## Elementary Number Theory

## Section 4. Congruences—Proofs of Theorems
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## Theorem 4.1

Theorem 4.1. We have $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ if and only if there is integer $k$ such that $a=b+k m$.

Proof. Suppose that $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$. Then by definition, $m \mid(a-b)$. By the definition of divisibility, there is some integer $k$ with $k m=a-b$, or $a=b+k m$ as claimed.

## Theorem 4.1

Theorem 4.1. We have $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ if and only if there is integer $k$ such that $a=b+k m$.

Proof. Suppose that $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$. Then by definition, $m \mid(a-b)$. By the definition of divisibility, there is some integer $k$ with $k m=a-b$, or $a=b+k m$ as claimed.

Conversely, suppose $a=b+k m$ (this is Exercise 4.3 in the book). Then $k m=a-b$ and by the definition of divisibility, $m \mid(a-b)$. By the definition of equivalent modulo $m$, this implies $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$, as claimed.

## Theorem 4.1

Theorem 4.1. We have $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ if and only if there is integer $k$ such that $a=b+k m$.

Proof. Suppose that $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$. Then by definition, $m \mid(a-b)$. By the definition of divisibility, there is some integer $k$ with $k m=a-b$, or $a=b+k m$ as claimed.

Conversely, suppose $a=b+k m$ (this is Exercise 4.3 in the book). Then $k m=a-b$ and by the definition of divisibility, $m \mid(a-b)$. By the definition of equivalent modulo $m$, this implies $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$, as claimed.

## Theorem 4.2

Theorem 4.2. Every integer is congruent modulo $m$ to exactly one of $0,1,2, \ldots, m-1$. This number is called the least residue of the integer modulo $m$.

Proof. Let a be an integer. Then by Theorem 1.2 (The Division Algorithm), we have $a=q m+r$ where $0 \leq r<m$ for unique integers $q$ and $r$. Since $a=q m+r$ then by the definition of equivalent modulo $m$ we have $a \equiv r(\bmod m)$. Since $r$ is uniquely determined by $a$ and $m$, the claim follows.

## Theorem 4.2

Theorem 4.2. Every integer is congruent modulo $m$ to exactly one of $0,1,2, \ldots, m-1$. This number is called the least residue of the integer modulo $m$.

Proof. Let a be an integer. Then by Theorem 1.2 (The Division Algorithm), we have $a=q m+r$ where $0 \leq r<m$ for unique integers $q$ and $r$. Since $a=q m+r$ then by the definition of equivalent modulo $m$ we have $a \equiv r(\bmod m)$. Since $r$ is uniquely determined by $a$ and $m$, the claim follows.

## Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.3. We have $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ if and only if $a$ and $b$ leave the same remainder when divided by $m$.

Solution. Suppose $a$ and $b$ leave the same remainder, say $r$, when divided by $m$. Then $a=q_{1} m+r$ and $b=q_{2} m+r$ for some integers $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$. Then $a-b=\left(q_{1} m+r\right)-\left(q_{2} m+r\right)=m\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)$, and by the definition of divisibility we have $m \mid(a-b)$. So by the definition of equivalent modulo $m$, we have $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$.

## Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.3. We have $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ if and only if $a$ and $b$ leave the same remainder when divided by $m$.

Solution. Suppose $a$ and $b$ leave the same remainder, say $r$, when divided by $m$. Then $a=q_{1} m+r$ and $b=q_{2} m+r$ for some integers $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$. Then $a-b=\left(q_{1} m+r\right)-\left(q_{2} m+r\right)=m\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)$, and by the definition of divisibility we have $m \mid(a-b)$. So by the definition of equivalent modulo $m$, we have $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$.

Conversely, suppose $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$. Then $a \equiv b \equiv r(\bmod m)$, where $r$ is the least residue given by Theorem 4.2. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, by Theorem 1.2 (The Division Algorithm) we have $a=q_{1} m+r$ and $b=q_{2} m+r$ for some integers $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$. Since $0 \leq r<m-1$, then we have that $r$ is the remainder both when $a$ is divided by $m$ and when $b$ is divided by $m$, as claimed.

## Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.3. We have $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ if and only if $a$ and $b$ leave the same remainder when divided by $m$.

Solution. Suppose $a$ and $b$ leave the same remainder, say $r$, when divided by $m$. Then $a=q_{1} m+r$ and $b=q_{2} m+r$ for some integers $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$. Then $a-b=\left(q_{1} m+r\right)-\left(q_{2} m+r\right)=m\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)$, and by the definition of divisibility we have $m \mid(a-b)$. So by the definition of equivalent modulo $m$, we have $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$.

Conversely, suppose $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$. Then $a \equiv b \equiv r(\bmod m)$, where $r$ is the least residue given by Theorem 4.2. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, by Theorem 1.2 (The Division Algorithm) we have $a=q_{1} m+r$ and $b=q_{2} m+r$ for some integers $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$. Since $0 \leq r<m-1$, then we have that $r$ is the remainder both when $a$ is divided by $m$ and when $b$ is divided by $m$, as claimed.

