if the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges absolutely.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges absolutely; that is, suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n|$  converges. Then, by the “Test for Divergence,” from Calculus 2,  $|z_n| \rightarrow 0$  and  $z_n \rightarrow 0$ . So there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$  we have  $|z_n| < 1/2$ . So by Lemma VII.5.B, for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$|\log(1 + z_n)| \leq 3|z_n|/2. \text{ So by the Direct Comparison Test, since } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3|z_n|/2 \text{ converges then } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\log(1 + z_n)| \text{ converges. That is, } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(1 + z_n) \text{ converges absolutely.}$$

Suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\log(1 + z_n)|$  converges. Then by the Test for Divergence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\log(1 + z_n)| = 0$  and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = 0$ . so there is  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_1$  we have  $|z_n| < 1/2$ . By Lemma VII.5.B, for all  $n \geq n_1$ ,  $|z_n|/2 \leq |\log(1 + z_n)|$ . By the Direct Comparison Test, since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\log(1 + z_n)|$  converges then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |z_n|/2$  converges. That is,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges absolutely. □

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

8 / 36

## Lemma VII.5.B

**Lemma VII.5.B.** If  $|z| < 1/2$  then  $\frac{1}{2}|z| \leq |\log(1 + z)| \leq \frac{3}{2}|z|$ .

**Proof.** The power series for  $\log 1 + z$  about  $z = 0$  is

$$\log(1 + z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{z^n}{n} = z - \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{3} - \dots$$

which has radius of convergence 1. So for  $|z| < 1$ ,

$$\left| 1 - \frac{\log(1 + z)}{z} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{3}z^2 + \frac{1}{4}z^3 - \dots \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}|z| + \frac{1}{3}|z|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|z|^3 + \dots \leq \frac{1}{2}(|z| + |z|^2 + |z|^3 + \dots) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|z|}{1 - |z|}.$$

For  $|z| < 1/2$ ,  $\left| 1 - \frac{\log(1 + z)}{z} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|z - \log(1 + z)| \leq |z|/2$ . So by

Exercise I.3.1,  $|\log(1 + z)| - |z| \leq |z|/2$  and so  $|\log(1 + z)| \leq 3|z|/2$ .

Similarly,  $|z| - |\log(1 + z)| \leq |z|/2$  and so  $|z|/2 \leq |\log(1 + z)|$ . □

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

7 / 36

Lemma VII.5.C

## Lemma VII.5.C

**Lemma VII.5.C.** Let  $\{z_n\}$  be a sequence of complex numbers with  $\operatorname{Re}(z_n) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges absolutely. Then

- (a)  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges; and
- (b) any rearrangement of  $\{z_n\}$ , say  $\{z_m\}$  (where  $m = f(n)$  for some given one to one and onto  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ) converges absolutely.

**Proof. (a)** Since  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges absolutely, by definition, the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log z_n$  converges absolutely. By Proposition III.1.1, this means that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log z_n$  converges. So by Proposition VII.5.2,  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges.

**(b)** Since  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges absolutely then, by definition, the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log z_n$  converges absolutely. That is,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\log z_n|$  converges. With  $m = f(n)$  as described above (and  $\{z_m\}$  a rearrangement of  $\{z_n\}$ ), then by the Rearrangement Theorem from Calculus 2,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\log z_n| = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\log z_m|$  and so  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log z_m$  converges absolutely. So, by definition,  $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} z_m$  converges absolutely. □

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

9 / 36

## Corollary VII.5.6

**Corollary VII.5.6.** If  $\operatorname{Re}(z_n) > 0$  then the product  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges absolutely if and only if the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (z_n - 1)$  converges absolutely.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges absolutely. Then, by definition,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log z_n$  converges absolutely. Define  $z_m = z_n - 1$ . Then  $\operatorname{Re}(z_m) > -1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log z_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log(1 + z_m)$  converges absolutely. So by Proposition VII.5.4,  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} z_m = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (z_n - 1)$  converges absolutely.

Suppose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (z_n - 1)$  converges absolutely. Define  $z_m = z_1 - 1$ . Then  $\operatorname{Re}(z_m) > -1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (z_n - 1) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} z_m$  converges absolutely. So by Proposition VII.5.4,  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \log(1 + z_m) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log z_n$  converges absolutely. So, by definition,  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n$  converges absolutely.  $\square$

## Lemma VII.5.7 (continued)

**Lemma VII.5.7.** Let  $X$  be a set and let  $f, f_1, f_2, \dots$  be functions from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$  uniformly for  $x \in X$ . If there is a constant  $a$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) \leq a$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $\exp(f_n(x)) \rightarrow \exp(f(x))$  uniformly for  $x \in X$ .

