## Elementary Number Theory

Section 16. Pythagorean Triangles—Proofs of Theorems
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## Lemma 16.1

Lemma 16.1. If $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$, then exactly one of $a$ and $b$ is even.

Proof. In a fundamental solution, we cannot have both $a$ and $b$ even, otherwise $c$ would need to be even and 2 would divide each of $a, b, c$, contradicting the definition of "fundamental solution."

## Lemma 16.1

Lemma 16.1. If $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$, then exactly one of $a$ and $b$ is even.

Proof. In a fundamental solution, we cannot have both $a$ and $b$ even, otherwise $c$ would need to be even and 2 would divide each of $a, b, c$, contradicting the definition of "fundamental solution." Next, ASSUME both $a$ and $b$ are odd. Then we have $a^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $b^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, so that $c^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2} \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. But then $c$ must be even and $c^{2} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4)$, a CONTRADICTION. So the assumption that both $a$ and $b$ are odd is false. Hence, exactly one of $a$ and $b$ is even, as claimed.

## Lemma 16.1

Lemma 16.1. If $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$, then exactly one of $a$ and $b$ is even.

Proof. In a fundamental solution, we cannot have both $a$ and $b$ even, otherwise $c$ would need to be even and 2 would divide each of $a, b, c$, contradicting the definition of "fundamental solution." Next, ASSUME both $a$ and $b$ are odd. Then we have $a^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $b^{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, so that $c^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2} \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. But then $c$ must be even and $c^{2} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4)$, a CONTRADICTION. So the assumption that both $a$ and $b$ are odd is false. Hence, exactly one of $a$ and $b$ is even, as claimed.

## Lemma 16.2

Lemma 16.2. If $r^{2}=s t$ and $(s, t)=1$, then both $s$ and $t$ are squares.
Proof. Use the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (Theorem 2.2, "The Unique Factorization Theorem"), we have the prime-pwer decompositions of $s$ at $t$ :

$$
s=p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{e_{k}} \text { and } t=q_{1}^{f_{1}} q_{2}^{f_{2}} \cdots q_{j}^{f_{j}} .
$$

The hypothesis that $s$ and $t$ are relatively prime, $(s, t)=1$, gives that no prime appears in both decompositions.

## Lemma 16.2

Lemma 16.2. If $r^{2}=s t$ and $(s, t)=1$, then both $s$ and $t$ are squares.
Proof. Use the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (Theorem 2.2, "The Unique Factorization Theorem"), we have the prime-pwer decompositions of $s$ at $t$ :

$$
s=p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{e_{k}} \text { and } t=q_{1}^{f_{1}} q_{2}^{f_{2}} \cdots q_{j}^{f_{j}} .
$$

The hypothesis that $s$ and $t$ are relatively prime, $(s, t)=1$, gives that no prime appears in both decompositions.

$$
r^{2}=s t=p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{e_{k}} q_{1}^{f_{1}} q_{2}^{f_{2}} \cdots q_{j}^{f_{j}}
$$

(also by Theorem 2.2) and the $p$ 's and $q$ 's are distinct primes. Since $r^{2}$ is a square, then all exponents $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots f_{j}$ are even. Hence, $s$ and $t$ are squares, as claimed.

## Lemma 16.2

Lemma 16.2. If $r^{2}=s t$ and $(s, t)=1$, then both $s$ and $t$ are squares.
Proof. Use the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (Theorem 2.2, "The Unique Factorization Theorem"), we have the prime-pwer decompositions of $s$ at $t$ :

$$
s=p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{e_{k}} \text { and } t=q_{1}^{f_{1}} q_{2}^{f_{2}} \cdots q_{j}^{f_{j}} .
$$

The hypothesis that $s$ and $t$ are relatively prime, $(s, t)=1$, gives that no prime appears in both decompositions. So

$$
r^{2}=s t=p_{1}^{e_{1}} p_{2}^{e_{2}} \cdots p_{k}^{e_{k}} q_{1}^{f_{1}} q_{2}^{f_{2}} \cdots q_{j}^{f_{j}}
$$

(also by Theorem 2.2) and the $p$ 's and $q$ 's are distinct primes. Since $r^{2}$ is a square, then all exponents $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{k}, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots f_{j}$ are even. Hence, $s$ and $t$ are squares, as claimed.

## Lemma 16.3

Lemma 16.3. Suppose that $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$, and suppose that $a$ is even. Then there are positive integers $m$ and $n$ with $m>n,(m, n)=1$, and $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$ such that $a=2 m n$, $b=m^{2}-n^{2}$, and $c=m^{2}+n^{2}$.

Proof. Since $a$ is even, say $a=2 r$ for some positive integer $r$, then $a^{2}=4 r^{2}$. Since $a^{2}=c^{2}-b^{2}$ we have $4 r^{2}=(c+b)(c-b)$. Now $b$ is odd by Lemma 16.1 and $c$ is odd by Corollary 16.A, so $c+b$ and $c-b$ are both even. So we have $c+b=2 s$ and $c-b=2 t$ for some positive integers $s$ and $t$. Solving these two equations for $b$ and $c$ gives $c=s+t$ (summing the two equations) and $b=s-t$ (subtracting the two equations).