## Lemma 4.1

Lemma 4.1. For integers $a, b, c$, and $d$ we have
(a) $a \equiv a(\bmod m)$.
(b) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ then $b \equiv a(\bmod m)$.
(c) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $b \equiv c(\bmod m)$ then $a \equiv c(\bmod m)$.
(d) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a+c \equiv b+d$ $(\bmod m)$.
(e) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a c \equiv b d(\bmod$ $m)$.

Proof. (a) (This is Exercise 4.6.) Notice that $m \mid 0$, or $m \mid(a-a)$, so by the definition of equivalent modulo $m, a \equiv a(\bmod m)$, as claimed.

## Lemma 4.1

Lemma 4.1. For integers $a, b, c$, and $d$ we have
(a) $a \equiv a(\bmod m)$.
(b) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ then $b \equiv a(\bmod m)$.
(c) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $b \equiv c(\bmod m)$ then $a \equiv c(\bmod m)$.
(d) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a+c \equiv b+d$ $(\bmod m)$.
(e) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a c \equiv b d(\bmod$ $m)$.

Proof. (a) (This is Exercise 4.6.) Notice that $m \mid 0$, or $m \mid(a-a)$, so by the definition of equivalent modulo $m, a \equiv a(\bmod m)$, as claimed.
(b) (This is Exercise 4.7.) $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ then by the definition of equivalent modulo $m$, we have $m \mid(a-b)$. By the definition of divisibility, $a-b=k m$ for some integer $k$. Hence $b-a=(-k) m$ for integer $-k$ and so by the definition of equivalent modulo $m, b \equiv a(\bmod m)$, as claimed.

## Lemma 4.1

Lemma 4.1. For integers $a, b, c$, and $d$ we have
(a) $a \equiv a(\bmod m)$.
(b) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ then $b \equiv a(\bmod m)$.
(c) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $b \equiv c(\bmod m)$ then $a \equiv c(\bmod m)$.
(d) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a+c \equiv b+d$ $(\bmod m)$.
(e) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a c \equiv b d(\bmod$ $m)$.

Proof. (a) (This is Exercise 4.6.) Notice that $m \mid 0$, or $m \mid(a-a)$, so by the definition of equivalent modulo $m, a \equiv a(\bmod m)$, as claimed.
(b) (This is Exercise 4.7.) $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ then by the definition of equivalent modulo $m$, we have $m \mid(a-b)$. By the definition of divisibility, $a-b=k m$ for some integer $k$. Hence $b-a=(-k) m$ for integer $-k$ and so by the definition of equivalent modulo $m, b \equiv a(\bmod m)$, as claimed.

## Lemma 4.1 (continued 1)

Lemma 4.1. For integers $a, b, c$, and $d$ we have
(c) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $b \equiv c(\bmod m)$ then $a \equiv c(\bmod m)$.
(d) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a+c \equiv b+d$ $(\bmod m)$.
(e) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a c \equiv b d(\bmod$ $m)$.

Proof. (c) (This is Exercise 4.8.) Since $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ then by the definition of equivalent modulo $m$, we have $m \mid(a-b)$. Since $b \equiv c(\bmod$ $m$ ) then by the definition of equivalent modulo $m$, we have $m \mid(b-c)$. By the definition of divisibility, $a-b=k_{1} m$ and $b-c=k_{2} m$ for some integers $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Hence $a-c=(a-b)+(b-c)=k_{1} m+k_{2} m$ $=\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) m$ and by the definition of divisibility $m \mid(a-c)$. Then by the definition of equivalent modulo $m, a \equiv c(\bmod m)$, as claimed.

## Lemma 4.1 (continued 2)

Lemma 4.1. For integers $a, b, c$, and $d$ we have
(d) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a+c \equiv b+d$ $(\bmod m)$.
(e) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a c \equiv b d(\bmod$ $m)$.

Proof. (d) (This is Exercise 4.9.) As in part (c), $a-b=k_{1} m$ and $c-d=k_{2} m$ for some integers $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Hence,
$(a+c)-(b+d)=(a-b)+(c-d)=k_{1},+k_{2} m=\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) m$. Then by the definition of equivalent modulo $m, a+c \equiv b+d(\bmod m)$, as claimed.
(e) Since $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ then $b-a=k m$ for some integer $k$ by Theorem 4.1. Similarly, $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$ implies $d-c=j m$ for some integer $j$. So $a c-b d=a c-(a+k m)(c+j m)=a c-a c-a j m-c k m-k j m^{2}=$ $m(-a j-c k-k j m)$, and by the definition of equivalent modulo $m$, $a c \equiv b d(\bmod m)$, as claimed.

## Lemma 4.1 (continued 2)

Lemma 4.1. For integers $a, b, c$, and $d$ we have
(d) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a+c \equiv b+d$ $(\bmod m)$.
(e) If $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$, then $a c \equiv b d(\bmod$ $m)$.

