## Elementary Number Theory
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## Theorem 22.A

Theorem 22.A. An arithmetic progression of prime numbers must be finite in length.

Proof. Suppose the arithmetic progression is given by the function $f(n)=a n+b$. Let $p$ be prime and suppose $p \nmid a$, so that $(a, p)=1$. So by Lemma 5.2 there is (exactly one) integer $r$ such that $a x \equiv-b(\bmod p)$. Then $a(r+k p)+b \equiv a r+b \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. So every $p$ th term in the sequence is divisible by $p$ (that is, $a r+b$ is divisible by $p, a r+b+p$ is divisible by $p$, ar $+b+2 p$ is divisible by $p$, etc.).

## Theorem 22.A

Theorem 22.A. An arithmetic progression of prime numbers must be finite in length.

Proof. Suppose the arithmetic progression is given by the function $f(n)=a n+b$. Let $p$ be prime and suppose $p \nmid a$, so that $(a, p)=1$. So by Lemma 5.2 there is (exactly one) integer $r$ such that $a x \equiv-b(\bmod p)$. Then $a(r+k p)+b \equiv a r+b \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. So every $p$ th term in the sequence is divisible by $p$ (that is, $a r+b$ is divisible by $p, a r+b+p$ is divisible by $p$, ar $+b+2 p$ is divisible by $p$, etc.). Since one of these multiples of $p$ must be in the sequence (and hence $p$-terms later the sequence repeats a multiple of $p$ ), then the sequence cannot consist of only primes (any multiple of $p$ greater then $p$ is not prime). That is, the arithmetic progression of primes must be finite in length, as claimed.

## Theorem 22.A

Theorem 22.A. An arithmetic progression of prime numbers must be finite in length.

Proof. Suppose the arithmetic progression is given by the function $f(n)=a n+b$. Let $p$ be prime and suppose $p \nmid a$, so that $(a, p)=1$. So by Lemma 5.2 there is (exactly one) integer $r$ such that $a x \equiv-b(\bmod p)$. Then $a(r+k p)+b \equiv a r+b \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. So every $p$ th term in the sequence is divisible by $p$ (that is, $a r+b$ is divisible by $p, a r+b+p$ is divisible by $p, a r+b+2 p$ is divisible by $p$, etc.). Since one of these multiples of $p$ must be in the sequence (and hence $p$-terms later the sequence repeats a multiple of $p$ ), then the sequence cannot consist of only primes (any multiple of $p$ greater then $p$ is not prime). That is, the arithmetic progression of primes must be finite in length, as claimed.

## Theorem 22.C

Theorem 22.C. If $f(n)=a_{k} n^{k}+a_{k-1} n^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{2} n^{2}+a_{1} n+a_{0}$ is a polynomial function with integer coefficients, and if $r$ is such that $f(r) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod p)$ for some $p$, then $f(r+m p) \equiv f(r) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, no polynomial can have only prime values.

Proof. Notice that we cannot have $f(r) \in\{-1,0,1\}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, unless $f$ is a constant function (and constant functions don't count as polynomial functions). So there is $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(r) \notin\{-1,0,1\}$. For $p$ a prime divisor of such $f(r)$, we have $f(r) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
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Theorem 22.C. If $f(n)=a_{k} n^{k}+a_{k-1} n^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{2} n^{2}+a_{1} n+a_{0}$ is a polynomial function with integer coefficients, and if $r$ is such that $f(r) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod p)$ for some $p$, then $f(r+m p) \equiv f(r) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, no polynomial can have only prime values.

Proof. Notice that we cannot have $f(r) \in\{-1,0,1\}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, unless $f$ is a constant function (and constant functions don't count as polynomial functions). So there is $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(r) \notin\{-1,0,1\}$. For $p$ a prime divisor of such $f(r)$, we have $f(r) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Notice that by the Binomial Theorem $(r+m p)^{N}=\sum_{i=0}^{N}\binom{N}{i} r^{N-i}(m p)^{i} \equiv r^{N}(\bmod p)$, so
$f(r+m p)=a_{k}(r+m p)^{k}+a_{k-1}(r+m p)^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{2}(r+m p)^{2}+a_{1}(r+m p)+a_{0}$ $\equiv a_{k} r^{k}+a_{k-1} r^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{2} r^{2}+a_{1} r+a_{0} \equiv f(r)(\bmod p)$.
So, as with arithmetic progressions, every pth term in the sequence is divisible by $p$, and so is not prime. Hence, no polynomial can have only prime values, as claimed

