# **Real Analysis**

# Chapter 17. General Measure Spaces: Their Properties and Construction

17.4. The Construction of Outer Measure-Proofs



**Real Analysis** 

# Table of contents





#### Theorem 17.9

**Theorem 17.9.** Let S be a collection of subsets of a set X and  $\mu : S \to [0, \infty]$  a set function. Define  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$  and for  $E \subset X$ ,  $E \neq \emptyset$ , define  $\mu^*(E) = \inf \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) \right)$ , where the infimum is taken over all countable collections  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of sets in S that cover E. Then the set function  $\mu^* : 2^X \to [0, \infty]$  is an outer measure (called the *outer measure induced* by  $\mu$ ).

**Proof.** We need only show that  $\mu^*$  is countably monotone. Let  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a collection of subsets of X that covers a set E. Without loss of generality,  $\mu^*(E_k) < \infty$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , otherwise countable monotonicity follows trivially.

#### Theorem 17.9

**Theorem 17.9.** Let S be a collection of subsets of a set X and  $\mu : S \to [0, \infty]$  a set function. Define  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$  and for  $E \subset X$ ,  $E \neq \emptyset$ , define  $\mu^*(E) = \inf \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) \right)$ , where the infimum is taken over all countable collections  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of sets in S that cover E. Then the set function  $\mu^* : 2^X \to [0, \infty]$  is an outer measure (called the *outer measure induced* by  $\mu$ ).

**Proof.** We need only show that  $\mu^*$  is countably monotone. Let  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a collection of subsets of X that covers a set E. Without loss of generality,  $\mu^*(E_k) < \infty$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , otherwise countable monotonicity follows trivially.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a countable collection  $\{E_{i,k}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of sets in S that covers  $E_k$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{i,k}) < \mu^*(E_k) + \varepsilon/2^k$ , by the definition of infimum. Then  $\{E_{i,k}\}_{i,k=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of sets in S which cover  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$  and, therefore, also covers E.

#### Theorem 17.9

**Theorem 17.9.** Let S be a collection of subsets of a set X and  $\mu : S \to [0, \infty]$  a set function. Define  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$  and for  $E \subset X$ ,  $E \neq \emptyset$ , define  $\mu^*(E) = \inf \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) \right)$ , where the infimum is taken over all countable collections  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of sets in S that cover E. Then the set function  $\mu^* : 2^X \to [0, \infty]$  is an outer measure (called the *outer measure induced* by  $\mu$ ).

**Proof.** We need only show that  $\mu^*$  is countably monotone. Let  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a collection of subsets of X that covers a set E. Without loss of generality,  $\mu^*(E_k) < \infty$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , otherwise countable monotonicity follows trivially.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a countable collection  $\{E_{i,k}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of sets in S that covers  $E_k$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{i,k}) < \mu^*(E_k) + \varepsilon/2^k$ , by the definition of infimum. Then  $\{E_{i,k}\}_{i,k=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of sets in S which cover  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$  and, therefore, also covers E.

#### Proof (continued). So

ł

$$\begin{split} \iota^*(E) &\leq \sum_{i,k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{i,k}) \text{ since } \{E_{i,k}\} \text{ is some specific cover} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_{i,k})\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\mu^*(E_k) + \varepsilon/2^k) \text{ by the above inequality} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_k) + \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we have  $\mu^*(E) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_k)$  and  $\mu^*$  is countable monotone.

**Proposition 17.10.** Let  $\mu : S \to [0, \infty]$  be a set function defined on a collection S of subsets of a set X and let  $\overline{\mu} : \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty]$  be the Carathéodory measure induced by  $\mu$ . Let  $E \subset X$  satisfy  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Then there is  $A \subset X$  for which  $A \in S_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $E \subset A$ , and  $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(A)$ . Furthermore, if  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $S \subset \mathcal{M}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\overline{\mu}(A \setminus E) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ , there is a cover of *E* by a collection  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of sets in *S* for which  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) < \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon$  by the definition of infimum.

