Dimensions of Social Support as Mediators of the Association between Religiousness and Aggression

Sarah K. Hill, B. S.,¹ Courtney E. Lilly, B. S.,¹ Ken G. Brewer, M. A.,² & Jon R. Webb, Ph. D.¹

¹ East Tennessee State University, Department of Psychology, Johnson City, Tennessee ² Kaplan University



INTRODUCTION

A relationship has been established between religiousness and both aggression (Storch & Storch, 2002; Burns, 2004) and levels of social support (Ellison & George, 1994).

Social support levels have been found to mediate the relationships between religiousness and psychological adjustment (Salsman, Brown, Brechting, & Carlson, 2005), religiousness and suicidal behavior (Robins & Fiske, 2009), and religious involvement and mental health outcomes (Nooney & Woodrum, 2002).

It has also been discovered that amount of social support impacts levels of aggressiveness (Benhorin & McMahon, 2008; Kashani & Shepperd, 1990; Scarpa & Haden, 2006; Schat & Kelloway, 2003).

However, little research has been done examining the relationships among religiousness, social support, and aggressionrelated outcomes.

Purpose of the Study: To help improve the understanding of the relationship between religiousness, social support, and levels of aggression.

General Hypothesis: Social support will mediate the relationship between lifetime religiousness and aggression.

METHODS

- Desian & Participants:
- Cross-Sectional
- Undergraduate college students (n=481)

■ ♀ = 75.3%; *n* = 362

- Caucasian = 92.1%; n = 418
- M_{age} = 22.17; SD = 6.03



Figure 1: Effect of Lifetime Religiousness on Aggression as Mediated by Social Support $^{+}p < .20$, $^{-}p \le 00$, $^{-}p \le 02$, $^{--}p \le 000t$

RESULTS

The overall model was significant ($R^2 = .25^{****}$).

Lifetime religiousness was shown to have a significant salutary effect on aggression both directly and indirectly (partial mediation) through social undermining, only. That is, positive social support did not play a role in the relationship between lifetime religiousness and aggression.

More specifically, in addition to a direct association, higher levels of lifetime religiousness were also associated with less perceived social undermining, which in turn was associated with less aggression.

DISCUSSION

Of the two dimensions of social support measured, only social undermining was shown to partially mediate the relationship between lifetime religiousness and aggression.

Consistent with prior theory (Landau, Bjorkqvist, Lagerspetz, Osterman, & Gideon, 2002), Our findings provide support for the ameliorative impact of lifetime religiousness on aggression, including physical and verbal actions, as well as anger and hostility.

Within this setting of rural northeast Tennessee, there is a strong cultural tradition of religiousness. It may be that adherence to faith-based religious principles inherently leads to less perception of social undermining, which in turn results in lower levels of aggression, indicating that religion may serve as a kind of impulse control system. Likewise, It may be that lifetime religiousness helps individuals reframe potentially problematic interpersonal interactions in a more favorable light thereby preventing aggressive responses.

REFERENCES

- Ahbey A Abramis D I & Caplan, R. D. (1985), Effects of different sources of social support and social conflict o Addey, A., Adarinis, D. J., & Capitari, N. D. (1953). Effects of dimension sources of social support and social commit of emotional well-being. *Basic & Applied Social Psychology*, *6*, 111-129.
 Benhorin, S., & McMahon, S. D. (2008). Exposure to violence and aggression: Protective roles of social support among urban African American youth. Journal of Community Psychology, 36(6), 723-743.Burns, J. P. (2004). The relationship of spirituality to adolescent male anger and aggress International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 64(10-B), 5209. Buss, A. H., & Perry, M. P. (1992). The aggression questionnaire. Journal of Pers 452.459. Connors, G. J., Tonigan, J. S., & Miller, W. R. (1996). A measure of religious background and beh change research. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 10,* 90-96. Ellison, C. G., & George, L. K. (1994). Religious involvement, social ties, and social support in a Southeaster community. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion,* 33(1), 46-61. Kashani, J. H., & Shepperd, J. A. (1990). Aggression in adolescents: The role of social support and personality. The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 35(4), 311-315. Landau, S. F., Bjorkqvist, K., Lagerspetz, K. M. J., Osterman, K., & Gideon, L. (2002). The effect of religiosity and ethni origin on direct and indirect aggression among males and females: Some Israeli findings. Aggressive Behavior, 28 281-298. Nooney, J., & Woodrum, E. (2002). Religious coping and church-based social support as predictors of outcomes: Testing a conceptual model. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 41(2), 359-368 doi: 10.1111/1468-5906.00122 Robins, A., & Fiske, A. (2009). Explaining the relation between religiousness and reduced suicidal behavior: Socia Nouris, A., & Fiske, A. (2009). Explaining the relation between religiousness and reduced suicidous denavol: social support rather than specific beliefs. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 39(4), 386-395.
 Salsman, J. M., Brown, T. L., Brechting, E. H., & Carlson, C. R. (2005). The link between religion and spirituality and sasima², Inc Judvit, T. E. at Thing, E. Y., a Chindon, E. H. (2007). The functive momentary for and faritable yield psychological adjustment: The mediating role of optimism and social support. PersonNity and Social Sychology Bulletin, 31(4), 522-533. doi: 10.1177/014657204271563
- of coping and social support. Aggressive Behavior, 32(5), 502-515. Schat, A. C. H., & Kelloway, E. K. (2003). Reducing the adverse consequences of workplace aggression and violence: The buffering effects of organizational support. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 8(2), 110-122. doi: 10.1037/1076-8998.8.2.110

Storch, E. A., & Storch, J. B. (2002). Intrinsic religiosity and aggression in a sample of intercollegiate athlete Psychological Reports, 91(3), 1041-1042

-.3983 -.8094 -.0665 .8844 3844 0432

Lifetime Religiousness

-.0644

.1359

-.8194

-.1810

CI = Bias Corrected and Accelerated 95% Confidence Interval TOTAL= Total Indirect Effect a.b. = Specific Indirect Effect through Social Support a_2b_2 = Specific Indirect Effect through Social Support vs = a_1b_1 versus a_2b_2

Measures:

(Buss & Perry, 1992)

variables

-.4121

-.0138

Multiple mediation (Preacher & Hayes, 2008)

Adjusted for demographic

Table 1: Indirect Effect(s) of Social Support

Analysis:

TOTAL

 a_1b_1

 a_2b_2

vs