## Theorem 22.C

Theorem 22.C. If $f(n)=a_{k} n^{k}+a_{k-1} n^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{2} n^{2}+a_{1} n+a_{0}$ is a polynomial function with integer coefficients, and if $r$ is such that $f(r) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod p)$ for some $p$, then $f(r+m p) \equiv f(r) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
That is, no polynomial can have only prime values.
Proof. Notice that we cannot have $f(r) \in\{-1,0,1\}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, unless $f$ is a constant function (and constant functions don't count as polynomial functions). So there is $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(r) \notin\{-1,0,1\}$. For $p$ a prime divisor of such $f(r)$, we have $f(r) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Notice that by the Binomial Theorem $(r+m p)^{N}=\sum_{i=0}^{N}\binom{N}{i} r^{N-i}(m p)^{i} \equiv r^{N}(\bmod p)$, so

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(r+m p)=a_{k}(r+m p)^{k}+a_{k-1}(r+m p)^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{2}(r+m p)^{2}+a_{1}(r+m p)+a_{0} \\
\equiv a_{k} r^{k}+a_{k-1} r^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{2} r^{2}+a_{1} r+a_{0} \equiv f(r)(\bmod p)
\end{gathered}
$$

So, as with arithmetic progressions, every $p$ th term in the sequence is divisible by $p$, and so is not prime. Hence, no polynomial can have only prime values, as claimed

## Theorem 22.1

Theorem 22.1. There is a real number $\theta$ such that $\left[\theta^{3^{n}}\right]$ is a prime for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $p_{1}$ be any prime greater than integer $A$ given in Theorem 2.2.D. Define a sequence of prime numbers recursively for $n=1,2, \ldots$ where $p_{n+1}$ satisfies $p_{n}^{3}<p_{n+1}<\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3}-1$. Notice that such $p_{n+1}$ always exists by Theorem 2.2.D. Let $u_{n}=p_{n}^{3-n}$ and $v_{n}=\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3^{-n}}$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$. Since $p_{n+1}>p_{n}^{3}$ and $3^{-n-1}$ is a positive exponent, then $p_{n+1}^{3-n-1}>\left(p_{n}^{3}\right)^{3^{-n-1}}$ and so as $n$ increases, $u_{n}$ increases because:

$$
u_{n+1}=p_{n+1}^{3-n-1}>\left(p_{n}^{3}\right)^{3^{-n-1}}=p_{n}^{3^{-n}}=u_{n} .
$$
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Proof. Let $p_{1}$ be any prime greater than integer $A$ given in Theorem 2.2.D. Define a sequence of prime numbers recursively for $n=1,2, \ldots$ where $p_{n+1}$ satisfies $p_{n}^{3}<p_{n+1}<\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3}-1$. Notice that such $p_{n+1}$ always exists by Theorem 2.2.D. Let $u_{n}=p_{n}^{3^{-n}}$ and $v_{n}=\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3^{-n}}$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$. Since $p_{n+1}>p_{n}^{3}$ and $3^{-n-1}$ is a positive exponent, then $p_{n+1}^{3-n-1}>\left(p_{n}^{3}\right)^{3^{-n-1}}$ and so as $n$ increases, $u_{n}$ increases because:

$$
u_{n+1}=p_{n+1}^{3^{-n-1}}>\left(p_{n}^{3}\right)^{3^{-n-1}}=p_{n}^{3^{-n}}=u_{n} .
$$

Similarly, since $p_{n+1}<\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3}-1$ and $3^{-n-1}$ is a positive exponent, then $\left(p_{n+1}+1\right)^{3^{-n-1}}<\left(\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3}-1+1\right)^{3^{-n-1}}$ and so as $n$ increases then $v_{n}$ decreases because:
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Theorem 22.1. There is a real number $\theta$ such that $\left[\theta^{3^{n}}\right]$ is a prime for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $p_{1}$ be any prime greater than integer $A$ given in Theorem 2.2.D. Define a sequence of prime numbers recursively for $n=1,2, \ldots$ where $p_{n+1}$ satisfies $p_{n}^{3}<p_{n+1}<\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3}-1$. Notice that such $p_{n+1}$ always exists by Theorem 2.2.D. Let $u_{n}=p_{n}^{3-n}$ and $v_{n}=\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3^{-n}}$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$. Since $p_{n+1}>p_{n}^{3}$ and $3^{-n-1}$ is a positive exponent, then $p_{n+1}^{3-n-1}>\left(p_{n}^{3}\right)^{3^{-n-1}}$ and so as $n$ increases, $u_{n}$ increases because:

$$
u_{n+1}=p_{n+1}^{3^{-n-1}}>\left(p_{n}^{3}\right)^{3^{-n-1}}=p_{n}^{3^{-n}}=u_{n} .
$$