## Lemma 16.3

Lemma 16.3. Suppose that $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$, and suppose that $a$ is even. Then there are positive integers $m$ and $n$ with $m>n,(m, n)=1$, and $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$ such that $a=2 m n$, $b=m^{2}-n^{2}$, and $c=m^{2}+n^{2}$.

Proof. Since $a$ is even, say $a=2 r$ for some positive integer $r$, then $a^{2}=4 r^{2}$. Since $a^{2}=c^{2}-b^{2}$ we have $4 r^{2}=(c+b)(c-b)$. Now $b$ is odd by Lemma 16.1 and $c$ is odd by Corollary 16.A, so $c+b$ and $c-b$ are both even. So we have $c+b=2 s$ and $c-b=2 t$ for some positive integers $s$ and $t$. Solving these two equations for $b$ and $c$ gives $c=s+t$ (summing the two equations) and $b=s-t$ (subtracting the two equations). Since $c+b=2 s$ and $c-b=2 t$, then $4 r^{2}=(c+b)(c-b)$ implies $4 r^{2}=4 s t$ or $r^{2}=s t$. We have that $s$ and $t$ are relatively prime, since if $d \mid s$ and $d \mid t$ then $d \mid,(s+t)$ and $d \mid(s-t)$; that is, $d \mid c$ and $s \mid b$. But $(b, c)=1$ by Exercise 1 (on page 129: If $(x, y)=1$ and $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$, then $(y, z)=(x, z)=1)$ so $d= \pm 1$, and hence $(s, t)=1$.

## Lemma 16.3

Lemma 16.3. Suppose that $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$, and suppose that $a$ is even. Then there are positive integers $m$ and $n$ with $m>n,(m, n)=1$, and $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$ such that $a=2 m n$, $b=m^{2}-n^{2}$, and $c=m^{2}+n^{2}$.
Proof. Since $a$ is even, say $a=2 r$ for some positive integer $r$, then $a^{2}=4 r^{2}$. Since $a^{2}=c^{2}-b^{2}$ we have $4 r^{2}=(c+b)(c-b)$. Now $b$ is odd by Lemma 16.1 and $c$ is odd by Corollary 16.A, so $c+b$ and $c-b$ are both even. So we have $c+b=2 s$ and $c-b=2 t$ for some positive integers $s$ and $t$. Solving these two equations for $b$ and $c$ gives $c=s+t$ (summing the two equations) and $b=s-t$ (subtracting the two equations). Since $c+b=2 s$ and $c-b=2 t$, then $4 r^{2}=(c+b)(c-b)$ implies $4 r^{2}=4 s t$ or $r^{2}=s t$. We have that $s$ and $t$ are relatively prime, since if $d \mid s$ and $d \mid t$ then $d \mid,(s+t)$ and $d \mid(s-t)$; that is, $d \mid c$ and $s \mid b$. But $(b, c)=1$ by Exercise 1 (on page 129: If $(x, y)=1$ and $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$, then $(y, z)=(x, z)=1)$ so $d= \pm 1$, and hence $(s, t)=1$.

## Lemma 16.3 (continued)

Lemma 16.3. Suppose that $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$, and suppose that $a$ is even. Then there are positive integers $m$ and $n$ with $m>n,(m, n)=1$, and $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$ such that $a=2 m n$, $b=m^{2}-n^{2}$, and $c=m^{2}+n^{2}$.

Proof (continued). Since $s$ and $t$ are relatively prime, then Lemma 16.2 implies that $s$ and $t$ are both squares. Say $s=m^{2}$ and $t=n^{2}$ for some positive integers $m$ and $n$. Since $a=2 r, a^{2}=4 r^{2}$, and $r^{2}=s t$, then we have $a^{2}=4 r^{2}=4 s t=4 m^{2} n^{2}$ or $a=2 m n$. Hence $c=s+t=m^{2}+n^{2}$ and $b=s-t=m^{2}-n^{2}$; so $a, b$, and $c$ are as claimed in terms of $m$ and $n$. $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$, as claimed. Finally, suppose $d \mid m$ and $d \mid n$. Then $d \mid$ a since $a=2 m n$, and $d \mid b$ since $b=m^{2}-n^{2}$. But because we have a fundamental solution, then $(a, b)=1$ and so $d= \pm 1$. Therefore $(m, n)=1$, as claimed.

## Lemma 16.3 (continued)

Lemma 16.3. Suppose that $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$, and suppose that $a$ is even. Then there are positive integers $m$ and $n$ with $m>n,(m, n)=1$, and $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$ such that $a=2 m n$, $b=m^{2}-n^{2}$, and $c=m^{2}+n^{2}$.

