Introduction to Topology

Chapter 4. Countability and Separation Axioms Section 35. The Tietze Extension Theorem—Proofs of Theorems





Theorem 35.1. The Tietze Extension Theorem.

Let X be a normal space. Let A be a closed subspace of X.

- (a) Any continuous function of A into the closed interval
 [a, b] ⊂ ℝ may be extended to a continuous function on all
 of X into [a, b].
- (b) Any continuous function of A into \mathbb{R} may be extended to a continuous function on all of X into \mathbb{R} .

Proof. We follow Munkres' three step proof and construct the desired function as a limit of a sequence of functions.

Theorem 35.1. The Tietze Extension Theorem.

Let X be a normal space. Let A be a closed subspace of X.

- (a) Any continuous function of A into the closed interval
 [a, b] ⊂ ℝ may be extended to a continuous function on all
 of X into [a, b].
- (b) Any continuous function of A into \mathbb{R} may be extended to a continuous function on all of X into \mathbb{R} .

Proof. We follow Munkres' three step proof and construct the desired function as a limit of a sequence of functions.

<u>Step 1</u>. First, we consider the case $f : A \to [-r, r]$. We construct continuous $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that |g(x)| < r/3 for all $x \in X$ and |g(a) - f(a)| < 2r/3 for all $a \in A$.

Theorem 35.1. The Tietze Extension Theorem.

Let X be a normal space. Let A be a closed subspace of X.

- (a) Any continuous function of A into the closed interval
 [a, b] ⊂ ℝ may be extended to a continuous function on all
 of X into [a, b].
- (b) Any continuous function of A into \mathbb{R} may be extended to a continuous function on all of X into \mathbb{R} .

Proof. We follow Munkres' three step proof and construct the desired function as a limit of a sequence of functions. <u>Step 1.</u> First, we consider the case $f : A \to [-r, r]$. We construct continuous $g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that |g(x)| < r/3 for all $x \in X$ and |g(a) - f(a)| < 2r/3 for all $a \in A$. For the construction, define $l_1 = [-r, -r/3]$, $l_2 = [-r/3, r/3]$, and $l_3 = [r/3, r]$. Let $B = f^{-1}(l_1)$ and $C = f^{-1}(l_3)$ (subsets of A. Since f is continuous then B and C are closed (by Theorem 18.1(3)) and are disjoint.

Theorem 35.1. The Tietze Extension Theorem.

Let X be a normal space. Let A be a closed subspace of X.

- (a) Any continuous function of A into the closed interval
 [a, b] ⊂ ℝ may be extended to a continuous function on all
 of X into [a, b].
- (b) Any continuous function of A into \mathbb{R} may be extended to a continuous function on all of X into \mathbb{R} .

Proof. We follow Munkres' three step proof and construct the desired function as a limit of a sequence of functions. Step 1. First, we consider the case $f : A \rightarrow [-r, r]$. We construct continuous $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that |g(x)| < r/3 for all $x \in X$ and

|g(a) - f(a)| < 2r/3 for all $a \in A$. For the construction, define $I_1 = [-r, -r/3]$, $I_2 = [-r/3, r/3]$, and $I_3 = [r/3, r]$. Let $B = f^{-1}(I_1)$ and $C = f^{-1}(I_3)$ (subsets of A. Since f is continuous then B and C are closed (by Theorem 18.1(3)) and are disjoint.

Proof (continued). Therefore *B* and *C* are closed in *X* (by Theorem 17.2). By Urysohn's lemma (Theorem 33.1) there is a continuous $g: X \to [-r/3, r/3]$ such that g(x) = -r/3 for $x \in B$ and g(x) = r/3 for $x \in C$.

Then $|g(x)| \le r/3$ for all $x \in X$. To show |g(a) - f(a)| < 2r/3 for all $a \in A$, we consider three cases.

Proof (continued). Therefore *B* and *C* are closed in *X* (by Theorem 17.2). By Urysohn's lemma (Theorem 33.1) there is a continuous $g: X \to [-r/3, r/3]$ such that g(x) = -r/3 for $x \in B$ and g(x) = r/3 for $x \in C$.

Then $|g(x)| \le r/3$ for all $x \in X$. To show |g(a) - f(a)| < 2r/3 for all $a \in A$, we consider three cases. First, if $a \in B$ then $f(a) \in l_1$ (since $B = f^{-1}(l_1)$) and $g(a) = -r/3 \in l_1 = [-r/3, r/3]$.

Proof (continued). Therefore *B* and *C* are closed in *X* (by Theorem 17.2). By Urysohn's lemma (Theorem 33.1) there is a continuous $g: X \to [-r/3, r/3]$ such that g(x) = -r/3 for $x \in B$ and g(x) = r/3 for $x \in C$.

