

Real Analysis

Chapter 18. Integration Over General Measure Spaces

18.2. Integration of Nonnegative Measurable Functions—Proofs of Theorems



Proposition 18.8

Proposition 18.8. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space and let φ and ψ be nonnegative simple functions on X . If α and β are positive real numbers, then

$$\int_X (\alpha\psi + \beta\varphi) d\mu = \alpha \int_X \psi d\mu + \beta \int_X \varphi d\mu. \quad (2)$$

If A and B are disjoint measurable subsets of X , then

$$\int_{A \cup B} \psi d\mu = \int_A \psi d\mu + \int_B \psi d\mu. \quad (3)$$

In particular, if $X_0 \subset X$ is measurable and $\mu(X \setminus X_0) = 0$, then

$$\int_X \psi d\mu = \int_{X_0} \psi d\mu. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, if $\psi \leq \varphi$ a.e. on X , then

$$\int_X \psi d\mu \leq \int_X \varphi d\mu. \quad (5)$$

Proposition 18.8 (continued 1)

Proof. If either ψ or φ is positive on a set of infinite measure, then the linear combination $\alpha\psi + \beta\varphi$ is also positive on a set of infinite measure (and simple), and so both sides of (2) are ∞ and (2) holds. Next, suppose both ψ and φ vanish outside a set of finite measure, and hence so does $\alpha\psi + \beta\varphi$. The proof of linearity, (2), now follows exactly as it did in Chapter 4 (in the proofs of Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, see page 72).

For disjoint A and B , we have $\psi\chi_{A \cup B} = \psi\chi_A + \psi\chi_B$ on X , and additivity over domains, (3), then follows from (2).

Next, (4) follows from (3) since the integral of a since the integral of a simple function over a set of measure zero is zero by definition. □

Proposition 18.8

Proposition 18.8. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space and let φ and ψ be nonnegative simple functions on X . If α and β are positive real numbers, then

$$\int_X (\alpha\psi + \beta\varphi) d\mu = \alpha \int_X \psi d\mu + \beta \int_X \varphi d\mu. \quad (2)$$

If A and B are disjoint measurable subsets of X , then

$$\int_{A \cup B} \psi d\mu = \int_A \psi d\mu + \int_B \psi d\mu. \quad (3)$$

In particular, if $X_0 \subset X$ is measurable and $\mu(X \setminus X_0) = 0$, then

$$\int_X \psi d\mu = \int_{X_0} \psi d\mu. \quad (4)$$

Furthermore, if $\psi \leq \varphi$ a.e. on X , then

$$\int_X \psi d\mu \leq \int_X \varphi d\mu. \quad (5)$$

Proposition 18.8 (continued 2)

Proof. For monotonicity of the integral, (5), we may assume from (4) that $\psi \leq \varphi$ on all of X . Since φ and ψ are simple and so only take on a finite number of values, then we can write $X = \cup_{k=1}^n X_k$ where the X_k are disjoint and measurable and $\psi = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{X_k}$, an $d\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \chi_{X_k}$ where $0 \leq a_k \leq b_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then by linearity, (2), and the definition of integral,

$$\int_X \psi d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mu(X_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \mu(X_k) = \int_X \varphi d\mu,$$

and so (5) follows. □

Proposition 18.8 (continued 1)

Proof. If either ψ or φ is positive on a set of infinite measure, then the linear combination $\alpha\psi + \beta\varphi$ is also positive on a set of infinite measure (and simple), and so both sides of (2) are ∞ and (2) holds. Next, suppose both ψ and φ vanish outside a set of finite measure, and hence so does $\alpha\psi + \beta\varphi$. The proof of linearity, (2), now follows exactly as it did in Chapter 4 (in the proofs of Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, see page 72).

For disjoint A and B , we have $\psi\chi_{A \cup B} = \psi\chi_A + \psi\chi_B$ on X , and additivity over domains, (3), then follows from (2).

Next, (4) follows from (3) since the integral of a since the integral of a simple function over a set of measure zero is zero by definition. □

Proposition 18.8 (continued 1)

Proof. If either ψ or φ is positive on a set of infinite measure, then the linear combination $\alpha\psi + \beta\varphi$ is also positive on a set of infinite measure (and simple), and so both sides of (2) are ∞ and (2) holds. Next, suppose both ψ and φ vanish outside a set of finite measure, and hence so does $\alpha\psi + \beta\varphi$. The proof of linearity, (2), now follows exactly as it did in Chapter 4 (in the proofs of Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, see page 72).

