## Introduction to Modern Algebra

Part IV. Rings and Fields<br>IV.20. Fermat's and Euler's Theorem
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## Theorem 20.1. Little Theorem of Fermat

Theorem 20.1. If $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p$ is a prime not dividing $a$, then $p$ divides $a^{p-1}-1$. That is, $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ for $a \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.

Proof. By Corollary, $1,2,3, \ldots, p-1$ forms a group of order $p-1$ under multiplication modulo $p$. Since the order of any element in a group divides the order of the group (Theorem 10.12), for $b \neq 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, we have $b^{p-1}=1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, or $b^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.

## Theorem 20.1. Little Theorem of Fermat

Theorem 20.1. If $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p$ is a prime not dividing $a$, then $p$ divides $a^{p-1}-1$. That is, $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ for $a \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.

Proof. By Corollary, $1,2,3, \ldots, p-1$ forms a group of order $p-1$ under multiplication modulo $p$. Since the order of any element in a group divides the order of the group (Theorem 10.12), for $b \neq 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, we have $b^{p-1}=1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, or $b^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Now $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ that both as additive and multiplicative groups (recall that the elements of $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ are the cosets of the form $a+p \mathbb{Z})$. So for $a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in 0+p \mathbb{Z}$, we have $a^{p-1} \in 1+p \mathbb{Z}$. That is, $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.

## Theorem 20.1. Little Theorem of Fermat

Theorem 20.1. If $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p$ is a prime not dividing $a$, then $p$ divides $a^{p-1}-1$. That is, $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ for $a \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.

Proof. By Corollary, $1,2,3, \ldots, p-1$ forms a group of order $p-1$ under multiplication modulo $p$. Since the order of any element in a group divides the order of the group (Theorem 10.12), for $b \neq 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, we have $b^{p-1}=1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, or $b^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Now $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ that both as additive and multiplicative groups (recall that the elements of $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ are the cosets of the form $a+p \mathbb{Z})$. So for $a \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in 0+p \mathbb{Z}$, we have $a^{p-1} \in 1+p \mathbb{Z}$. That is, $a^{p-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.

## Theorem 20.6.

Theorem. 20.6. The set $G_{n}$ of nonzero elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ that are not 0 divisions forms a group under multiplication modulo $n$. Proof. First, we show $G_{n}$ is closed under multiplication. Let $a, b \in G_{n}$. Suppose $a b \notin G_{n}$. Then there is some $c \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ such that $(a b) c=0$ since we have assumed $a b$ is not a division of 0 . Now $(a b) c=0$ implies that $a(b c)=0$. Since $b \in G_{n}$ and $c \neq 0$, then $b c \neq 0$. But with $b c \neq 0$ and $a(b c)=0$, we must have $a$ a divisor (i.e., $a \in G_{n}$ ) and $G_{n}$ is closed under multiplication.
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Theorem. 20.6. The set $G_{n}$ of nonzero elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ that are not 0 divisions forms a group under multiplication modulo $n$.
Proof. First, we show $G_{n}$ is closed under multiplication. Let $a, b \in G_{n}$. Suppose $a b \notin G_{n}$. Then there is some $c \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ such that $(a b) c=0$ since we have assumed $a b$ is not a division of 0 . Now $(a b) c=0$ implies that $a(b c)=0$. Since $b \in G_{n}$ and $c \neq 0$, then $b c \neq 0$. But with $b c \neq 0$ and $a(b c)=0$, we must have $a$ a divisor (i.e., $a \in G_{n}$ ) and $G_{n}$ is closed under multiplication.
Now to show that $G_{n}$ is a group. Associativity of multiplication modulo $n$ is inherited from $\mathbb{Z}_{n}\left(G_{1}\right)$. Since 1 is not a 0 division, then $1 \in G_{n}\left(G_{2}\right)$. If $a \in G_{n}$, then let $1, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}$ be the elements of $G_{n}$. As in the proof by Theorem 19.11, the elements of $a 1, a a_{1}, a a_{2}, \ldots, a a_{r}$ are all different, for if $a a_{i}=a a_{j}$ then $a\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)=0$ and since $a \in G_{n}$, then $a_{i}-a_{j}=0$ or $a_{i}=a_{j}$.
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## Theorem 20.8. Euler's Theorem

Theorem. 20.8. If $a$ is an integer relatively prime to $n$, then $a^{\varphi(n)}-1$ is divisible by $n$. That is, $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$.