Similarly, since $p_{n+1}<\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3}-1$ and $3^{-n-1}$ is a positive exponent, then $\left(p_{n+1}+1\right)^{3^{-n-1}}<\left(\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3}-1+1\right)^{3^{-n-1}}$ and so as $n$ increases then $v_{n}$ decreases because:

$$
v_{n+1}=\left(p_{n+1}+1\right)^{3^{-n-1}}<\left(\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3}-1+1\right)^{3^{-n-1}}=\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3^{-n}}=v_{n} .
$$

## Theorem 22.1 (continued)

Theorem 22.1. There is a real number $\theta$ such that $\left[\theta^{3^{n}}\right]$ is a prime for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof (continued). Now $u_{n}=p_{n}^{3^{-n}}<\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3^{-n}}=v_{n}$, so we now have $u_{n}<v_{n}<v_{n-1}<\cdots<v_{1}$. So $u_{n}<v_{1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence (and hence nondecreasing) of real numbers that is bounded above by $v_{1}$. So by Lemma 22.A, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a limit, say $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=\theta$. Similarly, $v_{n}>u_{n}>u_{n-1}>\cdots>u_{1}$, so we also have $v_{n}>u_{1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence (and hence nonincreasing) of real numbers that is bounded below by $u_{1}$. So by Lemma 22.B, $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ has a limit, say $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{n}=\varphi$. Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ increases and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ decreases, we have $u_{n}<\theta \leq \varphi<v_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $u_{n}^{3^{n}}<\theta^{3^{n}} \leq \varphi^{3^{n}}<v_{n}^{3^{n}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $u_{n}^{3^{n}}=p_{n}$ and $v_{n}^{3^{n}}=p_{n}+1$, then we have $p_{n}<\theta^{3^{n}}<p_{n}+1$. So $\theta^{3^{n}}$ lies between two consecutive integers, and hence $\left[\theta^{3^{n}}\right]=p_{n}$. That is, $\left[\theta^{3^{n}}\right]$ is prime for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as claimed.

## Theorem 22.1 (continued)

Theorem 22.1. There is a real number $\theta$ such that $\left[\theta^{3^{n}}\right]$ is a prime for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof (continued). Now $u_{n}=p_{n}^{3^{-n}}<\left(p_{n}+1\right)^{3^{-n}}=v_{n}$, so we now have $u_{n}<v_{n}<v_{n-1}<\cdots<v_{1}$. So $u_{n}<v_{1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence (and hence nondecreasing) of real numbers that is bounded above by $v_{1}$. So by Lemma 22.A, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a limit, say $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=\theta$. Similarly, $v_{n}>u_{n}>u_{n-1}>\cdots>u_{1}$, so we also have $v_{n}>u_{1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence (and hence nonincreasing) of real numbers that is bounded below by $u_{1}$. So by Lemma 22.B, $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ has a limit, say $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{n}=\varphi$. Since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ increases and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ decreases, we have $u_{n}<\theta \leq \varphi<v_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $u_{n}^{3^{n}}<\theta^{3^{n}} \leq \varphi^{3^{n}}<v_{n}^{3^{n}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $u_{n}^{3^{n}}=p_{n}$ and $v_{n}^{3^{n}}=p_{n}+1$, then we have $p_{n}<\theta^{3^{n}}<p_{n}+1$. So $\theta^{3^{n}}$ lies between two consecutive integers, and hence $\left[\theta^{3^{n}}\right]=p_{n}$. That is, $\left[\theta^{3^{n}}\right]$ is prime for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as claimed.

## Lemma 22.E

Lemma 22.E. For $n \geq 2$, we have $\prod_{p \leq n} p \leq 2^{2 n}$ where $p$ is prime.