**Proof (continued).** So for all  $n \geq n_0$  for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\exp(f_n(x)) - \exp(f(x))| &< \varepsilon e^{-a} |\exp f(x)| \\ &= \varepsilon e^{-a} \exp(\operatorname{Re}(f(x))) \\ &\quad \text{since } |\exp(f(x))| = \exp(\operatorname{Re}(f(x))) \\ &= \varepsilon \exp(\operatorname{Re}(f(x)) - a) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \text{ since } \operatorname{Re}(f(x)) - a \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $\{\exp(f_n(x))\}$  converges to  $\exp(f(x))$  uniformly on  $X$ .  $\square$

## Lemma VII.5.7

**Lemma VII.5.7.** Let  $X$  be a set and let  $f, f_1, f_2, \dots$  be functions from  $X$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$  uniformly for  $x \in X$ . If there is a constant  $a$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(f(z)) \leq a$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $\exp(f_n(x)) \rightarrow \exp(f(x))$  uniformly for  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $e^z$  is continuous at  $z = 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $|z| < \delta$  such that for  $|z| < \delta$  we have  $|e^z - 1| < \varepsilon e^{-a}$ . Choose  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq n_0$  implies  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \delta$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then for  $n \geq n_0$  we have for all  $x \in X$  that  $\varepsilon e^{-a} > |e^{f_n(x) - f(x)} - 1| = |\exp(f_n(x)) / \exp(f(x)) - 1|$ .

## Lemma VII.5.8

**Lemma VII.5.8.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space and let  $\{g_n\}$  be a sequence of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)$  converges absolutely and uniformly for  $x \in X$ . Then the product  $f(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + g_n(x))$  converges absolutely and uniformly for  $x \in X$ . Also, there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(z) = 0$  if and only if  $g_n(x) = -1$  for some  $n$  where  $1 \leq n \leq n_0$ .

**Proof.** The absolute and uniform convergence of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)$  on  $X$  implies that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g_n(x)|$  converges uniformly on  $X$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} |g_n(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ . In particular, there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|g_n(x)| < 1/2$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $n > n_0$ . So for  $n > n_0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(1 + g_n(x)) = \operatorname{Re}(1) + \operatorname{Re}(g_n(x)) > 1 - 1/2 = 1/2 > 0$ , since  $|\operatorname{Re}(g_n(x))| \leq |g_n(x)| < 1/2$  and so  $-1/2 < \operatorname{Re}(g_n(x)) < 1/2$ , for all  $x \in X$ . So by Lemma VII.5.B  $|\log(1 + g_n(x))| \leq 3|g_n(x)|/2$  for  $n > n_0$  and for all  $x \in X$ .

## Lemma VII.5.8 (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).** Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3|g_n(x)|/2$  converges uniformly for  $x \in X$  then  $h(x) = \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \log(1 + g_n(x))$  converges uniformly and absolutely for  $x \in X$  (by a pointwise application of the Direct Comparison Test). Since each  $g_n$  is continuous then  $h$  is continuous by Theorem II.6.1. Since  $X$  is compact by hypothesis, then  $h(X)$  is compact in  $\mathbb{C}$  by Theorem II.5.8 and so  $h$  is bounded (since  $h(X)$  is closed and bounded by the Heine-Borel Theorem). So there is some constant  $a$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(h(x)) < a$  for all  $x \in X$ . So, by Theorem VII.5.7,

$\exp h(x) = \prod_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} (1 + g_n(x))$  converges uniformly for  $x \in X$ . Notice that since  $\sum_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} \log(1 + g_n(x))$  converges absolutely then, by definition,  $\prod_{n=n_0+1}^{\infty} (1 + g_n(x))$  converges absolutely. Therefore,

$$f(x) = (1 + g_1(x))(1 + g_2(x)) \cdots (1 + g_{n_0}(x)) \exp(h(x)) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + g_n(x))$$

converges uniformly and absolutely for  $x$  in  $X$ , as claimed.

