

Graph Theory

Chapter 2. Subgraphs

Supplement. Graph Decompositions: Triple Systems—Proofs of Theorems




Table of contents

- 1 Lemma T.1. Necessary conditions for a $STS(n)$
- 2 Lemma T.2. (A, k) -systems
- 3 Lemma T.3. (B, k) -systems
- 4 Lemma T.4. Cyclic $STS(n)$ for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$
- 5 Lemma T.6. Cyclic $STS(n)$ for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$
- 6 Theorem T.1. Necessary and sufficient conditions for a $STS(n)$

Lemma T.1

Lemma T.1. If $STS(n)$ exists then $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. The graph K_n has $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ total edges and a 3-cycle has 3 edges. Now the edge sets of the 3-cycles in a Steiner triple system partition the edge set of K_n , so it is necessary that 3 divides $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$; that is, we must have $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. So we must have $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$.

Lemma T.1

Lemma T.1. If $STS(n)$ exists then $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. The graph K_n has $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ total edges and a 3-cycle has 3 edges. Now the edge sets of the 3-cycles in a Steiner triple system partition the edge set of K_n , so it is necessary that 3 divides $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$; that is, we must have $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. So we must have $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$.

Next, the degree of each vertex in K_n is $n-1$ and the degree of each vertex of a 3-cycle is 2, so we must also have $n-1$ even, or n odd. Therefore, it is necessary that $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$. □

Lemma T.1

Lemma T.1. If $STS(n)$ exists then $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. The graph K_n has $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ total edges and a 3-cycle has 3 edges. Now the edge sets of the 3-cycles in a Steiner triple system partition the edge set of K_n , so it is necessary that 3 divides $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$; that is, we must have $n(n-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. So we must have $n \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$.

Next, the degree of each vertex in K_n is $n-1$ and the degree of each vertex of a 3-cycle is 2, so we must also have $n-1$ even, or n odd. Therefore, it is necessary that $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$. □

Lemma T.2

Lemma T.2. An (A, k) -system exists if and only if $k \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. If an (A, k) -system exists, then there is a partition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$ into distinct pairs (a_r, b_r) such that $b_r = a_r + r$ (or, equivalently, $b_r - a_r = r$) for $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$. So we must have

$$\sum_{r=1}^k b_r - \sum_{r=1}^k a_r = \sum_{r=1}^k r = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}. \text{ Also, since the } (a_r, b_r) \text{ partition } \{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}, \text{ then } \sum_{r=1}^k a_r + \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \sum_{r=1}^{2k} r = \frac{2k(2k+1)}{2}.$$

Lemma T.2

Lemma T.2. An (A, k) -system exists if and only if $k \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. If an (A, k) -system exists, then there is a partition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$ into distinct pairs (a_r, b_r) such that $b_r = a_r + r$ (or, equivalently, $b_r - a_r = r$) for $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$. So we must have

$$\sum_{r=1}^k b_r - \sum_{r=1}^k a_r = \sum_{r=1}^k r = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}. \text{ Also, since the } (a_r, b_r) \text{ partition } \{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}, \text{ then } \sum_{r=1}^k a_r + \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \sum_{r=1}^{2k} r = \frac{2k(2k+1)}{2}. \text{ Adding these equations, we must have } 2 \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + \frac{2k(2k+1)}{2} = \frac{k((k+1)+2(2k+1))}{2} = \frac{k(5k+3)}{2}, \text{ or } \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \frac{k(5k+3)}{4}, \text{ so that } k \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4} \text{ is necessary.}$$

Lemma T.2

Lemma T.2. An (A, k) -system exists if and only if $k \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. If an (A, k) -system exists, then there is a partition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}$ into distinct pairs (a_r, b_r) such that $b_r = a_r + r$ (or, equivalently, $b_r - a_r = r$) for $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$. So we must have

$$\sum_{r=1}^k b_r - \sum_{r=1}^k a_r = \sum_{r=1}^k r = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}. \text{ Also, since the } (a_r, b_r) \text{ partition } \{1, 2, \dots, 2k\}, \text{ then } \sum_{r=1}^k a_r + \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \sum_{r=1}^{2k} r = \frac{2k(2k+1)}{2}. \text{ Adding these equations, we must have } 2 \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + \frac{2k(2k+1)}{2} = \frac{k((k+1)+2(2k+1))}{2} = \frac{k(5k+3)}{2}, \text{ or } \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \frac{k(5k+3)}{4}, \text{ so that } k \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{4} \text{ is necessary.}$$