For disjoint A and B , we have $\psi\chi_{A \cup B} = \psi\chi_A + \psi\chi_B$ on X , and additivity over domains, (3), then follows from (2).

Next, (4) follows from (3) since the integral of a since the integral of a simple function over a set of measure zero is zero by definition. □

Proposition 18.8 (continued 2)

Proof. For monotonicity of the integral, (5), we may assume from (4) that $\psi \leq \varphi$ on all of X . Since φ and ψ are simple and so only take on a finite number of values, then we can write $X = \cup_{k=1}^n X_k$ where the X_k are disjoint and measurable and $\psi = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{X_k}$, an $d\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \chi_{X_k}$ where $0 \leq a_k \leq b_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then by linearity, (2), and the definition of integral,

$$\int_X \psi d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mu(X_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \mu(X_k) = \int_X \varphi d\mu,$$

and so (5) follows. □

Proposition 18.8 (continued 1)

Proof. If either ψ or φ is positive on a set of infinite measure, then the linear combination $\alpha\psi + \beta\varphi$ is also positive on a set of infinite measure (and simple), and so both sides of (2) are ∞ and (2) holds. Next, suppose both ψ and φ vanish outside a set of finite measure, and hence so does $\alpha\psi + \beta\varphi$. The proof of linearity, (2), now follows exactly as it did in Chapter 4 (in the proofs of Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, see page 72).

For disjoint A and B , we have $\psi\chi_{A \cup B} = \psi\chi_A + \psi\chi_B$ on X , and additivity over domains, (3), then follows from (2).

Next, (4) follows from (3) since the integral of a since the integral of a simple function over a set of measure zero is zero by definition. □

Proposition 18.8 (continued 2)

Proof. For monotonicity of the integral, (5), we may assume from (4) that $\psi \leq \varphi$ on all of X . Since φ and ψ are simple and so only take on a finite number of values, then we can write $X = \cup_{k=1}^n X_k$ where the X_k are disjoint and measurable and $\psi = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{X_k}$, an $d\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \chi_{X_k}$ where $0 \leq a_k \leq b_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then by linearity, (2), and the definition of integral,

$$\int_X \psi d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mu(X_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \mu(X_k) = \int_X \varphi d\mu,$$

and so (5) follows. □

Chebyshev's Inequality

Chebyshev's Inequality.

Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space, f a nonnegative measurable function on X , and $\lambda > 0$ a real number. Then

$$\mu\{x \in X \mid f(x) > \lambda\} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_X f \, d\mu.$$

Proof. Define $X_\lambda = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \geq \lambda\}$ and $\varphi = \lambda \chi_{X_\lambda}$. Then φ is simple and $0 \leq \varphi \leq f$ on X . So by definition (and by Lemma)

$$\lambda \mu(X_\lambda) = \int_X \varphi \, d\mu \leq \int_X f \, d\mu. \quad \square$$

Proposition 18.9

Proposition 18.9. Let (X, \mathcal{M}) be a measure space and f nonnegative measurable function on X for which $\int_X f \, d\mu < \infty$. Then f is finite a.e. on X and $\{x \in X \mid f(x) > 0\}$ is σ -finite.

Proof. Define $X_\infty = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = \infty\}$ and $X_n = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \geq n\}$. Then $X_\infty \subset X_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and by monotonicity of measure (Proposition 17.1) $\mu(X_\infty) \leq \mu(X_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Chebyshev's Inequality with $\lambda = n$, $\mu(X_n) \leq \frac{1}{n} \int_X f \, d\mu < \infty$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $\mu(X_\infty) \leq \frac{1}{n} \int_X f \, d\mu$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\int_X f \, d\mu$ is finite, $\mu(X_\infty) = 0$. (This is the same as the proof of Chebyshev's Inequality from Chapter 4; see page 84.)

Now define $X_n = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \geq 1/n\}$. Then by Chebyshev's Inequality with $\lambda = 1/n$, $\mu(X_n) \leq n \int_X f \, d\mu < \infty$, and each $\mu(X_n)$ is of finite measure. So $\{x \in X \mid f(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$, and $\{x \in X \mid f(x) > 0\}$ is a countable union of finite measurable sets. That is, it is σ -finite. \square

Fatou's Lemma

Fatou's Lemma.

Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space and $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on X where $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$ a.e. on X . Assume f is measurable. Then

$$\int_X f \, d\mu \leq \liminf \int_X f_n \, d\mu.$$

Proof. Let X_0 be a measurable subset of X for which $\mu(X \setminus X_0) = 0$ and $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$ pointwise on X_0 . By (9) of "Lemma," each side of the claim of Fatou's Lemma remains unchanged if X is replaced by X_0 . So, without loss of generality, $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$ pointwise on X . Since $\int_X f \, d\mu$ is defined in terms of simple functions φ for which $0 \leq \varphi \leq f$, if we establish that

$$\int_X \varphi \, d\mu \leq \liminf \int_X f_n \, d\mu \quad (12)$$

for all such φ , then Fatou's lemma will follow by taking a supremum over such φ . So let φ be simple with $0 \leq \varphi \leq f$ on X .

Fatou's Lemma (continued 1)

Proof (continued). If $\int_X \varphi \, d\mu = 0$ then the desired inequality holds, so assume $\int_X \varphi \, d\mu > 0$.

Case 1. Suppose $\int_X \varphi \, d\mu = \infty$. Then there is a measurable $X_\infty \subset X$ and $a > 0$ for which $\mu(X_\infty) = \infty$ and $\varphi = a$ on X_∞ .

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $A_n = \{x \in X \mid f_k(x) \geq a/2 \text{ for all } k \geq n\}$. Then $\{A_n\}$ is an ascending sequence of measurable sets ($A_n \subset A_{n+1}$; larger n implies fewer f_k and so more x values). Since $X_\infty \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ then by Continuity of Measure (Proposition 17.2) and Monotonicity of Measure (Proposition 17.1),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) \geq \mu(X_\infty) = \infty.$$

But by Chebyshev's Inequality, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mu(A_n) \leq \frac{2}{a} \int_{A_n} f_n \, d\mu \leq \frac{2}{a} \int_X f_n \, d\mu.$$

Fatou's Lemma (continued 2)

Proof (continued). So as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the left hand side approaches ∞ and so the right hand side approaches ∞ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \infty = \int_X \varphi d\mu.$$

Case 2. Suppose $0 < \int_X \varphi d\mu < \infty$. Now $\varphi = 0$ on some subset of X , say X_0 . Then $\int_X \varphi d\mu = \int_{X_0} \varphi d\mu$. Also,

$\liminf \int_{X_0} f_n d\mu \leq \liminf \int_X f_n d\mu$. So if we can verify that

$\int_{X_0} \varphi d\mu \leq \liminf \int_{X_0} \varphi_n d\mu$, then (12) will follow. That is, without loss of generality we can assume $\varphi > 0$ on all of X . Since we are hypothesizing that $\int_X \varphi d\mu < \infty$, this implies that $\mu(X) < \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and define

$$X_n = \{x \in X \mid f_k(x) > (1 - \varepsilon)\varphi(x) \text{ for all } k \geq n\}.$$

Then $\{X_n\}$ is an ascending sequence of measurable subsets of X (similar to Case 1) whose union equals X (since $\varphi \leq f$). So $\{X \setminus X_n\}$ is a descending sequence of measurable sets whose intersection is \emptyset .

0

Real Analysis

December 18, 2016

10 / 17

Fatou's Lemma (continued 4)

Proof (continued).

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X f_n d\mu &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \int_X \varphi d\mu - \varepsilon M \text{ by (5) of Proposition 18.8} \\ &= \int_X \varphi d\mu - \varepsilon \left(\int_X \varphi d\mu + M \right). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\liminf \int_X f_n d\mu \geq \int_X \varphi d\mu - \varepsilon \left(\int_X \varphi d\mu + M \right)$$

and since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and $\int_X \varphi d\mu + M$ is finite, then (12) follows. \square

Fatou's Lemma (continued 3)

