

Section 1.2. Propositional Equivalences

Note. In this section we consider propositions with the same truth values.

Definition 1.2.1. A compound proposition that is always true is a *tautology*. A compound proposition that is always false is a *contradiction*. A proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction is a *contingency*.

Definition 1.2.2. The propositions p and q are *logically equivalent* if $p \leftrightarrow q$ is a tautology. this is denoted $p \Leftrightarrow q$. (Logically equivalent propositions have the same truth tables.)

Example. Example 1.2.2 Page 15. DeMorgan's Law states that $\neg(p \vee q)$ and $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ are logically equivalent. The truth table is:

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \vee q$	$\neg(p \vee q)$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$
T	T	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T

Examples. Number 6, Page 19, Number 20 Page 16, Numbers 30 and 32 Page 20, Number 26 Page 26.

Note. For each line in the truth table we can take the conjugation of each of the T values along with the conjugation of the negation of each of the F variables. Then this compound proposition is only T for the line in the truth table from which the proposition was constructed. By taking the disjunction over all lines in the table for which the proposition is T , we get the proposition with the given truth table in *disjunctive normal form*. For example:

p	q	r	
T	T	F	
T	F	T	$p \wedge \neg q$
F	T	T	$\neg p \wedge q$
F	F	T	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$

Then r can be represented as

$$(p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$$

r is then only F when each of the three “little” propositions are F .