Proof. For integer a relatively prime to $n$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0<a+k n<n$. Notice that $b=a+k n$ is relatively prime to $n \mathbb{Z}$ (if $n$ and $b$ have a common factor, then the factor would have to divide $a$ but then $a$ and $n$ would not be relatively prime).
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Proof. For integer a relatively prime to $n$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0<a+k n<n$. Notice that $b=a+k n$ is relatively prime to $n \mathbb{Z}$ (if $n$ and $b$ have a common factor, then the factor would have to divide $a$ but then $a$ and $n$ would not be relatively prime). In other words, the coset $a+n \mathbb{Z}$ of $n \mathbb{Z}$ contains an integer $b<n$ and relatively prime to $n$. Since $a$ and $b$ from the same coset, then $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ and so $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv b^{\varphi(n)}(\bmod )$.
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Proof. For integer a relatively prime to $n$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0<a+k n<n$. Notice that $b=a+k n$ is relatively prime to $n \mathbb{Z}$ (if $n$ and $b$ have a common factor, then the factor would have to divide $a$ but then $a$ and $n$ would not be relatively prime). In other words, the coset $a+n \mathbb{Z}$ of $n \mathbb{Z}$ contains an integer $b<n$ and relatively prime to $n$. Since $a$ and $b$ from the same coset, then $a \equiv b(\bmod n)$ and so $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv b^{\varphi(n)}(\bmod )$. By Theorem 19.3, $G_{n}$ consists of the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ which are relatively prime to $n$ and so the order of $G_{n}$ is $\varphi(n)$. Also, $b \in G_{n}$. Now $b$ generates a subgroup $\langle b\rangle$ of $G_{n}$ of some order $m$ which divides $\varphi(n)$ (the order of $G_{n}$ ) by Lagrange's Theorem. Now $b^{m} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$ (see the proof of Case II of Theorem 6.10) and so $b^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$. Therefore $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$.
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## Theorem 20.10.

Theorem.20.10. Let $m$ be a positive integer and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ be relatively prime to $m$. For each $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$, the equation $a x=b$ has a unique solution in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$.
proof (continued). By Theorem 20.6, a is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ (since $G_{n}$ is a multiplicative group and so $a$ has a multiplicative inverse, $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ ). So $a x=b$ implies $a^{-1} a x=a^{-1} b$ or $x=a^{-1} b$ and this solutions is unique by the implication (as a result of the first that multiplication is the binary operation in $G_{n}$ ).

## Theorem 20.12.

Theorem. 20.12. Let $m$ be a natural number and let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(a, m)$. The equation $a x=b$ has a solution in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ if and only if $d$ divides $b$. When $d$ divides $b$, the equation has exactly $d$ solutions in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Proof. First, suppose $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$ in a solution of $a x=b$. Then $a s-b=q m=0(\bmod m)$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $d$ divides $a$ and $m$, it must also divide $b$. So if $a x=b$ has a solution then $d$ divides $b$. Now suppose $d$ divides $b$. Let $a=a_{1} d, b=b_{1} d$, and $m=m_{1} d$. Then the equation as $-b=q m$ can be written as $d\left(a_{1} s-b_{1}\right)=d q m_{1}$ or $a_{1} s-b_{1}=q m_{1}$. So $a s-b$ is a multiple of $m$ if and only if $a_{1} s-b_{1}$ is a multiple of $m_{1}$. So the solutions $s$ of $a x \equiv b(\bmod m)$ are precisely the elements that satisfy $a_{1} x \equiv b_{1}\left(\bmod m_{1}\right)$. Since $a_{1}$ and $m_{1}$ are relatively prime (by the choice of $d$ ), then there is one solution $s$ to $a_{1} x \equiv b_{1}\left(\bmod m_{1}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$, by Theorem 20.10.
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Now suppose $d$ divides $b$. Let $a=a_{1} d, b=b_{1} d$, and $m=m_{1} d$. Then the equation as $-b=q m$ can be written as $d\left(a_{1} s-b_{1}\right)=d q m_{1}$ or $a_{1} s-b_{1}=q m_{1}$. So $a s-b$ is a multiple of $m$ if and only if $a_{1} s-b_{1}$ is a multiple of $m_{1}$. So the solutions $s$ of $a x \equiv b(\bmod m)$ are precisely the elements that satisfy $a_{1} x \equiv b_{1}\left(\bmod m_{1}\right)$. Since $a_{1}$ and $m_{1}$ are relatively prime (by the choice of $d$ ), then there is one solution $s$ to $a_{1} x \equiv b_{1}\left(\bmod m_{1}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$, by Theorem 20.10. The elements of $Z_{m}$ which reduce to $s$ modulo $m_{1}$ ( and hence are solutions to $a x \equiv b(\bmod m)$ are $s, s+m_{1}, s+2 m_{1}, \ldots, s+(d-1) m_{1}$. These are the solutions to $a x \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and therefore there are $d$ solutions.