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

14 / 36

Theorem VII.5.9

## Theorem VII.5.9

**Theorem VII.5.9.** Let  $G$  be a region in  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence in  $H(G)$  (i.e., a sequence of analytic functions) such that no  $f_n$  is identically zero. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(z) - 1)$  converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $G$ , then  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(z)$  converges in  $H(G)$  to an analytic function  $f(z)$ . If  $a$  is a zero of  $f$  then  $a$  is a zero of only a finite number of the functions  $f_n$ , and the multiplicity of the zero of  $f$  at  $a$  is the sum of the multiplicities of the zeros of the function  $f_n$  at  $a$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(z) - 1)$  converges uniformly and absolutely on compact subsets of  $G$  (by hypothesis), then by Lemma VII.5.8,

$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(z)$  converges uniformly and absolutely on compact subsets of  $G$ . Recall that uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $G$  implies convergence with respect to metric  $\rho$  on space  $H(G)$  (see Proposition VII.1.10(b)). So the infinite product  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(z)$  converges in  $H(G)$ .

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

16 / 36

## Lemma VII.5.8 (continued 2)

**Lemma VII.5.8.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space and let  $\{g_n\}$  be a sequence of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)$  converges absolutely and uniformly for  $x \in X$ . Then the product  $f(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + g_n(x))$  converges absolutely and uniformly for  $x \in X$ . Also, there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(z) = 0$  if and only if  $g_n(x) = -1$  for some  $n$  where  $1 \leq n \leq n_0$ .

**Proof (continued).** Finally, since  $\exp(h(x)) \neq 0$ , then  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $1 + g_n(x) = 0$  for some  $1 \leq n \leq n_0$ ; that is, if and only if  $g_n(x) = -1$  for some  $1 \leq n \leq n_0$ . □

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

15 / 36

Theorem VII.5.9

## Theorem VII.5.9 (continued)

**Theorem VII.5.9.** Let  $G$  be a region in  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence in  $H(G)$  (i.e., a sequence of analytic functions) such that no  $f_n$  is identically zero. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(z) - 1)$  converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $G$ , then  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(z)$  converges in  $H(G)$  to an analytic function  $f(z)$ . If  $a$  is a zero of  $f$  then  $a$  is a zero of only a finite number of the functions  $f_n$ , and the multiplicity of the zero of  $f$  at  $a$  is the sum of the multiplicities of the zeros of the function  $f_n$  at  $a$ .

**Proof (continued).** Let  $a \in G$  be a zero of  $f$ . Choose  $r > 0$  such that  $\overline{B(a; r)} \subset G$ . Since  $\overline{B(a; r)} \subset G$  is compact, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n(z) - 1)$  converges uniformly on  $\overline{B(a; r)}$  by hypothesis. By Lemma VII.5.8 (see the proof) there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(z) = f_1(z)f_2(z) \cdots f_n(z)g(z)$  where  $g(z) \neq 0$  in  $\overline{B(a; r)}$ . So  $a$  is a zero of only  $n$  finite number of the functions  $f_n$  and the multiplicity of zero  $a$  of  $f$  is the sum of the multiplicities of  $a$  as a zero of the function  $f_n$ , as claimed. □

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

17 / 36

### Lemma VII.5.11

**Lemma VII.5.11.** If  $|z| \leq 1$  and  $p \geq 0$  then  $|1 - E_p(z)| \leq |z|^{p+1}$ .

**Proof.** For  $p = 0$ ,  $|1 - E_0(z)| = |1 - (1 - z)| = |z| \leq |z|^{p+1}$ . For  $p \geq 1$  fixed,  $E_p(z)$  is analytic (entire, in fact) so  $E_p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  for some coefficients  $a_k$  ( $E_p(0) = 1$ , so  $a_0 = 1$ ). Then from the definition of  $E_p(z)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E'_p(z) &= (-1) \exp\left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{3} + \cdots + \frac{z^p}{p}\right) \\ &+ (1 - z) \exp\left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{3} + \cdots + \frac{z^p}{p}\right) (1 + z + z^2 + \cdots + z^{p-1}) \\ &= (-1 + (1 - z^p)) \exp\left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{3} + \cdots + \frac{z^p}{p}\right) \\ &= -z^p \exp\left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{3} + \cdots + \frac{z^p}{p}\right) \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

0

### Lemma VII.5.11 (continued 2)

**Proof (continued).** So from (\*),

$$\begin{aligned} E'_p(z) &= -2^p \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k\right) = -2^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^{k+p} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1} \text{ by (**)} \end{aligned}$$

and so  $k a_k < 0$  for  $k = p + 1, p + 2, \dots$ . Thus  $|a_k| = -a_k$  for  $k \geq p + 1$ .