Proof. (d) (This is Exercise 4.9.) As in part (c), $a-b=k_{1} m$ and $c-d=k_{2} m$ for some integers $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Hence,
$(a+c)-(b+d)=(a-b)+(c-d)=k_{1},+k_{2} m=\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) m$. Then by the definition of equivalent modulo $m, a+c \equiv b+d(\bmod m)$, as claimed.
(e) Since $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ then $b-a=k m$ for some integer $k$ by Theorem 4.1. Similarly, $c \equiv d(\bmod m)$ implies $d-c=j m$ for some integer $j$. So $a c-b d=a c-(a+k m)(c+j m)=a c-a c-a j m-c k m-k j m^{2}=$ $m(-a j-c k-k j m)$, and by the definition of equivalent modulo $m$, $a c \equiv b d(\bmod m)$, as claimed.

## Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.4. If $a c \equiv b c(\bmod m)$ and $(c, m)=1$, then $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$. That is, we can cancel a factor on both sides of a congruence if the factor is relatively prime to the modulus.

Proof. Since $a c \equiv b c(\bmod m)$ then by the definition of congruence modulo $m, m \mid(a c-b c)$ or $m \mid c(a-b)$. Since $(m, c)=1$ then by Theorem 1.5 we have $m \mid(a-b)$. So by the definition of congruence modulo $m, a \equiv b(\bmod m)$, as claimed.

## Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.4. If $a c \equiv b c(\bmod m)$ and $(c, m)=1$, then $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$. That is, we can cancel a factor on both sides of a congruence if the factor is relatively prime to the modulus.

Proof. Since $a c \equiv b c(\bmod m)$ then by the definition of congruence modulo $m, m \mid(a c-b c)$ or $m \mid c(a-b)$. Since $(m, c)=1$ then by Theorem 1.5 we have $m \mid(a-b)$. So by the definition of congruence modulo $m, a \equiv b(\bmod m)$, as claimed.

## Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 4.5. If $a c \equiv b c(\bmod m)$ and $(c, m)=d$, then $a \equiv b(\bmod$ $m / d)$.

Proof. Since $a c \equiv b c(\bmod m)$ then by the definition of congruence modulo $m, m \mid(a c-b c)$ or $m \mid c(a-b)$. By the definition of divisibility, $c(a-b)=k m$ for some integer $k$. Since $d=(c, m)$ then $c / d$ and $m / d$ are integers. So $c(a-b) / d=k m / d$ of $(c / d)(a-b)=k(m / d)$; that is, $(m / d) \mid(c / d)(a-b)$. By Theorem 1.1 we have $(m / d, c / d)=1$, and so by Corollary 1.1 we have $(m / d) \mid(a-b)$. By the definition of congruence modulo $m / d$ we have $a \equiv b(\bmod m / d)$, as claimed.

## Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 4.5. If $a c \equiv b c(\bmod m)$ and $(c, m)=d$, then $a \equiv b(\bmod$ $m / d$ ).

Proof. Since $a c \equiv b c(\bmod m)$ then by the definition of congruence modulo $m, m \mid(a c-b c)$ or $m \mid c(a-b)$. By the definition of divisibility, $c(a-b)=k m$ for some integer $k$. Since $d=(c, m)$ then $c / d$ and $m / d$ are integers. So $c(a-b) / d=k m / d$ of $(c / d)(a-b)=k(m / d)$; that is, $(m / d) \mid(c / d)(a-b)$. By Theorem 1.1 we have $(m / d, c / d)=1$, and so by Corollary 1.1 we have $(m / d) \mid(a-b)$. By the definition of congruence modulo $m / d$ we have $a \equiv b(\bmod m / d)$, as claimed.

## Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 4.6. Every integer is congruent modulo 9 to the sum of its digits.

Proof. Let $n$ be an integer with decimal representation $\pm d_{k} d_{k-1} d_{k-2} \cdots d_{1} d_{0}$. That is,

$$
n= \pm\left(d_{k} 10^{k}+d_{k-2} 10^{k-1}+d_{k-2} 10^{k-2}+\cdots d_{1} 10^{1}+d_{0} 10^{0}\right)
$$

Now $10 \equiv 1(\bmod 9)$ and, more generally, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $10^{i} \equiv 1$ (mod 9). So

$$
n \equiv \pm\left(d_{k}+d_{k-1}+d_{k-2}+\cdots+d_{1}+d_{0}\right)(\bmod 9)
$$

as claimed.

## Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 4.6. Every integer is congruent modulo 9 to the sum of its digits.

Proof. Let $n$ be an integer with decimal representation $\pm d_{k} d_{k-1} d_{k-2} \cdots d_{1} d_{0}$. That is,

$$
n= \pm\left(d_{k} 10^{k}+d_{k-2} 10^{k-1}+d_{k-2} 10^{k-2}+\cdots d_{1} 10^{1}+d_{0} 10^{0}\right)
$$

Now $10 \equiv 1(\bmod 9)$ and, more generally, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $10^{i} \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 9)$. So

$$
n \equiv \pm\left(d_{k}+d_{k-1}+d_{k-2}+\cdots+d_{1}+d_{0}\right)(\bmod 9)
$$

as claimed.