Lemma T.2 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). Now suppose $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, say $k = 4\ell$. If $k = 4$ and $\ell = 1$, consider the pairs $(1, 2)$, $(5, 7)$, $(3, 6)$, and $(4, 8)$. If $k \geq 8$ (and so $\ell \geq 2$) then consider the pairs

$$\begin{aligned} (4\ell + i - 1, 8\ell - i + 1) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\ (i, 4\ell - i - 1) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\ (\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2\}, \\ (\ell, \ell + 1), (2\ell, 4\ell - 1), \text{ and } (2\ell + 1, 6\ell). \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (A, k) -system. In the following table we list the numbers covered by the pairs:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	Range of a_r	Range of b_r
$(4\ell + i - 1, 8\ell - i + 1)$	$4\ell, 4\ell + 1, \dots, 6\ell - 1$	$6\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2, \dots, 8\ell$
$(i, 4\ell - i - 1)$	$1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1$	$3\ell, 3\ell + 1, \dots, 4\ell - 2$
$(\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i)$	$\ell + 2, \ell + 3, \dots, 2\ell - 1$	$2\ell + 2, 2\ell + 3, \dots, 3\ell - 1$
$(\ell, \ell + 1)$	ℓ	$\ell + 1$
$(2\ell, 4\ell - 1)$	2ℓ	$4\ell - 1$
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell)$	$2\ell + 1$	6ℓ

Lemma T.2 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). Now suppose $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, say $k = 4\ell$. If $k = 4$ and $\ell = 1$, consider the pairs $(1, 2)$, $(5, 7)$, $(3, 6)$, and $(4, 8)$. If $k \geq 8$ (and so $\ell \geq 2$) then consider the pairs

$$\begin{aligned} (4\ell + i - 1, 8\ell - i + 1) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\ (i, 4\ell - i - 1) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\ (\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2\}, \\ (\ell, \ell + 1), (2\ell, 4\ell - 1), \text{ and } (2\ell + 1, 6\ell). \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (A, k) -system. In the following table we list the numbers covered by the pairs:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	Range of a_r	Range of b_r
$(4\ell + i - 1, 8\ell - i + 1)$	$4\ell, 4\ell + 1, \dots, 6\ell - 1$	$6\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2, \dots, 8\ell$
$(i, 4\ell - i - 1)$	$1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1$	$3\ell, 3\ell + 1, \dots, 4\ell - 2$
$(\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i)$	$\ell + 2, \ell + 3, \dots, 2\ell - 1$	$2\ell + 2, 2\ell + 3, \dots, 3\ell - 1$
$(\ell, \ell + 1)$	ℓ	$\ell + 1$
$(2\ell, 4\ell - 1)$	2ℓ	$4\ell - 1$
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell)$	$2\ell + 1$	6ℓ

Lemma T.2 (continued 2)

Proof (continued).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (4\ell + i - 1, 8\ell - i + 1) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\
 & (i, 4\ell - i - 1) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\
 & (\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2\}, \\
 & (\ell, \ell + 1), (2\ell, 4\ell - 1), \text{ and } (2\ell + 1, 6\ell).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (A, k) -system. In the following table we list the values of $b_r - a_r$:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	$b_r - a_r$	Range of $b_r - a_r$
$(4\ell + i - 1, 8\ell - i + 1)$	$4\ell - 2i + 2$	$2, 4, \dots, 4\ell$ even
$(i, 4\ell - i - 1)$	$4\ell - 2i - 1$	$2\ell + 1, 2\ell + 3, \dots, 4\ell - 3$ odd
$(\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i)$	$2\ell - 2i - 1$	$3, 5, \dots, 2\ell - 3$
$(\ell, \ell + 1)$	1	1
$(2\ell, 4\ell - 1)$	$2\ell - 1$	$2\ell - 1$
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell)$	$4\ell - 1$	$4\ell - 1$

Lemma T.2 (continued 3)