## Proof. First, observe that by the Binomial Theorem,

$$
\begin{gathered}
2^{2 m+1}=(1+1)^{2 m+1}=1+\binom{2 m+1}{1}+\binom{2 m}{2}+\cdots+\binom{2 m+1}{m}+\binom{2 m+1}{m+1} \\
+\cdots+\binom{2 m+1}{2 m}+1 \geq\binom{ 2 m+1}{m}+\binom{2 m+1}{m+1}=2\binom{2 m+1}{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

and so $2^{2 m} \geq\binom{ 2 m+1}{m}=\frac{(2 m+1)(2 M) \cdots(m+2)}{m(m-1) \cdots(2)(1)}$.

## Lemma 22.E

Lemma 22.E. For $n \geq 2$, we have $\prod_{p \leq n} p \leq 2^{2 n}$ where $p$ is prime.
Proof. First, observe that by the Binomial Theorem,
$2^{2 m+1}=(1+1)^{2 m+1}=1+\binom{2 m+1}{1}+\binom{2 m}{2}+\cdots+\binom{2 m+1}{m}+\binom{2 m+1}{m+1}$

$$
+\cdots+\binom{2 m+1}{2 m}+1 \geq\binom{ 2 m+1}{m}+\binom{2 m+1}{m+1}=2\binom{2 m+1}{m}
$$

and so $2^{2 m} \geq\binom{ 2 m+1}{m}=\frac{(2 m+1)(2 M) \cdots(m+2)}{m(m-1) \cdots(2)(1)}$.

is
divisible by each prime $p$ such that $m+1<p \leq 2 m+1$, so


## Lemma 22.E

Lemma 22.E. For $n \geq 2$, we have $\prod_{p \leq n} p \leq 2^{2 n}$ where $p$ is prime.
Proof. First, observe that by the Binomial Theorem,

$$
\begin{gathered}
2^{2 m+1}=(1+1)^{2 m+1}=1+\binom{2 m+1}{1}+\binom{2 m}{2}+\cdots+\binom{2 m+1}{m}+\binom{2 m+1}{m+1} \\
+\cdots+\binom{2 m+1}{2 m}+1 \geq\binom{ 2 m+1}{m}+\binom{2 m+1}{m+1}=2\binom{2 m+1}{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

and so $2^{2 m} \geq\binom{ 2 m+1}{m}=\frac{(2 m+1)(2 M) \cdots(m+2)}{m(m-1) \cdots(2)(1)}$. Now $\binom{2 m+1}{m}$ is
divisible by each prime $p$ such that $m+1<p \leq 2 m+1$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{m+1<p \leq 2 m+1} p \leq\binom{ 2 m+1}{m} \leq 2^{2 m} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma 22.E (continued 1)

Lemma 22.E. For $n \geq 2$, we have $\prod_{p \leq n} p \leq 2^{2 n}$ where $p$ is prime.
Proof (continued). We now prove the claim by induction. The claim holds for $n=2$ since $\prod_{p \leq 2} p=2 \leq 4=2^{2(2)}$, so the base case is established. For the induction hypothesis, suppose the claim holds for all $n \leq k$. If $k$ is odd, then $k+1$ is even and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{p \leq k+1} p & =\prod_{p \leq k} p \leq 2^{2 k} \text { by the induction hypothesis } \\
& \leq 2^{2(k+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the induction step holds when $k$ is odd.

## Lemma 22.E (continued 2)

Lemma 22.E. For $n \geq 2$, we have $\prod_{p \leq n} p \leq 2^{2 n}$ where $p$ is prime.
Proof (continued). If $k$ is even, say $k=2 m$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{p \leq k+1} p & =\left(\prod_{p \leq m+1} p\right)\left(\prod_{m+1<p \leq 2 m+1} p\right) \\
& \leq 2^{2(m+1)} 2^{2 m} \text { by the induction hypothesis and }(*) \\
& =2^{4 m+2}=2^{2(2 m+1)}=2^{2(k+1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

and the induction step holds when $k$ is even. So by induction, the claim holds for all $n \geq 2$.

## Lemma 22.F

Lemma 22.F. For $n \geq 1$, we have $\binom{2 n}{n} \geq \frac{2^{2 n}}{2 n}$.
Proof. We prove the claim by induction. For the base case, with $n=1$ we have $\binom{2 n}{n}=\binom{2}{1}=2=\frac{4}{2}=\frac{2^{2(1)}}{2(1)}=\frac{2^{2 n}}{2 n}$. For the induction hypothesis, suppose the claim holds for $n=k$ so that $\binom{2 k}{k} \geq \frac{2^{2 k}}{2 k}$.