Then $|g(x)| \le r/3$ for all $x \in X$. To show |g(a) - f(a)| < 2r/3 for all $a \in A$, we consider three cases. First, if $a \in B$ then $f(a) \in I_1$ (since $B = f^{-1}(I_1)$) and $g(a) = -r/3 \in I_1 = [-r/3, r/3]$. Second, if $a \in C$ then $f(a) \in I_3$ (since $C = f^{-1}(I_3)$) and $g(a) = r/3 \in I_3 = [r/3, r]$.

Proof (continued). Therefore *B* and *C* are closed in *X* (by Theorem 17.2). By Urysohn's lemma (Theorem 33.1) there is a continuous $g: X \to [-r/3, r/3]$ such that g(x) = -r/3 for $x \in B$ and g(x) = r/3 for $x \in C$.

Then $|g(x)| \le r/3$ for all $x \in X$. To show |g(a) - f(a)| < 2r/3 for all $a \in A$, we consider three cases. First, if $a \in B$ then $f(a) \in I_1$ (since $B = f^{-1}(I_1)$) and $g(a) = -r/3 \in I_1 = [-r/3, r/3]$. Second, if $a \in C$ then $f(a) \in I_3$ (since $C = f^{-1}(I_3)$) and $g(a) = r/3 \in I_3 = [r/3, r]$. Thirdly, if $a \in B \cup C$ then $f(a) \in (-r/3, r/3) \subset [-r/3, r/3] = I_2$ (since a is not in $B = f^{-1}([-r, -r/3])$ nor in $C = f^{-1}([r/3, r])$) and $g(a) = r/3 \in I_3 = [-r/3, r/3]$.

$$\operatorname{diam}(I_1) = \operatorname{diam}(I_2) = \operatorname{diam}(I_3) = 2r/3.$$

Proof (continued). Therefore *B* and *C* are closed in *X* (by Theorem 17.2). By Urysohn's lemma (Theorem 33.1) there is a continuous $g: X \to [-r/3, r/3]$ such that g(x) = -r/3 for $x \in B$ and g(x) = r/3 for $x \in C$.

Then $|g(x)| \le r/3$ for all $x \in X$. To show |g(a) - f(a)| < 2r/3 for all $a \in A$, we consider three cases. First, if $a \in B$ then $f(a) \in I_1$ (since $B = f^{-1}(I_1)$) and $g(a) = -r/3 \in I_1 = [-r/3, r/3]$. Second, if $a \in C$ then $f(a) \in I_3$ (since $C = f^{-1}(I_3)$) and $g(a) = r/3 \in I_3 = [r/3, r]$. Thirdly, if $a \in B \cup C$ then $f(a) \in (-r/3, r/3) \subset [-r/3, r/3] = I_2$ (since a is not in $B = f^{-1}([-r, -r/3])$ nor in $C = f^{-1}([r/3, r])$) and $g(a) = r/3 \in I_3 = [-r/3, r/3]$.

$$\mathsf{diam}(I_1) = \mathsf{diam}(I_2) = \mathsf{diam}(I_3) = 2r/3.$$

Proof (continued).

Step 2. We now prove part (a). Without loss of generality we take $\overline{[a,b]} = [-1,1]$. Let $f: X \to [-1,1]$ be a continuous function. With r = 1, by Step 1 there is continuous real-valued g_1 defined on all of X such that

 $|g_1(x)| \le 1/3 \text{ for } x \in X$ $|f(a) - g_1(a)| \le 2/3 \text{ for } a \in A.$

Now consider the function $f - g_1$. This maps A into the interval [-2/3, 2/3] (since $|f(a) - g_1(a)| \le 2/3$ for all $a \in A$).

Proof (continued).

Step 2. We now prove part (a). Without loss of generality we take $\overline{[a,b]} = [-1,1]$. Let $f: X \to [-1,1]$ be a continuous function. With r = 1, by Step 1 there is continuous real-valued g_1 defined on all of X such that

 $\begin{aligned} |g_1(x)| &\leq 1/3 \text{ for } x \in X \\ |f(a) - g_1(a)| &\leq 2/3 \text{ for } a \in A. \end{aligned}$

Now consider the function $f - g_1$. This maps A into the interval [-2/3, 2/3] (since $|f(a) - g_1(a)| \le 2/3$ for all $a \in A$). Now let r = 2/3 and apply Step 1 to $f - g_1$ to product real-valued functions g_2 defined on all of X such that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_2(x)| &\leq (1/3)(2/3) \text{ for } x \in X \\ |(f(a) - g_1(a))) - g_2(a)| &\leq (2/3)^2 \text{ for } a \in A. \end{aligned}$$

So $f - g_1 - g_2$ maps A into the interval $[-(2/3)^2, (2/3)^2]$.