So for  $z = 1$ ,  $0 = E'_p(1) = 1 + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k$  since  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a = 0$ , or  $\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_k| = -\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k = 1$ , so for  $|a| \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - E_p(z)| &= |E_p(z) - 1| = \left|1 + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k - 1\right| \\ &= \left|\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k\right| = |z|^{p+1} \left|\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-p-1}\right| \cdots \end{aligned}$$

0

### Lemma VII.5.11 (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).** and from the power series representation

$$E'_p(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}. \quad (*)$$

We see from (\*) and (\*\*) that  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_p = 0$ . Now in the series expansion of  $\exp\left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{3} + \cdots + \frac{z^p}{p}\right)$  about  $z = 0$ , all coefficients are positive (since they are products and sums of exponential functions, which are 1 when evaluated at  $z = 0$ , and polynomials and their derivatives which are 0 when evaluated at  $z = 0$ ), say

$$\exp\left(z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{3} + \cdots + \frac{z^p}{p}\right) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ where } b_k > 0.$$

0

### Lemma VII.5.11 (continued 3)

**Lemma VII.5.11.** If  $|z| \leq 1$  and  $p \geq 0$  then  $|1 - E_p(z)| \leq |z|^{p+1}$ .

**Proof (continued).**

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - E_p(z)| &\leq |z|^{p+1} \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_k| |z|^{k-p-1} \text{ by the Triangle Inequality} \\ &\text{and limits} \\ &\leq |z|^{p+1} \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \text{ since } |z| \leq 1 \\ &= |z|^{p+1} \text{ since } \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} |a_k| = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and this is the claim. □

0

## Theorem VII.5.12

**Theorem VII.5.12.** Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |z_n| = \infty$  and  $a_z \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that no complex number is repeated in the sequence an infinite number of times. If  $\{p_n\}$  is any sequence of nonnegative integers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r}{|a_n|} \right)^{p_n+1} < \infty$$

for all  $r > 0$ , then  $f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{p_n}(z/a)$  converges in  $H(\mathbb{C})$  (and so is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ ). The function  $f$  is an entire function with zeros only at the points  $a_n$ . If  $z_0$  occurs in the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  exactly  $n$  times then  $f$  has a zero at  $z = z_0$  of multiplicity  $m$ . Furthermore, if  $p_n = n - 1$  then (5.13) will be satisfied.

**Proof.** Suppose integers  $\{p_n\}$  exist such that (5.13) is satisfied.

## Theorem VII.5.12 (continued 2)

**Proof (continued).** To show that  $\{p_n\}$  can be found so that (5.13) holds for all  $r$  is easy; since  $|a_n| \rightarrow \infty$  then “eventually”  $|z_n| > r$  (for a given  $r$ ) and we can take  $p_n = n - 1$  so that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (r/|a_n|)^{p_n+1}$  can eventually be compared to a geometric series with ration less than 1. In particular, there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $|a_n| > 2r$  and  $r/|a_n| < 1/2$ . then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (r/|a_n|)^{p_n+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (r/|a_n|)^n < \sum_{n=1}^N (r/|a_n|)^n + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (1/2)^n < \infty.$$

□

## Theorem VII.5.12 (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).** Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - E_{p_n} \left( \frac{z}{a} \right) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{z}{a} \right|^{p_n+1} \text{ by Lemma VII.5.11} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{r}{|a_n|} \right)^{p_n+1} \end{aligned}$$

for  $|z| \leq r$  and for  $r \leq |a_n|$  (so that  $|z/a_n| \leq r/|a_n| \leq 1$ ). For a fixed  $r > 0$  there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|a_n| > r$  for all  $n \geq N$  since  $|a_n| \rightarrow \infty$ . So for given  $r > 0$  we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| 1 - E_{p_n} \left( \frac{z}{a_n} \right) \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{r}{|a_n|} \right)^{p_n+1} \quad \text{for } z \in \bar{B}(0; r).$$

By (5.13), the right hand side is finite and so  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - E_{p_n} \left( \frac{z}{a_n} \right) \right)$

converges absolutely on  $\bar{B}(0; r)$ . So  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{p_n}(z/a_n)$  converges in  $H(G)$ .

