# Graph Theory

#### **Chapter 17. Edge Colourings** 17.6. Further Reading—Proofs of Theorems



# Table of contents



#### Theorem 17.6.A

**Theorem 17.6.A.** For  $m \neq n$ ,  $\chi''(K_{m,n}) = \Delta + 1$ . In addition,  $\chi''(K_{n,n}) = \Delta + 2$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $m \neq n$ . Say, without loss of generality, m < n. Let  $X = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1}\}$   $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}\}$ , and  $K_{m,n} = G[X, Y]$ . Define  $A_p = \{x_i y_{i+p} \mid i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$  for  $p = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$  where the indices i + p are reduced modulo n. Notice that each  $A_p$  for  $p = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$  contains no two edges incident to the same vertex. So for a given p the same colour can be assigned to all edges in  $A_p$ .

Graph Theory

#### Theorem 17.6.A

**Theorem 17.6.A.** For  $m \neq n$ ,  $\chi''(K_{m,n}) = \Delta + 1$ . In addition,  $\chi''(K_{n,n}) = \Delta + 2$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $m \neq n$ . Say, without loss of generality, m < n. Let  $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$   $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ , and  $K_{m,n} = G[X, Y]$ . Define  $A_p = \{x_i y_{i+p} \mid i = 0, 1, ..., m-1\}$  for p = 0, 1, ..., n-1 where the indices i + p are reduced modulo n. Notice that each  $A_p$  for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  contains no two edges incident to the same vertex. So for a given p the same colour can be assigned to all edges in  $A_p$ . (By the way, the sets of edges  $A_p$  for p = 0, 1, ..., n-1 partition the edge set of  $K_{m,n}$  and this show that the edge chromatic number of  $K_{m,n}$  is  $\chi'(K_{m,n}=n=\Delta(K_{m,n}),$  so that  $K_{m,n}$  is Class 1. ) Notice for  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  we have  $(i + p) \pmod{n} \neq p-1$  (in particular,

((m-1)+p)(mod n) = ((p-1)+m(mod n) < p-1 because m < n).

#### Theorem 17.6.A

**Theorem 17.6.A.** For  $m \neq n$ ,  $\chi''(K_{m,n}) = \Delta + 1$ . In addition,  $\chi''(K_{n,n}) = \Delta + 2$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $m \neq n$ . Say, without loss of generality, m < n. Let  $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}\}$   $Y = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ , and  $K_{m,n} = G[X, Y]$ . Define  $A_p = \{x_i y_{i+p} \mid i = 0, 1, ..., m-1\}$  for p = 0, 1, ..., n-1 where the indices i + p are reduced modulo n. Notice that each  $A_p$  for  $p = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$  contains no two edges incident to the same vertex. So for a given p the same colour can be assigned to all edges in  $A_p$ . (By the way, the sets of edges  $A_p$  for p = 0, 1, ..., n-1 partition the edge set of  $K_{m,n}$  and this show that the edge chromatic number of  $K_{m,n}$  is  $\chi'(K_{m,n} = n = \Delta(K_{m,n})$ , so that  $K_{m,n}$  is Class 1.) Notice for  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$  we have  $(i + p) \pmod{n} \neq p - 1$  (in particular, ((m-1)+p)(mod n) = ((p-1)+m(mod n) < p-1 because m < n).

### Theorem 17.6.A (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).** So no edge of  $A_p$  is incident with vertex  $y_{p-1}$ , and the colour assigned to the edges of  $A_p$  can also be assigned to vertex  $y_{p-1}$  for p = 0, 1, ..., n-1. This gives a proper total colouring (with *n* colours) of all edges of  $K_{n,n}$  and all vertices of set  $Y = \{t_0, y_1, ..., y_n\}$ . Finally, we use one additional colour on the vertices of set  $X = \{x_0, x_1, ..., x_{m-1}\}$ . This gives a total colouring of  $K_{m,n}$  with  $n + 1 = \Delta + 1$  colours. Therefore  $\chi''(K_{m,n}) \leq \Delta + 1$ . By Note 17.6.A we have  $\chi''(G) \geq \Delta + 1$  and hence  $\chi''(K_{m,n}) = \Delta + 1$ , as claimed.

Now consider  $K_{n,n}$ . Let S be a set of vertices and edges of  $K_{n,n}$  that are all assigned the same colour in a proper total colouring of  $K_{n,n}$ . ASSUME |S| > n. Notice that S cannot contain all edges (since this would imply that S contains two edges incident to the same vertex) nor can S contain all vertices (since this would imply that S contains two adjacent vertices). Let  $\nu$  be the number of vertices of S and  $\varepsilon$  be the number of edges of S, so that  $|S| = \nu + \varepsilon$ .

