Theorem 24.1

### Introduction to Topology

# Chapter 3. Connectedness and Compactness

Section 24. Connected Subspaces of the Real Line—Proofs of Theorems

**∀** 



**Theorem 24.1.** If L is a linear continuum in the order topology, then L is connected and so are intervals and rays in L. **Proof.** Recall that a subspace Y of L is *convex* if for every pair of points  $a, b \in Y$  with a < b, then entire interval  $[a, b] = \{x \in L \mid a \le x \le b\}$  lies

Let Y be convex. ASSUME that Y has a separation and that Y is the union of disjoint nonempty sets A and B, each of which is open in Y. Choose  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . WLOG, say a < b. Since Y is convex then  $[a,b] \subset Y$ . Hence [a,b] is the union of the disjoint sets  $A_0 = A \cap [a,b]$  and  $B_0 = B \cap [a,b]$ , each of which is open in [a,b] in the subspace topology on [a,b] (since A and B are open in Y) which is the same as the order topology (by Theorem 16.4). Since  $a \in A_0$  and  $b \in B_0$ , then  $A_0 \neq \varnothing \neq B_0$  and so  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  form a separation of [a,b].

Introduction to Topolog

## Theorem 24.1 (continued 1)

**Proof (continued).** Let  $c = \sup A_0$ . We now show in to cases that  $c \notin A_0$  and  $c \notin B_0$ , which CONTRADICTS the fact that  $[a,b] = A_0 \cup B_0$  (since  $A_0 \subset [a,b]$  then b is an upper bound for  $A_0$  and so  $a \le c \le b$  and so  $c \in [a,b] = A_0 \cup B_0$ ). From this contradiction, it follows that Y is connected.

**Case 1.** Suppose  $c \in B_0$ . Then  $c \neq a$  (since  $a \in A$  and  $A \cap B = \varnothing$ ). So either c = b or a < c < b. In either case, since  $B_0$  is open in [a, b] then there is some interval of the form  $(d, c] \subset B_0$ . If c = b we have a contradiction since this implies that d is an upper bound of  $A_0$ , but d < c. If c < b we note that  $(c, d] \cap A_0 = \varnothing$  since c is an upper bound of  $A_0$ . Then (with d as above where  $(d, c] \subset B_0$ ) we have that  $(d, b] = (d, c] \cup (c, b]$  does not intersect  $A_0$ . Again, d is a smaller upper bound of  $A_0$  than c, a CONTRADICTION. We conclude that  $c \notin B_0$ .

## Theorem 24.1 (continued 2)

**Theorem 24.1.** If L is a linear continuum in the order topology, then L is connected and so are intervals and rays in L.

#### Proof (continued).

**Case 2.** Suppose  $c \in A_0$ . Then  $c \neq b$  since  $b \in B$ . So either c = a of a < c < b. Because  $A_0$  is open in [a, b], there must be some interval of the form [c, e) contained in  $A_0$ . By property (2) of the linear continuum L there is  $z \in L$  such that c < z < e. Then  $z \in A_0$ , CONTRADICTING the fact that c is an upper bound of  $A_0$ . We conclude that  $c \notin A_0$ .

We have shown that if Y is a convex subset of L then Y is connected. Notice that intervals and rays are convex sets and so are connected.

Introduction to Topology July 19, 2016 4 / 7 Introduction to Topology

July 19, 2016 5 / 7

Theorem 24.3. Intermediate Value Theorer

Lemma 24.A

# Theorem 24.3. Intermediate Value Theorem

#### Theorem 24.A

## Theorem 24.3. Intermediate Value Theorem

Let  $f: X \to Y$  be a continuum map, where X is a connected space and Y is an ordered set in the order topology. If a and b are two points of X and if r is a point of Y lying between f(a) and f(b), then there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that f(c) = r.

**Proof.** Suppose f, X, and Y are as hypothesized. The sets  $A = f(X) \cap (-\infty, r)$  and  $B = f(X) \cap (r, +\infty)$  are disjoint (since  $(-\infty, r)$  and  $(r, +\infty)$ ) are disjoint) and nonempty since f(a) is in one of these sets and f(b) is in the other. Each is open in f(X) under the subspace topology. ASSUME there is no point  $c \in X$  such that f(c) = r. Then  $f(X) = A \cup B$  and A and B form a separation of f(X). But since X is connected and f is continuous then f(X) is connected by Theorem 23.5, a CONTRADICTION. So the assumption that there is no such  $c \in X$  is false and hence f(c) = r for some  $c \in X$ .

**Lemma 24.A.** If space X is path connected then it is connected

**Proof.** Let X be path connected. ASSUME X is not connected and that A and B form a separation of X. Let  $f:[a,b] \to X$  be any path in X. Since f is continuous and [a,b] is a connected set in  $\mathbb{R}$ , so by Theorem 23.5, f([a,b]) is connected in X. So by Lemma 23.2, f([a,b]) lies either entirely in A or entirely in B. But this cannot be the case if a is chosen from A and b is chosen from B, a CONTRADICTION. So the assumption that a separation of X exists is false and so space X is connected.