**Why does it converge uniformly?**

## Theorem VII.5.14

**Theorem VII.5.14. The Weierstrass Factorization Theorem.**

Let  $f$  be an entire function and let  $\{a_n\}$  be the nonzero zeros of  $f$  repeated according to multiplicity. Suppose  $f$  has a zero at  $z = 0$  of order  $m \geq 0$  (a zero of order  $m = 0$  at 0 means  $f(0) \neq 0$ ). Then there is an entire function  $g$  and a sequence of integers  $\{p_n\}$  such that

$$f(z) = z^m e^{g(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{p_n} \left( \frac{z}{a_n} \right).$$

**Proof.** Since  $f$  is entire, by Theorem VII.5.12, there are nonnegative integers  $\{p_n\}$  such that

$$h(z) = z^m \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{p_n} \left( \frac{z}{a_n} \right)$$

has the same zeros as  $f$  with the same multiplicities. So  $f(z)/h(z)$  has a removable singularities at  $a = 0, a_1, a_2, \dots$

## Theorem VII.5.14 (continued)

**Theorem VII.5.14. The Weierstrass Factorization Theorem.**

Let  $f$  be an entire function and let  $\{a_n\}$  be the nonzero zeros of  $f$  repeated according to multiplicity. Suppose  $f$  has a zero at  $z = 0$  of order  $m \geq 0$  (a zero of order  $m = 0$  means  $f(0) \neq 0$ ). Then there is an entire function  $g$  and a sequence of integers  $\{p_n\}$  such that

$$f(z) = z^m e^{g(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{p_n} \left( \frac{z}{a_n} \right).$$

**Proof (continued).** Thus,  $f/h$  (reduced and the removable singularities removed) is nonzero then there is a branch of the logarithm defined on  $(f/h)(\mathbb{C})$ . So there is entire  $g$  such that  $g(z) = \log(f(z)/h(z))$  or  $f(z)/h(z) = e^{g(z)}$ . Then

$$f(z) = h(z) e^{g(z)} = z^m e^{g(z)} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{p_n} \left( \frac{z}{a_n} \right).$$

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

26 / 36

Theorem VII.5.15

## Theorem VII.5.15 (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).** If  $\overline{B}(a; r)$  is a disk in  $G$ , such that  $\alpha_j \notin B(a; r)$  for all  $j > 1$ , consider the Möbius transformation  $T(z) = (z - a)^{-1}$ . Set  $G = T(G_1) \setminus \{\infty\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $G$  satisfies (5.16) where  $a_j = T(\alpha_j) = (\alpha_j - a)^{-1}$  since  $\alpha_j \notin B(a; r)$  implies  $a_j = T(\alpha_j) \in \overline{B}(a'; R')$  for some  $a' \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $' \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $T$  maps circles to circles (by Theorem III.3.14) and also  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{B}(a'; R') \subset G$ . If there is  $f \in H(G)$  with a zero at each  $a_j$  of multiplicity  $m_j$  with no other zeros and such that  $f$  satisfies (5.17), then  $g(z) = f(T(z))$  is analytic in  $G_1 \setminus \{a\}$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow a} g(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(T(z)) \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) \text{ since } T(a) = \infty \\ &= 1 \text{ by (5.17),} \end{aligned}$$

so  $g$  has a removable singularity at  $z = a$ . Furthermore,  $g$  has a zero at  $\alpha_j$  of multiplicity  $m_j$  (since  $f$  has a zero at  $a_j = T(\alpha_j)$  of multiplicity  $m_j$ ). So  $g$  (with the removable discontinuity removed) is the desired function analytic on open set  $G_1$ .

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

28 / 36

## Theorem VII.5.15

**Theorem VII.5.15.** Let  $G$  be a region and let  $\{a_j\}$  be a sequence of distinct points in  $G$  with no limit points in  $G$ . Let  $\{m_j\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative integers. Then there is an analytic function  $f$  defined on  $G$  whose only zeros are at the points  $a_j$ . Furthermore,  $a_j$  is a zero of  $f$  of multiplicity  $m_j$ .