## Lemma 22.F

Lemma 22.F. For $n \geq 1$, we have $\binom{2 n}{n} \geq \frac{2^{2 n}}{2 n}$.
Proof. We prove the claim by induction. For the base case, with $n=1$ we have $\binom{2 n}{n}=\binom{2}{1}=2=\frac{4}{2}=\frac{2^{2(1)}}{2(1)}=\frac{2^{2 n}}{2 n}$. For the induction hypothesis, suppose the claim holds for $n=k$ so that $\binom{2 k}{k} \geq \frac{2^{2 k}}{2 k}$. we have


## Lemma 22.F

Lemma 22.F. For $n \geq 1$, we have $\binom{2 n}{n} \geq \frac{2^{2 n}}{2 n}$.
Proof. We prove the claim by induction. For the base case, with $n=1$ we have $\binom{2 n}{n}=\binom{2}{1}=2=\frac{4}{2}=\frac{2^{2(1)}}{2(1)}=\frac{2^{2 n}}{2 n}$. For the induction hypothesis, suppose the claim holds for $n=k$ so that $\binom{2 k}{k} \geq \frac{2^{2 k}}{2 k}$. With $n=k+1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{2(k+1)}{k+1} & =\binom{2 k+2}{k+1}=\frac{(2 k+2)!}{(k+1)!(k+1)!}=\frac{(2 k+2)(2 k+1)(2 k)!}{(k+1)^{2} k!k!} \\
& =\frac{2(k+1)(2 k+1)}{(k+1)^{2}} \frac{(2 k)!}{k!k!}=\frac{2(k+1)(2 k+1)}{(k+1)^{2}}\binom{2 k}{k} \\
& \geq \frac{2(k+1)(2 k+1)}{(k+1)^{2}} \frac{2^{2 k}}{2 k} \text { by the induction hypothesis }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma 22.F (continued)

Lemma 22.F. For $n \geq 1$, we have $\binom{2 n}{n} \geq \frac{2^{2 n}}{2 n}$.

## Proof (continued). ...

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{2(k+1)}{k+1} & \geq \frac{2(k+1)(2 k+1)}{(k+1)^{2}} \frac{2^{2 k}}{2 k} \\
& =\frac{2 k+1}{k+1} \frac{2^{2 k+1}}{2 k}=\frac{(2 k+2)(2 k+1)}{(2 k+2)(k+1)} \frac{2^{2 k+1}}{2 k} \\
& =\frac{2(k+1)}{k+1} \frac{2 k+1}{2 k} \frac{2^{2 k+1}}{2 k+2} \geq \frac{2^{2(k+1)}}{2(k+1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

so the induction step is established. Hence, the claim holds by induction for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

## Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem

Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem.
For all integers $n \geq 2$, there is a prime $p$ such that $n<p<2 n$.
Proof. ASSUME that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are no primes $p$ such that $n<p<2 n$ or, equivalently, such that $n<p \leq 2 n$. For this value of $n$, let

$$
N=\binom{2 n}{n}=\frac{(2 n)(2 n-1)(2 n-2) \cdots(n+1)}{n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots(2)(1)} .
$$

## Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem

## Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem.

For all integers $n \geq 2$, there is a prime $p$ such that $n<p<2 n$.
Proof. ASSUME that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are no primes $p$ such that $n<p<2 n$ or, equivalently, such that $n<p \leq 2 n$. For this value of $n$, let

$$
N=\binom{2 n}{n}=\frac{(2 n)(2 n-1)(2 n-2) \cdots(n+1)}{n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots(2)(1)} .
$$

So if $2 n / 3<p \leq n$, then $p$ is a factor of the denominator, and since $2 p>4 n / 3 \geq n+1$, then $2 p$ is a factor of the numerator. The two $p$ 's cancel and, since $3 p>2 n$, there are no more factors of $p$ in the numerator Thus all prime divisors of $N$ are at most $2 n / 3$, so that by Lemma 22.E


## Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem

## Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem.