Proof (continued). Now suppose $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, say $k = 4\ell + 1$. If $k = 1$ and $\ell = 0$, consider the pair $(1, 2)$. If $k = 5$ and $\ell = 1$, consider the pairs $(2, 3)$, $(8, 10)$, $(4, 7)$, $(5, 9)$, and $(1, 6)$. If $k \geq 9$ (and so $\ell \geq 2$) then consider the pairs

$$\begin{aligned} (4\ell + i + 1, 8\ell - i + 3) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\ (i, 4\ell - i - 1) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}, \\ (\ell + i + 2, 3\ell - i + 1) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2\}, \\ (\ell + 1, \ell + 2), (2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2), \text{ and } (2\ell + 2, 4\ell + 1). \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (A, k) -system. In the following table we list the numbers covered by the pairs:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	Range of a_r	Range of b_r
$(4\ell + i + 1, 8\ell - i + 3)$	$4\ell + 2, 4\ell + 3, \dots, 6\ell + 1$	$6\ell + 3, 6\ell + 4, \dots, 8\ell + 2$
$(i, 4\ell - i + 1)$	$1, 2, \dots, \ell$	$3\ell + 1, 3\ell + 2, \dots, 4\ell$
$(\ell + i + 2, 3\ell - i + 1)$	$\ell + 3, \ell + 4, \dots, 2\ell$	$2\ell + 3, 2\ell + 4, \dots, 3\ell$
$(\ell + 1, \ell + 2)$	$\ell + 1$	$\ell + 2$
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2)$	$2\ell + 1$	$6\ell + 2$
$(2\ell + 2, 4\ell + 1)$	$2\ell + 2$	$4\ell + 1$

Lemma T.2 (continued 3)

Proof (continued). Now suppose $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, say $k = 4\ell + 1$. If $k = 1$ and $\ell = 0$, consider the pair $(1, 2)$. If $k = 5$ and $\ell = 1$, consider the pairs $(2, 3)$, $(8, 10)$, $(4, 7)$, $(5, 9)$, and $(1, 6)$. If $k \geq 9$ (and so $\ell \geq 2$) then consider the pairs

$$\begin{aligned} (4\ell + i + 1, 8\ell - i + 3) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\ (i, 4\ell - i - 1) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}, \\ (\ell + i + 2, 3\ell - i + 1) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2\}, \\ (\ell + 1, \ell + 2), (2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2), \text{ and } (2\ell + 2, 4\ell + 1). \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (A, k) -system. In the following table we list the numbers covered by the pairs:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	Range of a_r	Range of b_r
$(4\ell + i + 1, 8\ell - i + 3)$	$4\ell + 2, 4\ell + 3, \dots, 6\ell + 1$	$6\ell + 3, 6\ell + 4, \dots, 8\ell + 2$
$(i, 4\ell - i + 1)$	$1, 2, \dots, \ell$	$3\ell + 1, 3\ell + 2, \dots, 4\ell$
$(\ell + i + 2, 3\ell - i + 1)$	$\ell + 3, \ell + 4, \dots, 2\ell$	$2\ell + 3, 2\ell + 4, \dots, 3\ell$
$(\ell + 1, \ell + 2)$	$\ell + 1$	$\ell + 2$
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2)$	$2\ell + 1$	$6\ell + 2$
$(2\ell + 2, 4\ell + 1)$	$2\ell + 2$	$4\ell + 1$

Lemma T.2 (continued 4)

Proof (continued).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (4\ell + i + 1, 8\ell - i + 3) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\
 & (i, 4\ell - i + 1) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}, \\
 & (\ell + i + 2, 3\ell - i + 1) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2\}, \\
 & (\ell + 1, \ell + 2), (2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2), \text{ and } (2\ell + 2, 4\ell + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (A, k) -system. In the following table we list the values of $b_r - a_r$:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	$b_r - a_r$	Range of $b_r - a_r$
$(4\ell + i + 1, 8\ell - i + 3)$	$4\ell - 2i + 2$	$2, 4, \dots, 4\ell$ even
$(i, 4\ell - i + 1)$	$4\ell - 2i + 1$	$2\ell + 1, 2\ell + 3, \dots, 4\ell - 1$ odd
$(\ell + i + 2, 3\ell - i + 1)$	$2\ell - 2i - 1$	$3, 5, \dots, 2\ell - 3$
$(\ell + 1, \ell + 2)$	1	1
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2)$	$4\ell + 1$	$4\ell + 1$
$(2\ell + 2, 4\ell + 1)$	$2\ell - 1$	$2\ell - 1$