### Theorem 17.6.A (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).** So no edge of  $A_p$  is incident with vertex  $y_{p-1}$ , and the colour assigned to the edges of  $A_p$  can also be assigned to vertex  $y_{p-1}$  for p = 0, 1, ..., n-1. This gives a proper total colouring (with *n* colours) of all edges of  $K_{n,n}$  and all vertices of set  $Y = \{t_0, y_1, ..., y_n\}$ . Finally, we use one additional colour on the vertices of set  $X = \{x_0, x_1, ..., x_{m-1}\}$ . This gives a total colouring of  $K_{m,n}$  with  $n + 1 = \Delta + 1$  colours. Therefore  $\chi''(K_{m,n}) \leq \Delta + 1$ . By Note 17.6.A we have  $\chi''(G) \geq \Delta + 1$  and hence  $\chi''(K_{m,n}) = \Delta + 1$ , as claimed.

Now consider  $K_{n,n}$ . Let S be a set of vertices and edges of  $K_{n,n}$  that are all assigned the same colour in a proper total colouring of  $K_{n,n}$ . ASSUME |S| > n. Notice that S cannot contain all edges (since this would imply that S contains two edges incident to the same vertex) nor can S contain all vertices (since this would imply that S contains two adjacent vertices). Let  $\nu$  be the number of vertices of S and  $\varepsilon$  be the number of edges of S, so that  $|S| = \nu + \varepsilon$ .

# Theorem 17.6.A (continued 2)

**Proof (continued).** Each vertex of *S* is adjacent to *n* other vertices and incident to *n* edges; each edge of *S* is incident to 2 vertices and shares a vertex with 2(n-1) other edges. Now the number of elements of *S* plus the number of edges and arcs incident/adjacent to an element of *S* cannot exceed the total number of edges and vertices. That is,

 $(\nu + \varepsilon) + \nu(n + n) + \varepsilon(2 + 2(n - 1)) \le n^2 + 2n$  or  $(\nu + \varepsilon) + 2n\nu + 2n\varepsilon \le n^2 + 2n$  or  $(\nu + \varepsilon)(2n + 1) \le n^2 + 2n$ . However, we have assumed that  $|S| = \nu + \varepsilon > n$  and we must have  $(\nu + \varepsilon)(2n+1) > n(2n+1) = 2n^2 + n = n^2 + (n^2 + n) \ge n^2 + (n+n) = n^2 + 2n$ , a CONTRADICTION. So the assumption that |S| > n is false, and hence  $|S| \le n$ . So the largest that a "colour class" of a total colouring of  $K_{n,n}$ can be is *n*. Since  $|E(K_{n,n})| + |V(K_{n,n})| = n^2 + 2n$ , then a total coluring of all edges and vertices of  $K_{n,n}$  requires at least  $(n^2 + 2n)/n = n + 2$ colours. Therefore  $\chi''(K_{n,n}) \ge n + 2 = \Delta + 2$ .

# Theorem 17.6.A (continued 2)

**Proof (continued).** Each vertex of *S* is adjacent to *n* other vertices and incident to *n* edges; each edge of *S* is incident to 2 vertices and shares a vertex with 2(n-1) other edges. Now the number of elements of *S* plus the number of edges and arcs incident/adjacent to an element of *S* cannot exceed the total number of edges and vertices. That is,

 $(\nu + \varepsilon) + \nu(n + n) + \varepsilon(2 + 2(n - 1)) \le n^2 + 2n$  or  $(\nu + \varepsilon) + 2n\nu + 2n\varepsilon \le n^2 + 2n$  or  $(\nu + \varepsilon)(2n + 1) \le n^2 + 2n$ . However, we have assumed that  $|S| = \nu + \varepsilon > n$  and we must have  $(\nu + \varepsilon)(2n+1) > n(2n+1) = 2n^2 + n = n^2 + (n^2 + n) \ge n^2 + (n+n) = n^2 + 2n$ , a CONTRADICTION. So the assumption that |S| > n is false, and hence  $|S| \le n$ . So the largest that a "colour class" of a total colouring of  $K_{n,n}$ can be is *n*. Since  $|E(K_{n,n})| + |V(K_{n,n})| = n^2 + 2n$ , then a total coluring of all edges and vertices of  $K_{n,n}$  requires at least  $(n^2 + 2n)/n = n + 2$ colours. Therefore  $\chi''(K_{n,n}) \ge n + 2 = \Delta + 2$ .

## Theorem 17.6.A (continued 3)

**Theorem 17.6.A.** For  $m \neq n$ ,  $\chi''(M_{m,n}) = \Delta + 1$ . In addition,  $\chi''(K_{n,n}) = \Delta + 2$ .

**Proof (continued).** With  $A_p$  defined as in the case  $m \neq n$  (above) for p = 0, 1, ..., n - 1, we can assign a different colour to each of these sets of edges (using *n* colours). We can colour set *X* of vertices with another colour, and set *Y* of vertices with a final colour. This gives a total colouring of  $K_{n,n}$  with  $n + 2 = \Delta + 2$  colours so that  $\chi''(K_{n,n}) \leq \Delta + 2$ . Since we have  $\chi''(K_{n,n}) \geq \Delta + 2$  from above, we can conclude that  $\chi''(K_{n,n}) = \Delta + 2$ , as claimed.