Graph Theory

Chapter 11. The Four-Colour Problem 11.2. The Five-Colour Theorem—Proofs of Theorems





Theorem 11.6

Theorem 11.6. THE FIVE-COLOUR THEOREM. Every loopless planar graph is 5-colourable.

Proof. We give a proof based on induction on the number of vertices. As observed in Note 11.1.A, it suffices to prove the result for 3-connected triangulations. So let *G* be such a triangulation. The result holds for $G = K_5 \setminus e$, so we take this as a base case. For the induction hypothesis, suppose the result holds for all appropriate graphs on n - 1 vertices and let *G* have *n* vertices. Since *G* is simple and planar then by Corollary 10.22 it has a vertex *v* of degree at most five. Consider the plane graph H = G - v.

Theorem 11.6

Theorem 11.6. THE FIVE-COLOUR THEOREM. Every loopless planar graph is 5-colourable.

Proof. We give a proof based on induction on the number of vertices. As observed in Note 11.1.A, it suffices to prove the result for 3-connected triangulations. So let G be such a triangulation. The result holds for $G = K_5 \setminus e$, so we take this as a base case. For the induction hypothesis, suppose the result holds for all appropriate graphs on n-1 vertices and let G have n vertices. Since G is simple and planar then by Corollary 10.22 it has a vertex v of degree at most five. Consider the plane graph H = G - v. By the induction hypothesis, H has a proper 5-colouring. If in this colouring of H, one of the five colourings is assigned to no neighbor of v then we may assign that colour to v giving a proper 5-colouring of G and the result holds for all graphs by induction. So we only need to consider the case where the five neighbors of v are all different colours. We now show that this is not the case by way of contradiction.

Theorem 11.6

Theorem 11.6. THE FIVE-COLOUR THEOREM. Every loopless planar graph is 5-colourable.

Proof. We give a proof based on induction on the number of vertices. As observed in Note 11.1.A, it suffices to prove the result for 3-connected triangulations. So let G be such a triangulation. The result holds for $G = K_5 \setminus e$, so we take this as a base case. For the induction hypothesis, suppose the result holds for all appropriate graphs on n-1 vertices and let G have n vertices. Since G is simple and planar then by Corollary 10.22 it has a vertex v of degree at most five. Consider the plane graph H = G - v. By the induction hypothesis, H has a proper 5-colouring. If in this colouring of H, one of the five colourings is assigned to no neighbor of v then we may assign that colour to v giving a proper 5-colouring of G and the result holds for all graphs by induction. So we only need to consider the case where the five neighbors of v are all different colours. We now show that this is not the case by way of contradiction.

Theorem 11.6 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). ASSUME that vertices v of degree five in graph G has neighbors all of different colours. Let the neighbors of v be v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 and let $C = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_1$ be the facial cycle of H containing these vertices:



Let the colours of vertex v_i be denoted as i where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. We may suppose that the vertex v of G lies in int(C) (see the image above), so bridges of C in H are outer bridges.

()

Theorem 11.6 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). ASSUME that vertices v of degree five in graph G has neighbors all of different colours. Let the neighbors of v be v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 and let $C = v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_1$ be the facial cycle of H containing these vertices:



Let the colours of vertex v_i be denoted as i where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. We may suppose that the vertex v of G lies in int(C) (see the image above), so bridges of C in H are outer bridges.

Theorem 11.6 (continued 2)

Proof (continued). If there is no bridge of C in H containing both v_1 and v_3 , then by swapping the colours of the vertices coloured 1 and 3 in all the bridges of C containing v_1 (remember that the bridges are internally disjoint), we obtain a proper 5-colouring of H in which no vertex of C has colour 1. This colour may now be assigned to v_1 resulting in a proper 5-colouring of G. So we may assume without loss of generality that there is a bridge B_1 of C in H having v_1 and v_3 as vertices of attachments. Similarly, there is a bridge B_2 of C in H having v_2 and v_4 as vertices of attachment. But then the bridges B_1 and B_2 overlap, CONTRADICTING Theorem 10.26 which implies that outer bridges avoid one another. So the assumption that the neighbors of v are all of a different colour is false. Hence v can be assigned the "moving colour" as described above, giving a 5-colouring of G, as claimed.

Theorem 11.6 (continued 2)

Proof (continued). If there is no bridge of C in H containing both v_1 and v_3 , then by swapping the colours of the vertices coloured 1 and 3 in all the bridges of C containing v_1 (remember that the bridges are internally disjoint), we obtain a proper 5-colouring of H in which no vertex of C has colour 1. This colour may now be assigned to v_1 resulting in a proper 5-colouring of G. So we may assume without loss of generality that there is a bridge B_1 of C in H having v_1 and v_3 as vertices of attachments. Similarly, there is a bridge B_2 of C in H having v_2 and v_4 as vertices of attachment. But then the bridges B_1 and B_2 overlap, CONTRADICTING Theorem 10.26 which implies that outer bridges avoid one another. So the assumption that the neighbors of v are all of a different colour is false. Hence v can be assigned the "moving colour" as described above, giving a 5-colouring of G, as claimed.