Proof (continued).

Step 2. We now prove part (a). Without loss of generality we take $\overline{[a,b]} = [-1,1]$. Let $f: X \to [-1,1]$ be a continuous function. With r = 1, by Step 1 there is continuous real-valued g_1 defined on all of X such that

 $\begin{aligned} |g_1(x)| &\leq 1/3 \text{ for } x \in X \\ |f(a) - g_1(a)| &\leq 2/3 \text{ for } a \in A. \end{aligned}$

Now consider the function $f - g_1$. This maps A into the interval [-2/3, 2/3] (since $|f(a) - g_1(a)| \le 2/3$ for all $a \in A$). Now let r = 2/3 and apply Step 1 to $f - g_1$ to product real-valued functions g_2 defined on all of X such that

$$|g_2(x)| \leq (1/3)(2/3) ext{ for } x \in X \ |(f(a) - g_1(a))) - g_2(a)| \leq (2/3)^2 ext{ for } a \in A.$$

So $f - g_1 - g_2$ maps A into the interval $[-(2/3)^2, (2/3)^2]$.

Proof (continued). Apply Step 1 to $f - g_1 - g_2$ to produce g_3 , and so forth so that g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n are defined on X and

$$|f(a) - g_1(a) - g_2(a) - \cdots - g_n(a)| \le (2/3)^n$$

for all $a \in A$. Inductively, Step 1 gives g_{n+1} defined on X such that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{n+1}(x)| &\leq (1/3)(2/3)^n \text{ for } x \in X\\ |f(a) - g_1(a) - g_2(a) - \dots - g_{n+1}(a)| &\leq (2/3)^{n+1} \text{ for } a \in A. \end{aligned}$$

So such g_n exist for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof (continued). Apply Step 1 to $f - g_1 - g_2$ to produce g_3 , and so forth so that g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n are defined on X and

$$|f(a) - g_1(a) - g_2(a) - \cdots - g_n(a)| \le (2/3)^n$$

for all $a \in A$. Inductively, Step 1 gives g_{n+1} defined on X such that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{n+1}(x)| &\leq (1/3)(2/3)^n \text{ for } x \in X \\ |f(a) - g_1(a) - g_2(a) - \dots - g_{n+1}(a)| &\leq (2/3)^{n+1} \text{ for } a \in A. \end{aligned}$$

So such g_n exist for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $g(x) - \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} g_n(x)$ for $x \in X$. For any $x \in X$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g_n(x)| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/3)(2/3)^{n-1} = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1-2/3}\right) = 1$$

and so the series converges absolutely for all $x \in X$.

Proof (continued). Apply Step 1 to $f - g_1 - g_2$ to produce g_3 , and so forth so that g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n are defined on X and

$$|f(a) - g_1(a) - g_2(a) - \cdots - g_n(a)| \le (2/3)^n$$

for all $a \in A$. Inductively, Step 1 gives g_{n+1} defined on X such that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{n+1}(x)| &\leq (1/3)(2/3)^n \text{ for } x \in X \\ |f(a) - g_1(a) - g_2(a) - \dots - g_{n+1}(a)| &\leq (2/3)^{n+1} \text{ for } a \in A. \end{aligned}$$

So such g_n exist for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define $g(x) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)$ for $x \in X$. For any $x \in X$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g_n(x)| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/3)(2/3)^{n-1} = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1-2/3}\right) = 1$$

and so the series converges absolutely for all $x \in X$.

()

Proof (continued). To show g is continuous, we need to show the convergence of the sequence of partial sums if *uniform* (recall that the uniform limit of a sequence of continuous functions is continuous by the Uniform Limit Theorem, Theorem 21.6, since \mathbb{R} is a metric space). Recall the Weierstrass *M*-Test (see page 135): If $f_i : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with $|f_i(x)| \le M_i$ for all $x \in X$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and if the positive term series $\sum M_i$ converges, then the sequence of partial sums, (s_n) where $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a)$, converges uniformly on *X*. With $M_i = (1/3)(2/3)^{i-1}$, the uniform convergence and hence the continuity of g follows (Munkres also gives a direct argument of the uniform convergence).