Lemma T.2 (continued 4)

Proof (continued).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (4\ell + i + 1, 8\ell - i + 3) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\
 & (i, 4\ell - i + 1) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell\}, \\
 & (\ell + i + 2, 3\ell - i + 1) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2\}, \\
 & (\ell + 1, \ell + 2), (2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2), \text{ and } (2\ell + 2, 4\ell + 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (A, k) -system. In the following table we list the values of $b_r - a_r$:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	$b_r - a_r$	Range of $b_r - a_r$
$(4\ell + i + 1, 8\ell - i + 3)$	$4\ell - 2i + 2$	$2, 4, \dots, 4\ell$ even
$(i, 4\ell - i + 1)$	$4\ell - 2i + 1$	$2\ell + 1, 2\ell + 3, \dots, 4\ell - 1$ odd
$(\ell + i + 2, 3\ell - i + 1)$	$2\ell - 2i - 1$	$3, 5, \dots, 2\ell - 3$
$(\ell + 1, \ell + 2)$	1	1
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2)$	$4\ell + 1$	$4\ell + 1$
$(2\ell + 2, 4\ell + 1)$	$2\ell - 1$	$2\ell - 1$



Lemma T.3

Lemma T.3. A (B, k) -system exists if and only if $k \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. If an (B, k) -system exists, then there is a partition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1, 2k + 1\}$ into distinct pairs (a_r, b_r) such that $b_r = a_r + r$ (or, equivalently, $b_r - a_r = r$) for $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$. So we must have

$$\sum_{r=1}^k b_r - \sum_{r=1}^k a_r = \sum_{r=1}^k r = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}. \text{ Also, since the } (a_r, b_r) \text{ partition}$$

$$\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1, 2k + 1\}, \text{ then } \sum_{r=1}^k a_r + \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \sum_{r=1}^{2k-1} r + (2k + 1) = \frac{(2k-1)(2k)}{2} + (2k+1) = (2k-1)k + (2k+1) = 2k^2 + k + 1.$$

Lemma T.3

Lemma T.3. A (B, k) -system exists if and only if $k \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. If an (B, k) -system exists, then there is a partition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1, 2k + 1\}$ into distinct pairs (a_r, b_r) such that $b_r = a_r + r$ (or, equivalently, $b_r - a_r = r$) for $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$. So we must have

$$\sum_{r=1}^k b_r - \sum_{r=1}^k a_r = \sum_{r=1}^k r = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}. \text{ Also, since the } (a_r, b_r) \text{ partition } \{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1, 2k + 1\}, \text{ then } \sum_{r=1}^k a_r + \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \sum_{r=1}^{2k-1} r + (2k+1) = \frac{(2k-1)(2k)}{2} + (2k+1) = (2k-1)k + (2k+1) = 2k^2 + k + 1. \text{ Adding}$$

these equations, we must have $2 \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (2k^2 + k + 1) = k(k+1) + 2(2k^2 + k + 1) = \frac{k^2 + k + 4k^2 + 2k + 2}{2} = \frac{5k^2 + 3k + 2}{2}$,

Lemma T.3

Lemma T.3. A (B, k) -system exists if and only if $k \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. If an (B, k) -system exists, then there is a partition of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1, 2k + 1\}$ into distinct pairs (a_r, b_r) such that $b_r = a_r + r$ (or, equivalently, $b_r - a_r = r$) for $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$. So we must have

$$\sum_{r=1}^k b_r - \sum_{r=1}^k a_r = \sum_{r=1}^k r = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}. \text{ Also, since the } (a_r, b_r) \text{ partition } \{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 1, 2k + 1\}, \text{ then } \sum_{r=1}^k a_r + \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \sum_{r=1}^{2k-1} r + (2k+1) = \frac{(2k-1)(2k)}{2} + (2k+1) = (2k-1)k + (2k+1) = 2k^2 + k + 1. \text{ Adding}$$

these equations, we must have $2 \sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (2k^2 + k + 1) = k(k+1) + 2(2k^2 + k + 1) = \frac{k^2 + k + 4k^2 + 2k + 2}{2} = \frac{5k^2 + 3k + 2}{2}$,

Lemma T.3 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). ... or $\sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \frac{5k^2 + 3k + 2}{4}$. So we need $5k^2 + 3k + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and hence $k \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ is necessary.

