

7.2. The Gaussian Integers

Note. In this section, we introduce an algebraic structure (the Gaussian integers) and establish several number theoretic results in this structure. The algebraic structure is a complex analogy of the (real) integers.

Note 7.2.A. The *complex numbers* are the set $\mathbb{C} = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$. For complex numbers $a + bi$ and $c + di$ we have the sums and products:

$$(a + bi) + (c + di) = (a + c) + (b + d)i \text{ and } (a + bi)(c + di) = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i.$$

The complex numbers form a field (which effectively means that all the usual algebraic properties, such as commutativity and distribution, hold). Every nonzero complex number has a multiplicative inverse, $1/(a + bi) = (a - bi)/(a^2 + b^2)$. The *modulus* (or *absolute value*) of a complex number $z = a + bi$ is $|z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$, and the *conjugate* of $z = a + bi$ is $\bar{z} = a - bi$. Notice that $|z|^2 = z\bar{z}$. The *norm* of z , denoted $N(z)$, is the modulus squared of z : $N(z) = N(a + bi) = a^2 + b^2$. The norm of an Gaussian integer is itself a nonnegative integer, and N satisfies: (1) $N(\alpha) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = 0$, and (2) $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$. A mapping satisfying these two properties is sometimes called a *multiplicative norm* (see my online notes for Introduction to Modern Algebra 2 [MATH 4137/5137] on [Section IX.47. Gaussian Integers and Multiplicative Norms](#) and notice Definition 47.6).

Definition 7.13. The *Gaussian integers* is the subset $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of \mathbb{C} .

Note 7.2.B. The Gaussian integers form a commutative ring, but do not form a field since multiplicative inverses may not be present. Since N is an integer valued multiplicative norm on the Gaussian integers, then for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ invertible, we must have $\alpha\alpha^{-1} = 1$ so that $1 = N(1) = N(\alpha\alpha^{-1}) = N(\alpha)N(\alpha^{-1})$. Since N is integer valued, then $N(\alpha) = N(\alpha^{-1}) = 1$. So, the only elements of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ that have multiplicative inverses (in a ring, these are called *units*) are $-1, 1, i,$ and $-i$; these are called *Gaussian units* or *G-units*.

Definition. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, if $\beta = \alpha\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, the α *divides* β , denoted $\alpha \mid \beta$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and ε is a Gaussian unit, then $\alpha = \varepsilon(\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha)$ is a *trivial factorization* in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. A *nontrivial factorization* of an element $\alpha \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a factorization of the form $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ in which both β and γ are non-Gaussian-units.

Note. Notice that if $\alpha = \beta\gamma$ is a nontrivial factorization of α , then $|\alpha|^2 = |\beta|^2|\gamma|^2$ or $N(\alpha) = N(\beta)N(\gamma)$. Since neither β nor γ is a unit, then $1 < N(\beta), N(\gamma) < N(\alpha)$, $N(\beta) \mid N(\alpha)$, and $N(\gamma) \mid N(\alpha)$. So a nontrivial factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ gives a nontrivial factorization of positive integers in \mathbb{Z} (namely of the associated norms). Repeated factoring then yields a descending sequence of positive integers of norms; a decreasing sequence of positive integers must end, so that the nontrivial factoring must end. We then have for any nonzero unit $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ has a factorization of the form $\alpha = \pi_1\pi_2 \cdots \pi_r$ where each π_i is a nonunit which has no nontrivial factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. This gives us a way to define a prime Gaussian integer, which will lead to our Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Definition. Nonzero nonunit $\pi_i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a *Gaussian prime* (or *G-prime*) if it has no nontrivial factorization in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Note 7.2.C. Determining which Gaussian integers are Gaussian primes is more involved than finding prime integers. One approach to test nonzero nonunit α for primeness is to test each nonunit β satisfying $M(\beta) \mid N(\alpha)$ to see if it is a divisor of α . Notice that an integer prime in \mathbb{Z} may not be prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$; we have $2 = (1+i)(1-i)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $5 = (1+2i)(1-2i)$. However, 3 is both a prime and a Gaussian prime because for nontrivial factorization $3 = \beta\gamma$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, we would have $9 = N(3) = N(\beta)N(\gamma)$ and hence $N(\beta) = N(\gamma) = 3$, but there is no $a+bi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ with $N(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2 = 3$. We now work our way through several results that eventually yield our Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Theorem 7.14. Division Algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, with $\beta \neq 0$. Then there exist $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that $\alpha = \beta q + r$, with $0 \leq N(r) < N(\beta)$.

Example 7.15. Consider $\alpha = 12 + 8i$ and $\beta = 4 - i$. To illustrate the Division Algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, we seek q and r in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that $\alpha = 12+8i = (4-i)q+r = \beta q+r$.