For all integers $n \geq 2$, there is a prime $p$ such that $n<p<2 n$.
Proof. ASSUME that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are no primes $p$ such that $n<p<2 n$ or, equivalently, such that $n<p \leq 2 n$. For this value of $n$, let

$$
N=\binom{2 n}{n}=\frac{(2 n)(2 n-1)(2 n-2) \cdots(n+1)}{n(n-1)(n-2) \cdots(2)(1)}
$$

So if $2 n / 3<p \leq n$, then $p$ is a factor of the denominator, and since $2 p>4 n / 3 \geq n+1$, then $2 p$ is a factor of the numerator. The two $p$ 's cancel and, since $3 p>2 n$, there are no more factors of $p$ in the numerator. Thus all prime divisors of $N$ are at most $2 n / 3$, so that by Lemma 22.E

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{p \mid N} \leq \prod_{p \leq 2 n / 3} p \leq 2^{2(2 n / 3)}=2^{4 n / 3} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem (continued 1)

## Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem.

For all integers $n \geq 2$, there is a prime $p$ such that $n<p<2 n$.
Proof (continued). By Lemma 21.4, each prime power in the prime-power decomposition of $N=\binom{2 n}{n}$ is at most $2 n$. So, if $p$ appears in the prime-power decomposition of $N$ to a power greater than 1 , then $p^{2} \leq 2 n$ (in fact if $p^{k}$ is in the prime-power decomposition then $p^{k} \leq 2 n$, but we only need the case $k=2$ since if $p^{k} \leq 2 n$, where $k \geq 2$, then also $\left.p^{2} \leq 2 n\right)$ and so $p \leq \sqrt{2 n}$. There are at most $\sqrt{2 n}$ such primes, and since each prime power is at most $2 n$, so their total contribution to the prime-power decomposition is at most $(2 n)^{\sqrt{2 n}}$. All of the other primes appear to the power 1 and, from $(*)$, their product is at most $2^{4 n / 3}$. That is, the prime divisors of $N$ that appear to the power 1 in the prime-power decomposition of $N$ are bounded by $2^{4 n / 3}$, and those that appear to a power greater than 1 have a product bounded by $(2 n)^{\sqrt{2 n}}$

## Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem (continued 1)

## Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem.

For all integers $n \geq 2$, there is a prime $p$ such that $n<p<2 n$.
Proof (continued). By Lemma 21.4, each prime power in the prime-power decomposition of $N=\binom{2 n}{n}$ is at most $2 n$. So, if $p$ appears in the prime-power decomposition of $N$ to a power greater than 1 , then $p^{2} \leq 2 n$ (in fact if $p^{k}$ is in the prime-power decomposition then $p^{k} \leq 2 n$, but we only need the case $k=2$ since if $p^{k} \leq 2 n$, where $k \geq 2$, then also $\left.p^{2} \leq 2 n\right)$ and so $p \leq \sqrt{2 n}$. There are at most $\sqrt{2 n}$ such primes, and since each prime power is at most $2 n$, so their total contribution to the prime-power decomposition is at most $(2 n)^{\sqrt{2 n}}$. All of the other primes appear to the power 1 and, from $(*)$, their product is at most $2^{4 n / 3}$. That is, the prime divisors of $N$ that appear to the power 1 in the prime-power decomposition of $N$ are bounded by $2^{4 n / 3}$, and those that appear to a power greater than 1 have a product bounded by $(2 n)^{\sqrt{2 n}}$.

## Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem (continued 2)

Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem.
For all integers $n \geq 2$, there is a prime $p$ such that $n<p<2 n$.
Proof (continued). Thus $N=\binom{2 n}{n} \leq 2^{4 / 3}(2 n)^{\sqrt{2 n}}$. By Lemma 22.F, $\binom{2 n}{n} \geq \frac{2^{2 n}}{2 n}$, so we now have $\frac{2^{2 n}}{2 n} \leq 2^{4 n / 3}(2 n)^{\sqrt{2 n}}$. Taking logarithms of this inequality (remember, the log function is increasing and so preserves inequalities), we get $2 n \log 2-\log 2 n \leq(4 n / 3) \log 2+\sqrt{2 n} \log 2 n$, or
$(2 n / 3) \log 2 \leq(\sqrt{2 n}+1) \log 2 n \leq(\sqrt{2 n}+\sqrt{2 n}) \log 2 n=2 \sqrt{2} \sqrt{n} \log 2 n$,
or $\sqrt{n} \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \log 2 n}{\log 2}$.

## Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem (continued 3)

Theorem 22.2. Bertrand's Theorem.
For all integers $n \geq 2$, there is a prime $p$ such that $n<p<2 n$.
Proof (continued). $\ldots \sqrt{n} \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{2} \log 2 n}{\log 2}$. But $\sqrt{n}$ increases more rapidly that $\log 2 n$, then this inequality if false for $n$ sufficiently large. In fact, we can numerically verify that for $n>2787$ the inequality is false, and we have CONTRADICTION. So the assumption that there are no primes $p$ such that $n<p<2 n$ is false for $n>2787$, and so the claim holds provided $n>2787$. By Note 22.C, we see that the claim holds for $n \leq 9973$, and hence the claim holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as needed.

## Theorem 22.3

Theorem 22.3. There exists a real number $\theta$ such that $\left[2^{\theta}\right],\left[2^{2^{\theta}}\right],\left[2^{2^{2^{\theta}}}\right]$, . . . are all prime.

Proof. Let $p_{1}$ be any prime, and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_{n+1}$ be a prime such that $2^{p_{2}}<p_{n+1}<2^{p_{n}+1}$; notice that such a $p_{n+1}$ exists by Theorem 22.2. Let $u_{n}=\log ^{(n)} p_{n}$ and $v_{n}=\log ^{(n)} \log ^{(n)}\left(p_{n}+1\right)$, where the function $\log ^{(n)}$ is defined recursively as: $\log ^{(1)} k=\log _{2} k$ and $\log ^{(n)} k=\log _{2}\left(\log ^{(n-1)} k\right)$. Since $2^{p_{2}}<p_{n+1}<2^{p_{n}+1}$, we have by taking logarithms base 2 that

$$
\log _{2} 2^{p_{2}}<\log _{2} p_{n+1}<\log _{2} 2^{p_{n}+1} \text { or } p_{n}<\log _{2} p_{n+1}<p_{n}+1 .
$$

## Theorem 22.3

Theorem 22.3. There exists a real number $\theta$ such that $\left[2^{\theta}\right],\left[2^{2^{\theta}}\right],\left[2^{2^{2^{\theta}}}\right]$, . . . are all prime.
Proof. Let $p_{1}$ be any prime, and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_{n+1}$ be a prime such that $2^{p_{2}}<p_{n+1}<2^{p_{n}+1}$; notice that such a $p_{n+1}$ exists by Theorem 22.2. Let $u_{n}=\log ^{(n)} p_{n}$ and $v_{n}=\log ^{(n)} \log { }^{(n)}\left(p_{n}+1\right)$, where the function $\log ^{(n)}$ is defined recursively as: $\log ^{(1)} k=\log _{2} k$ and $\log ^{(n)} k=\log _{2}\left(\log ^{(n-1)} k\right)$. Since $2^{p_{2}}<p_{n+1}<2^{p_{n}+1}$, we have by taking logarithms base 2 that

$$
\log _{2} 2^{p_{2}}<\log _{2} p_{n+1}<\log _{2} 2^{p_{n}+1} \text { or } p_{n}<\log _{2} p_{n+1}<p_{n}+1 .
$$

Since $p_{n+1}+1 \leq 2^{p_{n}+1}$ (because $p_{n+1}<2^{p_{n}+1}$ ) then we have

$$
p_{n}<\log ^{(1)} p_{n+1}<\log ^{(1)}\left(p_{n+1}+1\right) \leq \log ^{(1)}\left(2^{p_{n}+1}\right)=p_{n}+1 .
$$

Taking logarithms base 2 of this inequality $n$ times gives

$$
\log ^{(n)} p_{n}<\log ^{(n+1)} p_{n+1}<\log ^{(n+1)}\left(p_{n+1}+1\right) \leq \log ^{(n)}\left(p_{n}+1\right)
$$

## Theorem 22.3

Theorem 22.3. There exists a real number $\theta$ such that $\left[2^{\theta}\right],\left[2^{2^{\theta}}\right],\left[2^{2^{2^{\theta}}}\right]$, ....are all prime.