Proof (continued). To show g is continuous, we need to show the convergence of the sequence of partial sums if *uniform* (recall that the uniform limit of a sequence of continuous functions is continuous by the Uniform Limit Theorem, Theorem 21.6, since \mathbb{R} is a metric space). Recall the Weierstrass *M*-Test (see page 135): If $f_i : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with $|f_i(x)| \leq M_i$ for all $x \in X$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and if the positive term series $\sum M_i$ converges, then the sequence of partial sums, (s_n) where $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a)$, converges uniformly on *X*. With $M_i = (1/3)(2/3)^{i-1}$, the uniform convergence and hence the continuity of g follows (Munkres also gives a direct argument of the uniform convergence).

Next, to show g(a) = f(a) for all $a \in A$. Let $s_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x)$. Since

$$\left| f(a) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(a) \right| = \left| f(a) - s_n(a) \right| \le (2/3)^n$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof (continued). To show g is continuous, we need to show the convergence of the sequence of partial sums if *uniform* (recall that the uniform limit of a sequence of continuous functions is continuous by the Uniform Limit Theorem, Theorem 21.6, since \mathbb{R} is a metric space). Recall the Weierstrass *M*-Test (see page 135): If $f_i : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with $|f_i(x)| \leq M_i$ for all $x \in X$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and if the positive term series $\sum M_i$ converges, then the sequence of partial sums, (s_n) where $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a)$, converges uniformly on *X*. With $M_i = (1/3)(2/3)^{i-1}$, the uniform convergence and hence the continuity of g follows (Munkres also gives a direct argument of the uniform convergence).

Next, to show g(a) = f(a) for all $a \in A$. Let $s_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x)$. Since

$$\left|f(a) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(a)\right| = |f(a) - s_n(a)| \le (2/3)^n$$

for all $a \in A$. So as $n \to \infty$, $s_n(a) \to f(a)$. That is, $g(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n(a) = f(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

Proof (continued). To show g is continuous, we need to show the convergence of the sequence of partial sums if *uniform* (recall that the uniform limit of a sequence of continuous functions is continuous by the Uniform Limit Theorem, Theorem 21.6, since \mathbb{R} is a metric space). Recall the Weierstrass *M*-Test (see page 135): If $f_i : X \to \mathbb{R}$ with $|f_i(x)| \leq M_i$ for all $x \in X$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and if the positive term series $\sum M_i$ converges, then the sequence of partial sums, (s_n) where $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(a)$, converges uniformly on *X*. With $M_i = (1/3)(2/3)^{i-1}$, the uniform convergence and hence the continuity of g follows (Munkres also gives a direct argument of the uniform convergence).

Next, to show g(a) = f(a) for all $a \in A$. Let $s_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x)$. Since

$$\left|f(a) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(a)\right| = |f(a) - s_n(a)| \le (2/3)^n$$

for all $a \in A$. So as $n \to \infty$, $s_n(a) \to f(a)$. That is, $g(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n(a) = f(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

Proof (continued). Finally, we show that g maps X into [-1, 1]. This follows as above since

$$|g(x)| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) \right| = \left| \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} g_n(x) \right|$$
$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} g_n(x) \right| \text{ since the metric on } \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous}$$
$$\leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |g_n(x)| \text{ by the Triangle Inequality}$$
$$\leq \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{n-1} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{n-1} = 1.$$

Therefore, part (a) of the result follows.

Proof (continued). Finally, we show that g maps X into [-1, 1]. This follows as above since

$$|g(x)| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) \right| = \left| \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} g_n(x) \right|$$
$$= \left| \lim_{N \to \infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} g_n(x) \right| \text{ since the metric on } \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous}$$
$$\leq \left| \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} |g_n(x)| \text{ by the Triangle Inequality} \right|$$
$$\leq \left| \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{n-1} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{n-1} = 1.$$

Therefore, part (a) of the result follows.

Proof (continued).

Step 3. Now to prove part (b) of the theorem. Since the open interval $\overline{(-1,1)}$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} (under $x \mapsto x/(x^2 - 1)$, say), we can replace \mathbb{R} by (-1,1). By the already proved part (a), we know that f can be extended to a continuous $g : X \to [-1,1]$ (into). Now we need to modify g to a function h which maps X into (-1,1) (in fact the event that the image of X under g includes -1 or 1).

Introduction to Topology

Proof (continued).

<u>Step 3.</u> Now to prove part (b) of the theorem. Since the open interval $\overline{(-1,1)}$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} (under $x \mapsto x/(x^2 - 1)$, say), we can replace \mathbb{R} by (-1,1). By the already proved part (a), we know that f can be extended to a continuous $g: X \to [-1,1]$ (into). Now we need to modify g to a function h which maps X into (-1,1) (in fact the event that the image of X under g includes -1 or 1).