Now suppose $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, say $k = 4\ell + 2$. If $k = 2$ and $\ell = 0$, consider the pairs $(1, 2)$ and $(3, 5)$. If $k \geq 6$ (and so $\ell \geq 1$) then consider the pairs

$$\begin{aligned} (i, 4\ell - i + 2) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\ (4\ell + i + 3, 8\ell - i + 4) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\ (5\ell + i + 2, 7\ell - i + 3) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\ (2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2), (4\ell + 2, 6\ell + 3), (4\ell + 3, 8\ell + 5) \text{ and } (7\ell + 3, 7\ell + 4). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma T.3 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). ... or $\sum_{r=1}^k b_r = \frac{5k^2 + 3k + 2}{4}$. So we need $5k^2 + 3k + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and hence $k \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ is necessary.

Now suppose $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, say $k = 4\ell + 2$. If $k = 2$ and $\ell = 0$, consider the pairs $(1, 2)$ and $(3, 5)$. If $k \geq 6$ (and so $\ell \geq 1$) then consider the pairs

$$\begin{aligned} (i, 4\ell - i + 2) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\ (4\ell + i + 3, 8\ell - i + 4) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\ (5\ell + i + 2, 7\ell - i + 3) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\ (2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2), (4\ell + 2, 6\ell + 3), (4\ell + 3, 8\ell + 5) \text{ and } (7\ell + 3, 7\ell + 4). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma T.3 (continued 2)

Proof (continued)....

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (i, 4\ell - i + 2) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\
 & (4\ell + i + 3, 8\ell - i + 4) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\
 & (5\ell + i + 2, 7\ell - i + 3) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\
 & (2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2), (4\ell + 2, 6\ell + 3), (4\ell + 3, 8\ell + 5) \text{ and } (7\ell + 3, 7\ell + 4).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (B, k) -system. In the following table we list the numbers covered by the pairs:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	Range of a_r	Range of b_r
$(i, 4\ell - i + 2)$	$1, 2, \dots, 2\ell$	$2\ell + 2, 2\ell + 3, \dots, 4\ell + 1$
$(4\ell + i + 3, 8\ell - i + 4)$	$4\ell + 4, 4\ell + 5, \dots, 5\ell + 2$	$7\ell + 5, 7\ell + 6, \dots, 8\ell + 3$
$(5\ell + i + 2, 7\ell - i + 3)$	$5\ell + 3, 5\ell + 4, \dots, 6\ell + 1$	$6\ell + 4, 6\ell + 5, \dots, 7\ell + 2$
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2)$	$2\ell + 1$	$6\ell + 2$
$(4\ell + 2, 6\ell + 3)$	$4\ell + 2$	$6\ell + 3$
$(4\ell + 3, 8\ell + 5)$	$4\ell + 3$	$8\ell + 5$
$(7\ell + 3, 7\ell + 4)$	$7\ell + 3$	$7\ell + 4$

Lemma T.3 (continued 2)

Proof (continued)...

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (i, 4\ell - i + 2) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\
 & (4\ell + i + 3, 8\ell - i + 4) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\
 & (5\ell + i + 2, 7\ell - i + 3) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\
 & (2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2), (4\ell + 2, 6\ell + 3), (4\ell + 3, 8\ell + 5) \text{ and } (7\ell + 3, 7\ell + 4).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (B, k) -system. In the following table we list the numbers covered by the pairs:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	Range of a_r	Range of b_r
$(i, 4\ell - i + 2)$	$1, 2, \dots, 2\ell$	$2\ell + 2, 2\ell + 3, \dots, 4\ell + 1$
$(4\ell + i + 3, 8\ell - i + 4)$	$4\ell + 4, 4\ell + 5, \dots, 5\ell + 2$	$7\ell + 5, 7\ell + 6, \dots, 8\ell + 3$
$(5\ell + i + 2, 7\ell - i + 3)$	$5\ell + 3, 5\ell + 4, \dots, 6\ell + 1$	$6\ell + 4, 6\ell + 5, \dots, 7\ell + 2$
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2)$	$2\ell + 1$	$6\ell + 2$
$(4\ell + 2, 6\ell + 3)$	$4\ell + 2$	$6\ell + 3$
$(4\ell + 3, 8\ell + 5)$	$4\ell + 3$	$8\ell + 5$
$(7\ell + 3, 7\ell + 4)$	$7\ell + 3$	$7\ell + 4$

Lemma T.3 (continued 3)

Proof (continued)....