**Proof.** (I) In Part I of the proof, we show that if the claim can be established for the special case where there is  $R > 0$  such that

$$\{z \mid |z| > R\} \subset G \text{ and } |a_j| \leq R \text{ for all } j \geq 1, \quad (5.16)$$

then the claim will hold. So hypothesize that  $f$  satisfying (5.16) exists with the added property that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = 1 \quad (5.17)$$

and let  $G_1$  be an arbitrary open set in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\{\alpha_j\}$  a sequence of distinct points in  $G_1$  with no limit point and let  $\{m_j\}$  be a sequence of integers.

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

27 / 36

Theorem VII.5.15

## Theorem VII.5.15 (continued 2)

**Proof (continued).** (II) Assume  $G$  satisfies (5.16). Define a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  consisting of the points in  $\{a_j\}$ , but such that each  $a_j$  is repeated according to its multiplicity  $m_j$ . Since  $G$  is open and  $\{z \mid |z| > R\} \subset G$  then  $\mathbb{C} \setminus G$  is closed and bounded and so compact. So by Corollary II.5.14, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $w_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus G$  such that  $|w_n - z_n| = d(z_n, \mathbb{C} \setminus G)$ . Notice that condition (5.16) implies  $|a_j| \leq R$  for all  $j$ , so if there are an infinite number of  $a_j$ 's then they must have a limit point by the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem (see <http://faculty.etsu.edu/gardnerr/4217/notes/2-3.pdf> for a statement in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). since by hypothesis  $\{a_j\}$  has no limit point in  $G$  so the limit point of  $\{a_j\}$  is not in  $G$  and so  $G \neq \mathbb{C}$ . (If  $\{a_j\}$  is finite, the result holds for a polynomial.) Now for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$  we have  $d(z_n, \mathbb{C} \setminus G) < \varepsilon$ , or else we could construct an infinite subsequence of  $\{z_n\}$ , say  $\{z_{n'}\}$  is an infinite founded set since  $|z_{n'}| \leq R$  for all  $n' \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $z_{n'}$  has a limit point by the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem.

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

29 / 36

## Theorem VII.5.15 (continued 3)

**Proof (continued).** But the limit point is not in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus G$  by the condition  $d(z_n, \mathbb{C} \setminus G) \geq \varepsilon$ , and so the limit point is in  $G$ , contradicting the hypothesis that  $\{a_n\}$  has no limit point in  $G$ . So  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, \mathbb{C} \setminus G) = 0$  and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |z_n - w_n| = 0$ . Consider the functions  $E_n((z_n - w_n)/(z - w_n))$ . Each has a simple zero at  $z = z_n$  (where we take  $(z_n - w_n)/(z - w_n)$  to be 1 at  $z = z_n$ ), and so the infinite product of the  $E_n$ 's has the required zeros with the appropriate multiplicities. In Part III we show that the infinite product converges in  $H(G)$ .

(III) Let  $K$  be a compact subset in  $G$ . Then since both  $K$  and  $\mathbb{C} \setminus G$  are compact, by Theorem II.5.17,  $d(\mathbb{C} \setminus G, K) > 0$ . For any  $z \in K$

$$d(w_n, K) \leq |z - w_n| \text{ and } \left| \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right| \leq |z_n - w_n|(d(w_n, K))^{-1} \leq |a_n - w_n|(d(\mathbb{C} \setminus G, K))^{-1}$$

since  $w_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus G$  and so  $d(\mathbb{C} \setminus G, K) \leq d(w_n, K)$ .

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

30 / 36

Theorem VII.5.15

## Theorem VII.5.15 (continued 5)

**Proof (continued).** To show (5.17) that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = 1$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary number and let  $R_1 > R$ . If  $|z| \geq R_1$  then, because  $|z_n| \leq R$  and  $w_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus G \subset B(0; R)$ ,  $\left| \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right| \leq \frac{2R}{|z - w_n|} \leq \frac{2R}{R - 1 - R}$ . So if  $R_1 > R$  satisfies  $2R < \delta(R_1 - R)$  (that is,  $R_1 > R + 2R/\delta$  and  $\left| \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right| \leq \frac{2R}{R_1 - R} < \delta$ ) for some  $0 < \delta < 1$  then (5.18) holds for

$|z| \geq R_1$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $\operatorname{Re} \left( E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) \right) > 0$  for all

$n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $|z| \geq R_1$  (for if this is less than or equal to 0, then  $\left| \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) = 1 \right| \geq 1$  and (5.18) is violated).

