Section II.10. Cosets and the Theorem of Lagrange

Note. In this section, we prove that the order of a subgroup of a given finite group divides the order of the group. This is called Lagrange's Theorem. The proof involves partitioning the group into sets called *cosets*. Later, we will form a group using the cosets, called a *factor group* (see Section 14).

Theorem 10.1. Let *H* be a subgroup of group *G*. Let the relation \sim_L be defined on *G* by

$$a \sim_L b$$
 iff $a^{-1}b \in H$.

Let the relation \sim_R be defined by

$$a \sim_R b$$
 iff $ab^{-1} \in H$.

Then \sim_L and \sim_R are both equivalence relations on G.

Definition 10.2. Let H be a subgroup of a group G. The subset $aH = \{ah \mid h \in H\}$ of G is the *left coset* of H containing a. The subset $Ha = \{ha \mid h \in H\}$ is the *right coset* of H containing a.

Note. Suppose $x, y \in aH$. Then $x = ah_1$ and $y = ah_2$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in H$. So $h_1 = a^{-1}x$ and $h_2 = a^{-1}y$. So $a \sim_L x$ and $a \sim_L y$. Therefore, $x \sim_L y$. Now $e \in H$ since H is a group, so $a = ae \in aH$. Equivalently, $a^{-1}a = e \in H$, so $a \sim_L a$. So the coset aH is actually the \sim_L equivalence class of elements of G which contains a. Similarly, Ha is the \sim_R equivalence class of elements of G which contains a.

Exercise 10.4. Find the cosets of the subgroup $\langle 4 \rangle$ of \mathbb{Z}_{12} .

Solution. First, $\langle 4 \rangle = \{0, 4, 8\}$ and \mathbb{Z}_{12} is an additive group. So we get the cosets:

$$0 + \langle 4 \rangle = \{0, 4, 8\} = \langle 4 \rangle + 0$$

$$1 + \langle 4 \rangle = \{1, 5, 9\} = \langle 4 \rangle + 1$$

$$2 + \langle 4 \rangle = \{2, 6, 10\} = \langle 4 \rangle + 2$$

$$3 + \langle 4 \rangle = \{3, 7, 11\} = \langle 4 \rangle + 3.$$

Now we get repetitions:

$$4 + \langle 4 \rangle = \langle 4 \rangle + 4 = 8 + \langle 4 \rangle = \langle 4 \rangle + 8 = \langle 4 \rangle$$
$$5 + \langle 4 \rangle = \langle 4 \rangle + 5 = 9 + \langle 4 \rangle = \langle 4 \rangle + 9 = \langle 4 \rangle + 1$$
$$6 + \langle 4 \rangle = \langle 4 \rangle + 6 = 10 + \langle 4 \rangle = \langle 4 \rangle + 10 = \langle 4 \rangle + 2$$
$$7 + \langle 4 \rangle = \langle 4 \rangle + 7 = 11 + \langle 4 \rangle = \langle 4 \rangle + 11 = \langle 4 \rangle + 3.$$

So the distinct cosets are $\{0, 4, 8\}$, $\{1, 5, 9\}$, $\{2, 6, 10\}$, and $\{3, 7, 11\}$. Notice that the cosets do in fact partition \mathbb{Z}_{12} . Also, the respective left and right cosets are equal because \mathbb{Z}_{12} is abelian. The partition can be illustrated in the Cayley table as follows:

	0	4	8	1	5	9	2	6	10	3	7	11
0	0	4	8	1	5	9	2	6	10	3	7	11
4	4	8	0	5	9	1	6	10	2	7	11	3
8	8	0	4	9	1	5	10	2	6	11	3	7
1	1	5	9	2	6	10	3	7	11	4	8	0
5	5	9	1	6	10	2	7	11	3	8	0	4
9	9	1	5	10	2	6	11	3	7	0	4	8
2	2	6	10	3	7	11	4	8	0	5	9	1
6	6	10	2	7	11	3	8	0	4	9	1	5
10	10	2	6	11	3	7	0	4	8	1	5	9
3	3	7	11	4	8	0	5	9	1	6	10	2
7	7	11	3	8	0	4	9	1	5	10	2	6
11	11	3	7	0	4	8	1	5	9	2	6	10

 Image: Constraint of the second se

Notice that the colors in this table hint at a group themselves:

L

In fact, this is the group structure of \mathbb{Z}_4 .

Example 10.7. We now find left and right cosets for a nonabelian group. Consider the group S_3 and subgroup $H = \{\rho_0, \mu_1\}$. Find the left and right cosets of H and give a color coded Cayley table as above.