Proof (continued). Since $\mu(X) < \infty$, by the Continuity of Measure (Proposition 17.2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(X \setminus X_n) = 0$. So choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(X \setminus X_n) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Define $M > 0$ to be the maximum of the values taken on by simple φ on X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X f_n d\mu &\geq \int_{X_n} f_n d\mu \text{ by Monotonicity ((8) of "Lemma")} \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{X_n} \varphi d\mu \text{ by definition of } X_n \\ &= (1 - \varepsilon) \int_X \varphi d\mu - (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{X \setminus X_n} \varphi d\mu \text{ by Additivity for} \\ &\quad \text{nonnegative simple functions ((3) of Proposition 18.8)} \\ &\quad \text{and the finiteness of the integrals of } \varphi \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \int_X \varphi d\mu - \int_{X \setminus X_n} \varphi d\mu \end{aligned}$$

0

Real Analysis

December 18, 2016

11 / 17

The Monotone Convergence Theorem

The Monotone Convergence Theorem.

Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space and $\{f_n\}$ an increasing sequence (i.e., pointwise increasing) of nonnegative measurable functions on X . Define $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_X f_n d\mu \right) = \int_X \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Proof. By Theorem 18.6, f is measurable. By Fatou's Lemma, $\int_X f \leq \liminf \int_X f_n d\mu$. Since $f_n \leq f$ on X , by (18) of "Lemma" we have $\int_X f_n d\mu \leq \int_X f d\mu$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So

$$\limsup \int_X f_n d\mu \leq \int_X f d\mu,$$

and the result follows. \square

0

Real Analysis

December 18, 2016

12 / 17

0

Real Analysis

December 18, 2016

13 / 17

Beppo Levi's Lemma

Beppo Levi's Lemma.

Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space and $\{f_n\}$ an increasing sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on X . If the sequence of integrals $\{\int_X f_n d\mu\}$ is bounded, then $\{f_n\}$ converges pointwise on X to a measurable function f that is finite a.e. on X and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_X f_n d\mu \right) = \int_X \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu < \infty.$$

Proof. Define $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$ for each $x \in X$ (since $\{f_n\}$ is increasing, the pointwise limits exist as extended real numbers). Since $\{f_n\}$ is increasing, by the Monotone Convergence Theorem $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$. Since the sequence $\{\int_X f_n d\mu\}$ is bounded, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu$ is bounded; that is, $\int_X f d\mu < \infty$. by proposition 18.9, f is finite a.e. on X . \square

0

Real Analysis

December 18, 2016

14 / 17

Proposition 18.11

Proposition 18.11

Proposition 18.11. Linearity of Integrals of Nonnegative Measurable Functions.

Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space and f and g nonnegative measurable functions on X . If α and β are positive real numbers, then

$$\int_X (\alpha f + \beta g) d\mu = \alpha \int_X f d\mu + \beta \int_X g d\mu.$$

Proof. By (7) of "Lemma" we have that $\int_X \alpha f d\mu = \alpha \int_X f d\mu$, so we need only establish the result for $\alpha = \beta = 1$ (i.e., for the "addition of functions" part). By Proposition 18.10, there are increasing sequences $\{\psi_n\}$ and $\{\varphi_n\}$ of nonnegative simple functions that converge pointwise to g and f respectively for which

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \psi_n d\mu = \int_X g d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \varphi_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu.$$

0

Real Analysis

December 18, 2016

16 / 17

0

Real Analysis

December 18, 2016

17 / 17

Proposition 18.10

Proposition 18.10. Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a measure space and f a nonnegative measurable function on X . Then there is an increasing sequence $\{\psi_n\}$ of simple functions on X that converges pointwise on X to f and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_X \psi_n d\mu \right) = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Proof. By the Simple Approximation Theorem part (ii), there is an increasing sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ of simple functions which converges pointwise to f on X . By the Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \varphi_n d\mu = \int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu.$$

 \square

0

Real Analysis

December 18, 2016

15 / 17

Proposition 18.11

Proposition 18.11 (continued)

Proof (continued). Then $\{\varphi_n + \psi_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of simple functions that converges pointwise to $f + g$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (f + g) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (\varphi_n + \psi_n) d\mu \quad \text{by the Monotone} \\ &\quad \text{Convergence Theorem} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_X \varphi_n d\mu + \int_X \psi_n d\mu \right) \quad \text{by proposition 18.8 (2)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \varphi_n d\mu + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \psi_n d\mu \\ &= \int_X f d\mu + \int_X g d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

 \square