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

32 / 36

Theorem VII.5.15

## Theorem VII.5.15 (continued 4)

**Proof (continued).** As shown above,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |z_n - w_n| = 0$ , so for any  $0 < \delta < 1$ , there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$|(z_n - w_n)/(z - w_n)| < \delta \text{ for all } z \in K. \text{ By Lemma VII.5.11, we have } \left| E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) - 1 \right| \leq \delta^{n+1} \quad (5.18)$$

for all  $n \geq N$  and  $z \in K$ . This gives (using the Direct Comparison Test and a geometric series with ration  $\delta$ ) that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) - 1 \right)$  converges absolutely and uniformly on  $K$ . By Theorem VII.5.9,

$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right)$  converges in  $H(G)$ , so  $f$  is analytic on  $G$ . The second part of Theorem VII.5.9 implies that the points  $\{a_j\}$  are the only zeros of  $f$  and  $m_j$  is the order of the zero at  $z = a_j$  (because  $a_j$  occurs  $m_j$  times in the sequence  $\{z_n\}$ ).

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

31 / 36

Theorem VII.5.15

## Theorem VII.5.15 (continued 6)

**Proof (continued).** So

$$|f(z) - 1| = \left| \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) - 1 \right| = \left| \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) \right) - 1 \right|$$

(5.19) is a "meaningful equation" (that is,  $E_n((z_n - w_n)/(z - w_n)) \neq 0$  for  $|z| \geq R_1$  and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and so there is a branch of the logarithm defined for all such  $E_n((z_n - w_n)/(z - w_n))$ , say the principal branch). Now we restrict  $0 < \delta < 1/2$  so that (5.18) now gives for  $|z| \geq R_1$  that

$$\left| E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) - 1 \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and then by Lemma VII.5.B,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left( E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) \right) &= \log \left( \left( E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) - 1 \right) + 1 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2} \left| E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) - 1 \right| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $|z| \geq R_1$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

33 / 36



## Theorem VII.5.15 (continued 7)

**Proof (continued).** We now have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \left( E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) \right) \right| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \log E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{2} \left| E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3}{2} \delta^{n+1} \text{ by (5.18) (notice the choice of } R_1 \text{ implies that (5.18) holds for all } n \in \mathbb{N}) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \frac{\delta^2}{1 - \delta} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $|z| \geq R_1$ . By the continuity of  $e^z$  at  $z = 0$ , we can further restrict  $0 < \delta < 1/2$  so that  $|w| < \frac{3}{2} \frac{\delta^2}{1 - \delta}$  implies  $|e^w - 1| < \varepsilon$  (so that we now have  $\delta$  “fixed”).

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

34 / 36

Corollary VII.5.20

## Corollary VII.5.20

**Corollary VII.5.20.** If  $f$  is a meromorphic function on an open set  $G$  then there are analytic functions  $g$  and  $h$  on  $G$  such that  $f = g/h$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{a_j\}$  be the poles of  $f$  and let  $m_j$  be the order of the pole at  $a_j$ . By Theorem VIII.5.15, there is an analytic function  $h$  on  $G$  with a zero of multiplicity  $m_j$  at  $a_j$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and with not other zeros. So  $h(z)f(z)$  has removable singularities at each point  $a_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Setting  $g = hf$  (reduced and removing the removable singularities),  $g$  is then analytic on  $G$  and  $f = g/h$ , as claimed.  $\square$

## Theorem VII.5.15 (continued 8)

**Proof (continued).** Then for  $|z| \geq R_1$ , equation (5.19) with our choice of

$$\delta \text{ (and with } w = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \left( E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) \right) \text{) gives}$$

$|f(z) - 1| = |e^w - 1| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary ( $R_1$  is chosen based on  $\delta$  and  $\delta$  is chosen based on  $\varepsilon$ , so ultimately  $R_1$  depends on  $\varepsilon$ ), then  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = 1$ .

(IV) Combining Part III with Part II, gives an analytic function

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \left( \frac{z_n - w_n}{z - w_n} \right) \text{ which has a simple zero at } z = z_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and so has a zero at  $z = a_j$  of multiplicity  $m_j$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , on a set  $G$  satisfying (5.16) and such that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = 1$ . By Part I,  $f$  can be modified to give the desired function  $g$  on any region  $G$  (in the proof of Part I the zeros of  $f$  are denoted as  $\alpha_j$  instead of  $a_j$ ).  $\square$

0

Complex Analysis

August 14, 2017

35 / 36