Given g as above, define $D \subset X$ by $D = g^{-1}(\{-1\}) \cup g^{-1}(\{a\})$. Since g is continuous, by Theorem 18.1(3), D is closed in X. Now g(A) = f(A) (by Step 2) and $f(A) \subset (-1, 1)$ by hypothesis, so set A is disjoint from set D.

Proof (continued).

Step 3. Now to prove part (b) of the theorem. Since the open interval (-1,1) is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} (under $x \mapsto x/(x^2-1)$, say), we can replace \mathbb{R} by (-1, 1). By the already proved part (a), we know that f can be extended to a continuous $g: X \to [-1, 1]$ (into). Now we need to modify g to a function h which maps X into (-1, 1) (in fact the event that the image of X under g includes -1 or 1). Given g as above, define $D \subset X$ by $D = g^{-1}(\{-1\}) \cup g^{-1}(\{a\})$. Since g is continuous, by Theorem 18.1(3), D is closed in X. Now g(A) = f(A)(by Step 2) and $f(A) \subset (-1,1)$ by hypothesis, so set A is disjoint from set D. Since D is closed, by Urysohn's Lemma (Theorem 33.1) there is continuous $\varphi : X \to [0, 1]$ such that $\varphi(D) = \{0\}$ and $\varphi(A) = \{1\}$.

Proof (continued).

Step 3. Now to prove part (b) of the theorem. Since the open interval (-1,1) is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} (under $x \mapsto x/(x^2-1)$, say), we can replace \mathbb{R} by (-1, 1). By the already proved part (a), we know that f can be extended to a continuous $g: X \to [-1, 1]$ (into). Now we need to modify g to a function h which maps X into (-1, 1) (in fact the event that the image of X under g includes -1 or 1). Given g as above, define $D \subset X$ by $D = g^{-1}(\{-1\}) \cup g^{-1}(\{a\})$. Since g is continuous, by Theorem 18.1(3), D is closed in X. Now g(A) = f(A)(by Step 2) and $f(A) \subset (-1, 1)$ by hypothesis, so set A is disjoint from set D. Since D is closed, by Urysohn's Lemma (Theorem 33.1) there is continuous $\varphi: X \to [0,1]$ such that $\varphi(D) = \{0\}$ and $\varphi(A) = \{1\}$. Define $h(x) = \varphi(x)g(x)$. Then h is continuous and for $a \in A$ we have $h(a) = \varphi(a)g(a) = 1 \cdot g(a) = g(a) = f(a)$, so that h is an extension of f from Set A to all of X.

Proof (continued).

Step 3. Now to prove part (b) of the theorem. Since the open interval (-1,1) is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} (under $x \mapsto x/(x^2-1)$, say), we can replace \mathbb{R} by (-1, 1). By the already proved part (a), we know that f can be extended to a continuous $g: X \to [-1, 1]$ (into). Now we need to modify g to a function h which maps X into (-1, 1) (in fact the event that the image of X under g includes -1 or 1). Given g as above, define $D \subset X$ by $D = g^{-1}(\{-1\}) \cup g^{-1}(\{a\})$. Since g is continuous, by Theorem 18.1(3), D is closed in X. Now g(A) = f(A)(by Step 2) and $f(A) \subset (-1, 1)$ by hypothesis, so set A is disjoint from set D. Since D is closed, by Urysohn's Lemma (Theorem 33.1) there is continuous $\varphi: X \to [0, 1]$ such that $\varphi(D) = \{0\}$ and $\varphi(A) = \{1\}$. Define $h(x) = \varphi(x)g(x)$. Then h is continuous and for $a \in A$ we have $h(a) = \varphi(a)g(a) = 1 \cdot g(a) = g(a) = f(a)$, so that h is an extension of f from Set A to all of X.

Theorem 35.1. The Tietze Extension Theorem.

Let X be a normal space. Let A be a closed subspace of X.

- (a) Any continuous function of A into the closed interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ may be extended to a continuous function on all of X into [a, b].
- (b) Any continuous function of A into \mathbb{R} may be extended to a continuous function on all of X into \mathbb{R} .

Proof (continued). For $x \in D$, $h(x) = 0 \cdot g(x) = 0$ and for $x \notin D$, $|h(x)| = |\varphi(x)g(x)| \le |g(x)| < 1$ (since the only x for which |g(x)| = 1 are $x \in D$). Therefore, $h: X \to (-1, 1)$ and h is the desired extension of f from X to (-1, 1) (and so f can be composed with $x/(x^2 - 1)$ to give the extension of f from X to \mathbb{R}). So part (b) follows.