

## SECTION 3.2

## EXERCISE #37

3.2.37 Let  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  be a list of nonzero vectors in a vector space  $V$  such that no vector in this list is a linear combination of its predecessors. Prove that the vectors in the list form an independent set.

Proof

ASSUME  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  is a dependent set. Then  $r_1 \vec{v}_1 + r_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + r_n \vec{v}_n = \vec{0}$  where not all  $r_i = 0$ .

Let  $k$  be the largest index such that  $r_k \neq 0$ .

Then  $r_{k+1} = r_{k+2} = \dots = r_n = 0$  and

$$r_1 \vec{v}_1 + r_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + r_{k-1} \vec{v}_{k-1} + r_k \vec{v}_k = \vec{0} \quad \text{or} \quad -r_k \vec{v}_k = r_1 \vec{v}_1 + r_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + r_{k-1} \vec{v}_{k-1}$$

$$\text{or (since } r_k \neq 0) \quad \vec{v}_k = \left(\frac{-r_1}{r_k}\right) \vec{v}_1 + \left(\frac{-r_2}{r_k}\right) \vec{v}_2 + \dots + \left(\frac{-r_{k-1}}{r_k}\right) \vec{v}_{k-1}$$

But then  $\vec{v}_k$  is a linear combination of  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_{k-1}$ , CONTRADICTING the hypothesis that no vector is a linear combination of its predecessors. So the assumption that  $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$  is dependent is false and, in fact, the set is independent. ■