Proof. Let $p_{1}$ be any prime, and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p_{n+1}$ be a prime such that $2^{p_{2}}<p_{n+1}<2^{p_{n}+1}$; notice that such a $p_{n+1}$ exists by Theorem 22.2. Let $u_{n}=\log ^{(n)} p_{n}$ and $v_{n}=\log ^{(n)} \log ^{(n)}\left(p_{n}+1\right)$, where the function $\log ^{(n)}$ is defined recursively as: $\log ^{(1)} k=\log _{2} k$ and $\log ^{(n)} k=\log _{2}\left(\log ^{(n-1)} k\right)$. Since $2^{p_{2}}<p_{n+1}<2^{p_{n}+1}$, we have by taking logarithms base 2 that

$$
\log _{2} 2^{p_{2}}<\log _{2} p_{n+1}<\log _{2} 2^{p_{n}+1} \text { or } p_{n}<\log _{2} p_{n+1}<p_{n}+1
$$

Since $p_{n+1}+1 \leq 2^{p_{n}+1}$ (because $p_{n+1}<2^{p_{n}+1}$ ) then we have

$$
p_{n}<\log ^{(1)} p_{n+1}<\log ^{(1)}\left(p_{n+1}+1\right) \leq \log ^{(1)}\left(2^{p_{n}+1}\right)=p_{n}+1 .
$$

Taking logarithms base 2 of this inequality $n$ times gives

$$
\log ^{(n)} p_{n}<\log ^{(n+1)} p_{n+1}<\log ^{(n+1)}\left(p_{n+1}+1\right) \leq \log ^{(n)}\left(p_{n}+1\right)
$$

or $u_{n}<u_{n+1}<v_{n+1} \leq v_{n}$.

## Theorem 22.3 (continued)

Theorem 22.3. There exists a real number $\theta$ such that $\left[2^{\theta}\right],\left[2^{2^{\theta}}\right],\left[2^{2^{2^{\theta}}}\right]$, ... are all prime.

Proof (continued). ... $u_{n}<u_{n+1}<v_{n+1} \leq v_{n}$. So sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing (that is, nondecreasing) sequence which is bounded above by $v_{1}$, so that it converges by Lemma 22.A, say $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=\theta$. Sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence which is bounded below below by $u_{1}$, so that it converges by Lemma 22.B, say $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{n}=\varphi$. Define $\exp ^{(n)} k$ recursively as: $\exp ^{(1)} k=2^{k}$ and $\exp ^{(n)} k=2^{\exp ^{(n+1)}} k$. Since $u_{n}<\theta<v_{n}$ for all
$n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\exp ^{(n)} u_{n}<\exp ^{(n)} \theta<\exp ^{(n)} v_{n}$, or $p_{n}<\exp ^{(n)}<p_{n}+1$.
Since $\exp ^{(n)} \theta$ lies between two consecutive integers, then $\left[\exp ^{(n)} \theta\right]=p_{n}$. That is, $\left[\exp ^{(n)} \theta\right]$ is prime for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\exp ^{(n)} k$ is defined as an iterated composition of base 2 exponential functions, then we have that each of $\left[2^{\theta}\right],\left[2^{2^{\theta}}\right],\left[2^{2^{2^{\theta}}}\right], \ldots$ are prime, , as claimed.

## Theorem 22.3 (continued)

Theorem 22.3. There exists a real number $\theta$ such that $\left[2^{\theta}\right],\left[2^{2^{\theta}}\right],\left[2^{2^{2^{\theta}}}\right]$, ... are all prime.

Proof (continued). ... $u_{n}<u_{n+1}<v_{n+1} \leq v_{n}$. So sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing (that is, nondecreasing) sequence which is bounded above by $v_{1}$, so that it converges by Lemma 22.A, say $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=\theta$. Sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence which is bounded below below by $u_{1}$, so that it converges by Lemma 22.B, say $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{n}=\varphi$. Define $\exp ^{(n)} k$ recursively as: $\exp ^{(1)} k=2^{k}$ and $\exp ^{(n)} k=2^{\exp ^{(n+1)}} k$. Since $u_{n}<\theta<v_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\exp ^{(n)} u_{n}<\exp ^{(n)} \theta<\exp ^{(n)} v_{n}$, or $p_{n}<\exp ^{(n)}<p_{n}+1$. Since $\exp ^{(n)} \theta$ lies between two consecutive integers, then $\left[\exp ^{(n)} \theta\right]=p_{n}$. That is, $\left[\exp ^{(n)} \theta\right]$ is prime for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\exp ^{(n)} k$ is defined as an iterated composition of base 2 exponential functions, then we have that each of $\left[2^{\theta}\right],\left[2^{2^{\theta}}\right],\left[2^{2^{2^{\theta}}}\right], \ldots$ are prime, , as claimed.