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (i, 4\ell - i + 2) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\
 & (4\ell + i + 3, 8\ell - i + 4) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\
 & (5\ell + i + 2, 7\ell - i + 3) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\
 & (2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2), (4\ell + 2, 6\ell + 3), (4\ell + 3, 8\ell + 5) \text{ and } (7\ell + 3, 7\ell + 4).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (B, k) -system. In the following table we list the values of $b_r - a_r$:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	$b_r - a_r$	Range of $b_r - a_r$
$(i, 4\ell - i + 2)$	$4\ell - 2i + 2$	$2, 4, \dots, 4\ell$ even
$(4\ell + i + 3, 8\ell - i + 4)$	$4\ell - 2i + 1$	$2\ell + 3, 2\ell + 5, \dots, 4\ell - 1$ odd
$(5\ell + i + 2, 7\ell - i + 3)$	$2\ell - 2i + 1$	$3, 5, \dots, 2\ell - 1$ odd
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2)$	$4\ell + 1$	$4\ell + 1$
$(4\ell + 2, 6\ell + 3)$	$2\ell + 1$	$2\ell + 1$
$(4\ell + 3, 8\ell + 5)$	$4\ell + 2$	$4\ell + 2$
$(7\ell + 3, 7\ell + 4)$	1	1

Lemma T.3 (continued 3)

Proof (continued)...

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (i, 4\ell - i + 2) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell\}, \\
 & (4\ell + i + 3, 8\ell - i + 4) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\
 & (5\ell + i + 2, 7\ell - i + 3) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\
 & (2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2), (4\ell + 2, 6\ell + 3), (4\ell + 3, 8\ell + 5) \text{ and } (7\ell + 3, 7\ell + 4).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (B, k) -system. In the following table we list the values of $b_r - a_r$:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	$b_r - a_r$	Range of $b_r - a_r$
$(i, 4\ell - i + 2)$	$4\ell - 2i + 2$	$2, 4, \dots, 4\ell$ even
$(4\ell + i + 3, 8\ell - i + 4)$	$4\ell - 2i + 1$	$2\ell + 3, 2\ell + 5, \dots, 4\ell - 1$ odd
$(5\ell + i + 2, 7\ell - i + 3)$	$2\ell - 2i + 1$	$3, 5, \dots, 2\ell - 1$ odd
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell + 2)$	$4\ell + 1$	$4\ell + 1$
$(4\ell + 2, 6\ell + 3)$	$2\ell + 1$	$2\ell + 1$
$(4\ell + 3, 8\ell + 5)$	$4\ell + 2$	$4\ell + 2$
$(7\ell + 3, 7\ell + 4)$	1	1

Lemma T.3 (continued 4)

Proof (continued). Now suppose $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, say $k = 4\ell - 1$. If $k = 3$ and $\ell = 1$, consider the pairs $(1, 2)$, $(3, 5)$, and $(4, 7)$. If $k \geq 7$ (and so $\ell \geq 2$) then consider the pairs

$$\begin{aligned} (4\ell + i, 8\ell - i - 2) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell - 2\}, \\ (i, 4\ell - i - 1) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\ (\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2\}, \\ (\ell, \ell + 1), (2\ell, 4\ell - 1), (2\ell + 1, 6\ell - 1), \text{ and } (4\ell, 8\ell - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (B, k) -system. In the following table we list the numbers covered by the pairs:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	Range of a_r	Range of b_r
$(4\ell + i, 8\ell - i - 2)$	$4\ell + 1, 4\ell + 2, \dots, 6\ell - 2$	$6\ell, 6\ell + 1, \dots, 8\ell - 3$
$(i, 4\ell - i - 1)$	$1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1$	$3\ell, 3\ell + 1, \dots, 4\ell - 2$
$(\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i)$	$\ell + 2, \ell + 3, \dots, 2\ell - 1$	$2\ell + 2, 2\ell + 3, \dots, 3\ell - 1$
$(\ell, \ell + 1)$	ℓ	$\ell + 1$
$(2\ell, 4\ell - 1)$	2ℓ	$4\ell - 1$
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell - 1)$	$2\ell + 1$	$6\ell - 1$
$(4\ell, 8\ell - 1)$	4ℓ	$8\ell - 1$