Solution. The Cayley table for S_3 is

	ρ_0	ρ_1	ρ_2	μ_1	μ_2	μ_3
$ ho_0$	ρ_{0} ρ_{1} ρ_{2} μ_{1} μ_{2} μ_{3}	ρ_1	ρ_2	μ_1	μ_2	μ_3
ρ_1	ρ_1	ρ_2	$ ho_0$	μ_3	μ_1	μ_2
ρ_2	ρ_2	$ ho_0$	ρ_1	μ_2	μ_3	μ_1
μ_1	μ_1	μ_2	μ_3	$ ho_0$	ρ_1	ρ_2
μ_2	μ_2	μ_3	μ_1	ρ_2	$ ho_0$	ρ_1
μ_3	μ_3	μ_1	μ_2	ρ_1	ρ_2	$ ho_0$

The left cosets are:

$$\rho_0 H = \mu_1 H = \{\rho_0, \mu_1\}$$
$$\rho_1 H = \mu_3 H = \{\rho_1, \mu_3\}$$
$$\rho_2 H = \mu_2 H = \{\rho_2, \mu_2\}.$$

The right cosets are:

$$H \rho_0 = H \mu_1 = \{\rho_0, \mu_1\}$$
$$H \rho_1 = H \mu_2 = \{\rho_1, \mu_2\}$$
$$H \rho_2 = H \mu_3 = \{\rho_2, \mu_3\}.$$

Notice that the left cosets and right cosets yield different partitions of S_3 . We get the Cayley table with the left cosets as:

	$ ho_0$	μ_1	$ ho_1$	μ_3	ρ_2	μ_2
ρ_0	$ ho_0$	μ_1	$ ho_1$	μ_3	ρ_2	μ_2
				$ ho_2$		
$ ho_1$	$ ho_1$	μ_3	$ ho_2$	μ ₂	$ ho_0$	μ_1
				$ ho_0$		
ρ ₂	$ ho_2$	μ_2	$ ho_0$	μ_1	$ ho_1$	μ_3
μ2	μ_2	ρ_2	μ_3	$ ho_1$	μ_1	$ ho_0$

For the right cosets, the Cayley table is:

	$ ho_0$	μ_1	$ ho_1$	μ_2	ρ_2	μ_3
$ ho_0$	$ ho_0$	μ_1	$ ho_1$	μ_2	$ ho_2$	μ_3
μ_1	μ_1	$ ho_0$	μ_2	$ ho_1$	μ_3	$ ho_2$
$ ho_1$	$ ho_1$	μ_3	$ ho_2$	μ_1	$ ho_0$	μ_2
						$ ho_1$
ρ_2						
μ3	μ_3	$ ho_1$	μ_1	ρ_2	μ_2	$ ho_0$

Notice that in neither of the above two Cayley tables do we have the same type of group structure as we did in the case of \mathbb{Z}_{12} . Details will follow in Section 14 (where we will see that a group can be made from the cosets when the left coset partition and the right coset partition are the same).

Lemma. Consider group G with subgroup H. Then every left coset of H and every right coset of H have the same cardinality, namely |H|. That is, for any coset g_1H or Hg_2 , there are one-to-one and onto mappings ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 such that $\phi_1: H \to g_1H$ and $\phi_2: H \to Hg_2$.

Theorem 10.10. Theorem of Lagrange ("Lagrange's Theorem").

Let H be a subgroup of a finite group G. Then the order of H is a divisor of the order of G.

Note. The text comments "Never underestimate results that count something!" (their emphasis). We'll use the Theorem of Lagrange to look for subgroups of given groups—it tells us the possible orders of subgroups.

Note. Lagrange's Theorem guarantees that the order of a subgroup divides the order of a a group. However, the converse does not hold. That is, if |G| = n and $m \mid n$, then there is not necessarily a subgroup of G of order m. For example, the alternating group A_4 (of order 4!/2 = 12) does not have a subgroup of order 6—this will be shown in Example 15.6 on page 146.

Corollary 10.11. Every group of prime order is cyclic.

Note. In the proof of Corollary 10.11, a could be any element of G other than the identity. So a group of prime order is cyclic and any non-identity element of the group is a generator.

Note. By Theorem 6.10, we know that any cyclic group of order n is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_n . So we can use Corollary 10.11 to say: "If p is a prime, then there is, up to isomorphism, only one group of order p, namely \mathbb{Z}_p ."

Theorem 10.12. The order of an element of a finite group divides the order of the group.

Definition 10.13. Let H be a subgroup of group G. The number of left cosets of H in G (technically, the cardinality of the set of left cosets) is the *index* of H in G, denoted (G : H).

Note. For finite group G, (G : H) = |G|/|H|.

Note. Since the cardinality of a left coset of H is the same as the cardinality of a right coset of H, then (G : H) can also be defined as the "number" of right cosets of H in G. Exercise 10.35 has you give the details for this claim. The proof of the next theorem is to be given in Exercise 10.38.

Theorem 10.14. Suppose H and K are subgroups of group G where $K \le H \le G$. Suppose (H:K) and (G:H) are finite. Then (G:K) is finite and

$$(G:K) = (G:H)(H:K).$$

Revised: 7/6/2023