## Graph Theory

Chapter 3. Connected Graphs
3.2. Cut Edges-Proofs of Theorems
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## Proposition 3.2

Proposition 3.2. An edge $e$ of a graph $G$ is a cut edge of a graph $G$ if and only if $\{e\}$ belongs to no cycle of $G$.

Proof. Suppose $e$ is a cut edge of graph $G$. Then $c(G \backslash e)=c(G)+1$ so graph $G \backslash e$ has more than one connected component and hence $G \backslash e$ has one more component than $G$ so that there are different components of $G \backslash e, X$ and $Y$, such that one end of $e$ is in $X$ and the other end of $e$ is in $Y$; say $e=x y$ where $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Since $X$ and $Y$ are different components of $G \backslash e$ then by Exercise 3.1.4 there is no $(X, Y)$-path in $G \backslash e$, so $e$ lies in no cycle of $G$ (or else the cycle with $e$ deleted would be an $(X, Y)$-path in $G \backslash e)$.
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Suppose $e=x y$ is not a cut edge of $G$. Then $x$ and $y$ belong to the same component of $G \backslash e$ (and $c(G)=c(G \backslash e)$ ). So by Exercise 3.1.4 (with $X=\{x\}$ and $Y=\{y\}$ in the notation of the exercise) there is an $x y$-path $P$ in $G \backslash e$. Then $P+e$ is a cycle in $G$ containing edge $e$. That is, if $e$ is not a cut edge of $G$ then $e$ is in some cycle of $G$.
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