First, we express α/β in the form $u + vi$:

$$\frac{12 + 8i}{4 - i} = \frac{12 + 8i}{4 - i} \cdot \frac{4 + i}{4 + i} = \frac{40 + 44i}{17} = \frac{40}{17} + \frac{44}{17}i = u + vi.$$

With $u = 40/17 = 2 + 6/17$ and $v = 44/17 = 2 + 10/17$, we take $x = 2$ and $y = 3$ to get $q = x + yi = 2 + 3i$. For r , we know that $\alpha = \beta q + r$ or $r = \alpha - \beta q$ so

$r = (12 + 8i) - (4 - i)(2 + 3i) = (12 + 8i) - (11 + 10i) = 1 - 2i$. Notice that $N(r) = N(1 - 2i) = 5 < 17 = N(4 - i) = N(\beta)$, as needed.

Note. We now largely follow the proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic in \mathbb{Z} (Theorem 6.29). The condition of “least positive” in the setting of \mathbb{Z} is replaced in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ with “of minimal norm.”

Definition 7.16. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, not both 0. A common divisor d of α and β in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a *greatest common divisor* of α and β if every common divisor of α and β is a divisor of d .

Note. In the setting of \mathbb{Z} we have an ordering, namely the usual greater-than/less-than of $>$ and $<$, so that “greatest” takes its meaning from the ordering. However, there is no corresponding ordering in \mathbb{C} ; see my supplemental notes for Complex Analysis 1 (MATH 5510) on [Ordering the Complex Numbers](#). So we cannot use the ordering in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ to choose a greatest common divisor (though we could use the norm N). Also, we may not have unique greatest common divisors; for any given greatest common divisor d of two Gaussian integers, unit multiples of d are also greatest common divisors: d , $-d$, di , and $-di$.

Theorem 7.17. If Gaussian integers α and β are not both 0, then they have a greatest common divisor d , and there are elements $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ such that $d = x\alpha + y\beta$.

Note. Theorem 7.17 is the $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ version of Theorem 6.20 for \mathbb{Z} . However, (strict) uniqueness of a greatest common divisor need not hold in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, as mentioned above.

Note. Notice that if d is a greatest common divisor of α and β , then so is εd for any G-units ε (recall that in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ the only G-units are ± 1 and $\pm i$). Greatest common divisors can be computed using the Euclidean Algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, just as in \mathbb{Z} . For the use of the Euclidean Algorithm in \mathbb{Z} , see Note 6.23 in [Section 6.3. Divisibility: The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic](#). For the use of the Euclidean Algorithm in the setting of positive integers, see my online notes for Elementary Number Theory (MATH 3120) on [Section 1. Integers](#) and notice Theorem 1.3.

Definition. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. Then any $\beta = \varepsilon\alpha$ where ε is a Gauss unit (either ± 1 or $\pm i$) is an *associate* of α .

Note. Notice that for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and π a Gauss prime, $\pi \mid \alpha$ if and only if $\alpha = \pi\beta = (\varepsilon\pi)(\varepsilon^{-1})\beta$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and for every Gauss unit ε . That is, Gauss prime π divides α if and only if every associate of π divides α . Hence if $\pi \nmid \alpha$ then no associate of π divides α so that the greatest common divisor of π and α is 1.

Theorem 7.19. Let π be a Gauss prime, let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, and suppose $\pi \mid \prod_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$. Then $\pi \mid \alpha_i$ for some i .

Theorem 7.20. Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

Every nonzero nonunit Gaussian integer α is a product of G -primes. This factorization is unique in the following sense: If $\alpha = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_r = \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_s$ are two factorizations of α into G -primes, then $r = s$ and the σ_i 's are associates of the π_i 's; more precisely, there is a permutation of the subscripts $1, 2, \dots, r$ making σ_i an associate of π_i for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Note. The next theorem gives a way to recognize Gaussian primes in terms of the multiplicative norm and prime integers. Notice that Gerstein now refers to prime integers as “ \mathbb{Z} -primes.”

Theorem 7.21. The Gaussian primes are of the following two kinds.

- (a) Gaussian integers of the form $\alpha = a + bi$ with $ab \neq 0$ such that $N(\alpha)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -prime.
- (b) \mathbb{Z} -primes that are not sums of two squares in \mathbb{Z} , and their associates in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Note. We now shift our attention to the condition given in Theorem 7.21(b). It has become desirable to determine which \mathbb{Z} -primes are also Gaussian primes. For example, as shown above in Note 7.2.C, 2 and 5 are \mathbb{Z} -primes but are not Gauss primes. In exploring this question, we need the following number theory result.

Theorem 7.22. Wilson's Theorem.

If p is a prime number then $(p - 1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

Note. We also see Wilson's Theorem in Elementary Number Theory (MATH 3120). See my online notes for that class on [Section 6. Fermat's and Wilson's Theorems](#) and notice Theorem 6.2. As a corollary, we have the following.

Corollary 7.23. If p is prime and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then the congruence $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ is solvable.

Note. We now have the equipment to classify the \mathbb{Z} -primes which are also Gaussian primes. The following result also appears in Elementary Number Theory (MATH 3120); see [Section 18. Sums of Two Squares](#) and notice Lemma 18.4.

Theorem 7.24. An odd prime number p is a Gaussian prime if and only if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Note. Notice that most of these results deal with "odd primes," and so omit prime 2 from the conversation. The next corollary is consistent with our previous observation that 5 is not a Gaussian prime.

Corollary 7.25. Let p be an odd prime number. Then p is a sum of two squares in \mathbb{Z} if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.