## VI.3. Convex Functions and Hadamard's Three Circles Theorem

Note. This section relates to "rates of growth" results and indicates how large a function f(z) can get (in modulus) in terms of the real part of the input variable z and in terms of the modulus of z.

**Definition VI.3.1.** If  $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , then a function  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is *convex* if for any  $x_1, x_2 \in [a, b]$  we have

$$f(tx_2 + (1-t)x_1) \le tf(x_2) + (1-t)f(x_1)$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . A set  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  is *convex* if whenever  $z, w \in A$  then  $tz + (1-t)w \in A$ for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**Note.**  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex if it is (in the verbiage of Calculus 1) "concave up":



It is common to call "concave down" functions "concave." A set  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  is convex if for all points  $z, w \in A$ , the line segment  $[z, w] \subset A$ . Of course, we can relate the concept of a convex subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  to the concept of a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (and vice versa). The following result relates convex functions and convex sets.

**Proposition VI.3.2.** A function  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex if and only if the set

$$A = \{(x, y) \mid x \in [a, b] \text{ and } f(x) \le y\}$$

is convex.

**Note.** The following follows from the definition of convex function and convex set by using math induction.

## Proposition VI.3.3.

(a) A function  $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex if and only if any points  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in [a, b]$ and real numbers  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \ge 0$  with  $\sum k = 1^n t_k = 1$ , we have

$$f\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k x_k\right) \le \sum_{k=1}^{n} t_k f(x_k).$$

(b) A set  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  is convex if and only if any points  $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n \in A$  and real numbers  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \ge 0$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^n t_k = 1$ , we have  $\sum_{k=1}^n t_k z_k \in A$ .

**Proposition VI.3.4.** A differentiable function f on [a, b] is convex if and only if f' is increasing.

**Definition.** Positive valued function f is *logarithmically convex* if log(f(x)) is convex.

Note. If f is logarithmically convex, then it is convex, which is easy to see for twice differentiable functions:

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} [\log(f(x))] = \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right] = \frac{f''(x)f(x) - f'(x)f'(x)}{(f(x))^2} \ge 0$$
$$\Rightarrow f''(x) \ge \frac{(f'(x))^2}{f(x)} \ge 0 \text{ (since } f(x) > 0).$$

So  $\log(f(x))$  is convex implies that f(x) is convex by Proposition 3.4.

**Theorem VI.3.7.** Let a < b and let G be the vertical strip  $\{x + iy \mid a < x < b\}$ . Suppose  $f : G^- \to \mathbb{C}$  is continuous and f is analytic in G. If we define  $M : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$M(x) = \sup\{|f(x+iy)| \mid -\infty < y < \infty\}$$

and |f(z)| < B for all  $z \in G$ , then  $\log M(x)$  is a convex function.

**Note.** We need a preliminary result before the proof of Theorem 3.7.

**Lemma VI.3.10.** Let f and G be as Theorem 3.7 and further suppose that  $|f(z)| \leq 1$  for  $z \in \partial G$ . Then  $|f(z)| \leq 1$  for  $z \in G$ .

Note. Now for the proof of Theorem 3.7.

**Corollary VI.3.9.** Let a < b and let G be the vertical strip  $\{x + iy \mid a < x < b\}$ . Let  $f: G^- \to \mathbb{C}$  be continuous and let f be analytic on G. Then for all  $z \in G$  we have

$$|f(z)| < \sup\{|f(z)| \mid z \in \partial G\}.$$

**Note.** Corollary 3.9 shows how Theorem 3.7 is really a type of Maximum Modulus Theorem.

Note. Consider the annulus  $A = \operatorname{ann}(0; R_1, R_2)$  where  $0 < R_1 < R_2 < \infty$ , and the vertical strip  $G = \{x + iy \mid \log R_1 < x < \log R_2\}$ . Then the exponential function maps G onto A and  $\partial G$  onto  $\partial A$  (of course, because of the periodic nature of the exponential function, the mapping is not one to one...it is " $\infty$  to 1"). This mapping is useful in proving the following.

## Theorem VI.3.13. Hadamard's Three Circles Theorem.

Let  $0 < R_1 < R_2 < \infty$  and suppose f is analytic and not identically zero on  $\operatorname{ann}(0; R_1, R_2)$ . If  $R_1 < r < R_2$ , define

$$M(r) = \max\{|f(re^{i\theta})| \mid 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi\}.$$

Then for  $R_1 < r_1 \leq r \leq r_2 < R_2$  and  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , we have

$$\log M(r) \le \frac{\log r_2 - \log r}{\log r_2 - \log r_1} \log M(r_1) + \frac{\log r - \log r_1}{\log r_2 - \log r_1} \log M(r_2).$$

Note. Comparing Hadamard's Three Circles Theorem to equation (\*) in the proof of Theorem 3.7 (also, see line 7 of page 136), shows that Hadamard's Three Circles Theorem implies that  $\log M(x)$  is a convex function of  $\log x$ .

Note. Of course the title "Three Circles Theorem" comes from the fact that the result compares the logarithm of the maximum modulus of a function in three circles of different radii  $(r_1, r_2, \text{ and } r)$ . Such results are common in classical complex analysis and are sometimes called "rate of growth results."

Revised: 8/11/2017