**Real Analysis** 

**Proposition 17.10.** Let  $\mu : S \to [0, \infty]$  be a set function defined on a collection S of subsets of a set X and let  $\overline{\mu} : \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty]$  be the Carathéodory measure induced by  $\mu$ . Let  $E \subset X$  satisfy  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Then there is  $A \subset X$  for which  $A \in S_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $E \subset A$ , and  $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(A)$ . Furthermore, if  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $S \subset \mathcal{M}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\overline{\mu}(A \setminus E) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ , there is a cover of *E* by a collection  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of sets in *S* for which  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) < \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon$  by the definition of infimum. Define  $A_{\varepsilon} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ . Then  $A_{\varepsilon} \in S_{\sigma}$  and  $E \subset A_{\varepsilon}$ . Since  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a specific cover of  $A_{\varepsilon}$  by elements of *S*, then

$$\mu^*(A_{\varepsilon}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) \leq \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon.$$

**Proposition 17.10.** Let  $\mu : S \to [0, \infty]$  be a set function defined on a collection S of subsets of a set X and let  $\overline{\mu} : \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty]$  be the Carathéodory measure induced by  $\mu$ . Let  $E \subset X$  satisfy  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Then there is  $A \subset X$  for which  $A \in S_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $E \subset A$ , and  $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(A)$ . Furthermore, if  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $S \subset \mathcal{M}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\overline{\mu}(A \setminus E) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ , there is a cover of *E* by a collection  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of sets in *S* for which  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) < \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon$  by the definition of infimum. Define  $A_{\varepsilon} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ . Then  $A_{\varepsilon} \in S_{\sigma}$  and  $E \subset A_{\varepsilon}$ . Since  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a specific cover of  $A_{\varepsilon}$  by elements of *S*, then

$$\mu^*(A_{\varepsilon}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) \leq \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon.$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , take  $\varepsilon = 1/k$  and define  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{1/k}$ . Then A belongs to  $S_{\sigma\delta}$  and  $E \subset A$  (since  $E \subset A_{1/k}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

**Proposition 17.10.** Let  $\mu : S \to [0, \infty]$  be a set function defined on a collection S of subsets of a set X and let  $\overline{\mu} : \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty]$  be the Carathéodory measure induced by  $\mu$ . Let  $E \subset X$  satisfy  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Then there is  $A \subset X$  for which  $A \in S_{\sigma\delta}$ ,  $E \subset A$ , and  $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(A)$ . Furthermore, if  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $S \subset \mathcal{M}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\overline{\mu}(A \setminus E) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ , there is a cover of *E* by a collection  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of sets in *S* for which  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) < \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon$  by the definition of infimum. Define  $A_{\varepsilon} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ . Then  $A_{\varepsilon} \in S_{\sigma}$  and  $E \subset A_{\varepsilon}$ . Since  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a specific cover of  $A_{\varepsilon}$  by elements of *S*, then

$$\mu^*(A_{\varepsilon}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) \leq \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon.$$

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , take  $\varepsilon = 1/k$  and define  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{1/k}$ . Then A belongs to  $S_{\sigma\delta}$  and  $E \subset A$  (since  $E \subset A_{1/k}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

# Proposition 17.10 (continued)

#### Proof (continued). Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mu^*(E) & \leq & \mu^*(A) \text{ by monotonicity of } \mu^* \\ & \leq & \mu^*(A_{1/k}) \text{ by monotonicity of } \mu^* \\ & \leq & \mu^*(E) + 1/k \text{ by above.} \end{array}$$

# So $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(A)$ .

Now assume that E and each set in S is  $\mu^*$  measurable. Since the measurable sets form a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then set A defined above is measurable. The excision property holds for  $\overline{\mu}$  since it is a measure (and we have finite additivity), so

$$\overline{\mu}(A \setminus E) = \overline{\mu}(A) - \overline{\mu}(E)$$
  
=  $\mu^*(A) - \mu^*(E)$  since  $\mu^*$  is an extension of  $\overline{\mu}$   
= 0 because  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(E)$  as shown above.

# Proposition 17.10 (continued)

#### Proof (continued). Then

$$\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(A)$$
 by monotonicity of  $\mu^*$   
 $\leq \mu^*(A_{1/k})$  by monotonicity of  $\mu^*$   
 $\leq \mu^*(E) + 1/k$  by above.

So  $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(A)$ .

Now assume that E and each set in S is  $\mu^*$  measurable. Since the measurable sets form a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then set A defined above is measurable. The excision property holds for  $\overline{\mu}$  since it is a measure (and we have finite additivity), so

$$\overline{\mu}(A \setminus E) = \overline{\mu}(A) - \overline{\mu}(E)$$
  
=  $\mu^*(A) - \mu^*(E)$  since  $\mu^*$  is an extension of  $\overline{\mu}$   
= 0 because  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(E)$  as shown above.