Lemma T.3 (continued 4)

Proof (continued). Now suppose $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, say $k = 4\ell - 1$. If $k = 3$ and $\ell = 1$, consider the pairs $(1, 2)$, $(3, 5)$, and $(4, 7)$. If $k \geq 7$ (and so $\ell \geq 2$) then consider the pairs

$$\begin{aligned} (4\ell + i, 8\ell - i - 2) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell - 2\}, \\ (i, 4\ell - i - 1) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\ (\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i) &\quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2\}, \\ (\ell, \ell + 1), (2\ell, 4\ell - 1), (2\ell + 1, 6\ell - 1), \text{ and } (4\ell, 8\ell - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (B, k) -system. In the following table we list the numbers covered by the pairs:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	Range of a_r	Range of b_r
$(4\ell + i, 8\ell - i - 2)$	$4\ell + 1, 4\ell + 2, \dots, 6\ell - 2$	$6\ell, 6\ell + 1, \dots, 8\ell - 3$
$(i, 4\ell - i - 1)$	$1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1$	$3\ell, 3\ell + 1, \dots, 4\ell - 2$
$(\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i)$	$\ell + 2, \ell + 3, \dots, 2\ell - 1$	$2\ell + 2, 2\ell + 3, \dots, 3\ell - 1$
$(\ell, \ell + 1)$	ℓ	$\ell + 1$
$(2\ell, 4\ell - 1)$	2ℓ	$4\ell - 1$
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell - 1)$	$2\ell + 1$	$6\ell - 1$
$(4\ell, 8\ell - 1)$	4ℓ	$8\ell - 1$

Lemma T.3 (continued 5)

Proof (continued) . . .

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (4\ell + i, 8\ell - i - 2) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell - 2\}, \\
 & (i, 4\ell - i - 1) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\
 & (\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2\}, \\
 & (\ell, \ell + 1), (2\ell, 4\ell - 1), (2\ell + 1, 6\ell - 1), \text{ and } (4\ell, 8\ell - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (B, k) -system. In the following table we list the values of $b_r - a_r$:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	$b_r - a_r$	Range of $b_r - a_r$
$(4\ell + i, 8\ell - i - 2)$	$4\ell - 2i - 2$	$2, 4, \dots, 4\ell - 4$ even
$(i, 4\ell - i - 1)$	$4\ell - 2i - 1$	$2\ell + 1, 2\ell + 3, \dots, 4\ell - 3$ odd
$(\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i)$	$2\ell - 2i - 1$	$3, 5, \dots, 2\ell - 3$ odd
$(\ell, \ell + 1)$	1	1
$(2\ell, 4\ell - 1)$	$2\ell - 1$	$2\ell - 1$
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell - 1)$	$4\ell - 2$	$4\ell - 2$
$(4\ell, 8\ell - 1)$	$4\ell - 1$	$4\ell - 1$

□

Lemma T.3 (continued 5)

Proof (continued)...

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (4\ell + i, 8\ell - i - 2) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\ell - 2\}, \\
 & (i, 4\ell - i - 1) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1\}, \\
 & (\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i) \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2\}, \\
 & (\ell, \ell + 1), (2\ell, 4\ell - 1), (2\ell + 1, 6\ell - 1), \text{ and } (4\ell, 8\ell - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

We now check these pairs to insure that they are a (B, k) -system. In the following table we list the values of $b_r - a_r$:

Pairs (a_r, b_r)	$b_r - a_r$	Range of $b_r - a_r$
$(4\ell + i, 8\ell - i - 2)$	$4\ell - 2i - 2$	$2, 4, \dots, 4\ell - 4$ even
$(i, 4\ell - i - 1)$	$4\ell - 2i - 1$	$2\ell + 1, 2\ell + 3, \dots, 4\ell - 3$ odd
$(\ell + i + 1, 3\ell - i)$	$2\ell - 2i - 1$	$3, 5, \dots, 2\ell - 3$ odd
$(\ell, \ell + 1)$	1	1
$(2\ell, 4\ell - 1)$	$2\ell - 1$	$2\ell - 1$
$(2\ell + 1, 6\ell - 1)$	$4\ell - 2$	$4\ell - 2$
$(4\ell, 8\ell - 1)$	$4\ell - 1$	$4\ell - 1$