Proof (continued). Since $s$ and $t$ are relatively prime, then Lemma 16.2 implies that $s$ and $t$ are both squares. Say $s=m^{2}$ and $t=n^{2}$ for some positive integers $m$ and $n$. Since $a=2 r, a^{2}=4 r^{2}$, and $r^{2}=s t$, then we have $a^{2}=4 r^{2}=4 s t=4 m^{2} n^{2}$ or $a=2 m n$. Hence $c=s+t=m^{2}+n^{2}$ and $b=s-t=m^{2}-n^{2}$; so $a, b$, and $c$ are as claimed in terms of $m$ and $n$. Next, since $b$ is positive then $m>n$, as claimed. Since $b$ is odd, then $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$, as claimed. Finally, suppose $d \mid m$ and $d \mid n$. Then $d \mid a$ since $a=2 m n$, and $d \mid b$ since $b=m^{2}-n^{2}$. But because we have a fundamental solution, then $(a, b)=1$ and so $d= \pm 1$. Therefore $(m, n)=1$, as claimed.

## Lemma 16.4

Lemma 16.4. If $a=2 m n, b=m^{2}-n^{2}$, and $c=m^{2}+n^{2}$, then $a, b, c$ is a solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$. If in addition, $m>n, m$ and $n$ are positive, $(m, n)=1$, and $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$, then $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution.

## Proof. It is straightforward to verify that $a, b, c$ is a solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{2}+b^{2} & =(2 m n)^{2}+\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right)^{2}=4 m^{2} n^{2}+m^{4}-2 m^{2} n^{2}+n^{4} \\
& =m^{4}+2 m^{2} n^{2}+n^{4}=\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}=c^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma 16.4

Lemma 16.4. If $a=2 m n, b=m^{2}-n^{2}$, and $c=m^{2}+n^{2}$, then $a, b, c$ is a solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$. If in addition, $m>n, m$ and $n$ are positive, $(m, n)=1$, and $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$, then $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution.

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that $a, b, c$ is a solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{2}+b^{2} & =(2 m n)^{2}+\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right)^{2}=4 m^{2} n^{2}+m^{4}-2 m^{2} n^{2}+n^{4} \\
& =m^{4}+2 m^{2} n^{2}+n^{4}=\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}=c^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

To show that $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution, ASSUME $p$ is an odd prime such that $p \mid a$ and $p \mid b$. Since $c^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}$ then $p \mid c$. Since $p \mid b$ and $p \mid c$ then $p \mid(b+c)$ and $p \mid(b-c)$. But $b+c=2 m^{2}$ and $b-c=-2 n^{2}$ (by hypothesis). So $p \mid 2 m^{2}$ and $p \mid 2 n^{2}$. Since $p$ is odd, then $p \mid m^{2}$ and $p \mid n^{2}$ and hence $p \mid m$ and $p \mid n$. But this is a CONTRADICTION, since $m$ and $n$ are relatively prime.

## Lemma 16.4

Lemma 16.4. If $a=2 m n, b=m^{2}-n^{2}$, and $c=m^{2}+n^{2}$, then $a, b, c$ is a solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$. If in addition, $m>n, m$ and $n$ are positive, $(m, n)=1$, and $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$, then $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution.

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that $a, b, c$ is a solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{2}+b^{2} & =(2 m n)^{2}+\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right)^{2}=4 m^{2} n^{2}+m^{4}-2 m^{2} n^{2}+n^{4} \\
& =m^{4}+2 m^{2} n^{2}+n^{4}=\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}=c^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

To show that $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution, ASSUME $p$ is an odd prime such that $p \mid a$ and $p \mid b$. Since $c^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}$ then $p \mid c$. Since $p \mid b$ and $p \mid c$ then $p \mid(b+c)$ and $p \mid(b-c)$. But $b+c=2 m^{2}$ and $b-c=-2 n^{2}$ (by hypothesis). So $p \mid 2 m^{2}$ and $p \mid 2 n^{2}$. Since $p$ is odd, then $p \mid m^{2}$ and $p \mid n^{2}$ and hence $p \mid m$ and $p \mid n$. But this is a CONTRADICTION, since $m$ and $n$ are relatively prime.

## Lemma 16.4 (continued)

Lemma 16.4. If $a=2 m n, b=m^{2}-n^{2}$, and $c=m^{2}+n^{2}$, then $a, b, c$ is a solution of $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$. If in addition, $m>n, m$ and $n$ are positive, $(m, n)=1$, and $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$, then $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution.

Proof (continued). So the assumption that there is an odd prime $p$ which divides both $a$ and $b$ is false (and 2 does not divide $b$ since $b=m^{2}-n^{2}$ where $m \not \equiv n(\bmod 2)$, and so $b$ is odd), so that $a$ and $b$ have no common factors and $(a, b)=1$. Notice that since $m$ and $n$ are positive by hypothesis then $a=2 m n$ is positive, and since $m>n$ by hypothesis then $b=m^{2}-n^{2}$ is positive. That is, $a, b, c$ is a fundamental solution, as claimed.