□

Lemma T.4

Lemma T.4. There exists a cyclic $STS(n)$ for all $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, say $n = 6k + 1$. Let the (a_r, b_r) 's be from a (A, k) -system when $k \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$ and from a (B, k) -system when $k \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. The triples

$$\{[j, r+j, b_r + k + j] \mid r \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}\}$$

form a $STS(n)$. This is because a (A, k) -system and a (B, k) -system give solutions to Heffter's First Difference Problem and hence can be used to give the "base blocks" for cyclic $STS(n)$. □

Lemma T.4

Lemma T.4. There exists a cyclic $STS(n)$ for all $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. Let $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, say $n = 6k + 1$. Let the (a_r, b_r) 's be from a (A, k) -system when $k \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$ and from a (B, k) -system when $k \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. The triples

$$\{[j, r+j, b_r + k + j] \mid r \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}\}$$

form a $STS(n)$. This is because a (A, k) -system and a (B, k) -system give solutions to Heffter's First Difference Problem and hence can be used to give the "base blocks" for cyclic $STS(n)$. □

Lemma T.6

Lemma T.6. There exists a cyclic $STS(n)$ for all $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $n \neq 9$.

Proof. Let $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, say $n = 6k + 3$ where $n \geq 15$. Let the (a_r, b_r) 's be from a (C, k) -system when $k \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$ and from a (D, k) -system when $k \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. The triples

$$\{[j, r+j, b_r + k + j] \mid r \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n/3 - 1\}\}$$

$$\cup \{[j, 2k + 1 + j, 4k + 2 + j] \mid j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}\}$$

form a $STS(n)$. This is because a (C, k) -system and a (D, k) -system give solutions to Heffter's Second Difference Problem and hence can be used to give the "base blocks" for cyclic $STS(n)$. □

Lemma T.6

Lemma T.6. There exists a cyclic $STS(n)$ for all $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $n \neq 9$.

Proof. Let $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, say $n = 6k + 3$ where $n \geq 15$. Let the (a_r, b_r) 's be from a (C, k) -system when $k \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$ and from a (D, k) -system when $k \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. The triples

$$\{[j, r+j, b_r + k + j] \mid r \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n/3 - 1\}\}$$

$$\cup \{[j, 2k + 1 + j, 4k + 2 + j] \mid j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}\}$$

form a $STS(n)$. This is because a (C, k) -system and a (D, k) -system give solutions to Heffter's Second Difference Problem and hence can be used to give the "base blocks" for cyclic $STS(n)$. □

Theorem T.1

Theorem T.1. A $STS(n)$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. The necessary conditions are given in Lemma T.1. A $STS(n)$, where $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, is shown to exist in Lemma T.4. A $STS(n)$, where $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $n \neq 9$, is shown to exist in Lemma T.6.

Theorem T.1

Theorem T.1. A $STS(n)$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. The necessary conditions are given in Lemma T.1. A $STS(n)$, where $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, is shown to exist in Lemma T.4. A $STS(n)$, where $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $n \neq 9$, is shown to exist in Lemma T.6. For $n = 9$, a $STS(9)$ is given by the triples $\{[0, 1, 2], [4, 3, 0], [2, 8, 4], [0, 5, 6], [4, 7, 5], [2, 6, 7], [0, 7, 8], [4, 6, 1], [2, 5, 6], [1, 3, 7], [3, 8, 6], [8, 1, 5]\}$. Therefore, a $STS(n)$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$. □

Theorem T.1

Theorem T.1. A $STS(n)$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.

Proof. The necessary conditions are given in Lemma T.1. A $STS(n)$, where $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, is shown to exist in Lemma T.4. A $STS(n)$, where $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $n \neq 9$, is shown to exist in Lemma T.6. For $n = 9$, a $STS(9)$ is given by the triples $\{[0, 1, 2], [4, 3, 0], [2, 8, 4], [0, 5, 6], [4, 7, 5], [2, 6, 7], [0, 7, 8], [4, 6, 1], [2, 5, 6], [1, 3, 7], [3, 8, 6], [8, 1, 5]\}$. Therefore, a $STS(n)$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$. □