# Introduction to Topology

Chapter 7. Complete Metric Spaces and Function Spaces Section 43. Complete Metric Spaces—Proofs of Theorems



# Table of contents

- 1 Lemma 43.1
- 2 Theorem 43.2
- 3 Lemma 43.3
- 4 Theorem 43.4
- 5 Theorem 43.5
- 6 Theorem 43.6
  - Theorem 43.7

# **Lemma 43.1.** A metric space (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X has a convergent subsequence.

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in (X, d). Let  $(x_{n_i})$  be a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  that converges to some  $x \in X$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Lemma 43.1.** A metric space (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X has a convergent subsequence.

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in (X, d). Let  $(x_{n_i})$  be a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  that converges to some  $x \in X$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n, m \ge N_1$ . Since  $(x_{n_i}) \to x$ , let  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n_i \ge N_2$  we have  $d(x_{n_i}, x) < \varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 43.1.** A metric space (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X has a convergent subsequence.

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in (X, d). Let  $(x_{n_i})$  be a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  that converges to some  $x \in X$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n, m \ge N_1$ . Since  $(x_{n_i}) \to x$ , let  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n_i \ge N_2$  we have  $d(x_{n_i}, x) < \varepsilon$ . So with  $n \ge N_1$  and  $n_i \ge N_2$  we have

$$d(x_n, x) \leq d(x_n, x_{n_i}) + d(x_{n_i}, x) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

So arbitrary Cauchy sequence  $(x_n)$  converges (to x) and (X, d) is complete.

**Lemma 43.1.** A metric space (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X has a convergent subsequence.

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in (X, d). Let  $(x_{n_i})$  be a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  that converges to some  $x \in X$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n, m \ge N_1$ . Since  $(x_{n_i}) \to x$ , let  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n_i \ge N_2$  we have  $d(x_{n_i}, x) < \varepsilon$ . So with  $n \ge N_1$  and  $n_i \ge N_2$  we have

$$d(x_n,x) \leq d(x_n,x_{n_i}) + d(x_{n_i},x) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

So arbitrary Cauchy sequence  $(x_n)$  converges (to x) and (X, d) is complete.

#### Theorem 43.2

**Theorem 43.2.** Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^k$  (where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is complete in either of its usual metrics, the Euclidean metric d or the square metric  $\rho$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \rho)$ . Notice that with  $\varepsilon = 1$  there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \ge N$  we have  $\rho(x_n, x_m) \le 1$ , so

 $M = \max\{\rho(x_1, \mathbf{0}), \rho(x_2, \mathbf{0}), \dots, \rho(x_{N-1}), \rho(x_N, \mathbf{0}) + 1\}$ 

is an upper bound for  $\rho(x_n, \mathbf{0})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (that is,  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence). So  $(x_n) \subset [-M, M]^k$ .

**Theorem 43.2.** Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^k$  (where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is complete in either of its usual metrics, the Euclidean metric d or the square metric  $\rho$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \rho)$ . Notice that with  $\varepsilon = 1$  there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \ge N$  we have  $\rho(x_n, x_m) \le 1$ , so

$$M = \max\{\rho(x_1, \mathbf{0}), \rho(x_2, \mathbf{0}), \dots, \rho(x_{N-1}), \rho(x_N, \mathbf{0}) + 1\}$$

is an upper bound for  $\rho(x_n, \mathbf{0})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (that is,  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence). So  $(x_n) \subset [-M, M]^k$ . Now the cube  $[-M, M]^k$  is closed and bounded and so is compact by Theorem 27.3 (The Heine-Borel Theorem) in both  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \rho)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^k, d)$ . By Theorem 28.2,  $[-M, M]^k$  is sequentially compact and so (by the definition of sequentially compact)  $(x_n)$  has a convergent subsequence; so by Lemma 43.1,  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \rho)$  is complete.

**Theorem 43.2.** Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^k$  (where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is complete in either of its usual metrics, the Euclidean metric d or the square metric  $\rho$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \rho)$ . Notice that with  $\varepsilon = 1$  there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \ge N$  we have  $\rho(x_n, x_m) \le 1$ , so

$$M = \max\{\rho(x_1, \mathbf{0}), \rho(x_2, \mathbf{0}), \dots, \rho(x_{N-1}), \rho(x_N, \mathbf{0}) + 1\}$$

is an upper bound for  $\rho(x_n, \mathbf{0})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (that is,  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence). So  $(x_n) \subset [-M, M]^k$ . Now the cube  $[-M, M]^k$  is closed and bounded and so is compact by Theorem 27.3 (The Heine-Borel Theorem) in both  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \rho)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^k, d)$ . By Theorem 28.2,  $[-M, M]^k$  is sequentially compact and so (by the definition of sequentially compact)  $(x_n)$  has a convergent subsequence; so by Lemma 43.1,  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \rho)$  is complete. By Theorem 20.3,  $\rho$  and d induce the same topology on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  (namely, the product topology) so a sequence is Cauchy (or convergent) relative to  $\rho$  if and only if it is Cauchy (or convergent, respectively) relative to d. So the same argument given above shows that  $(\mathbb{R}^k, d)$  is also complete.

**Theorem 43.2.** Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^k$  (where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is complete in either of its usual metrics, the Euclidean metric d or the square metric  $\rho$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(x_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \rho)$ . Notice that with  $\varepsilon = 1$  there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \ge N$  we have  $\rho(x_n, x_m) \le 1$ , so

 $M = \max\{\rho(x_1, \mathbf{0}), \rho(x_2, \mathbf{0}), \dots, \rho(x_{N-1}), \rho(x_N, \mathbf{0}) + 1\}$ 

is an upper bound for  $\rho(x_n, \mathbf{0})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (that is,  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence). So  $(x_n) \subset [-M, M]^k$ . Now the cube  $[-M, M]^k$  is closed and bounded and so is compact by Theorem 27.3 (The Heine-Borel Theorem) in both  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \rho)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}^k, d)$ . By Theorem 28.2,  $[-M, M]^k$  is sequentially compact and so (by the definition of sequentially compact)  $(x_n)$  has a convergent subsequence; so by Lemma 43.1,  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \rho)$  is complete. By Theorem 20.3,  $\rho$  and d induce the same topology on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  (namely, the product topology) so a sequence is Cauchy (or convergent) relative to  $\rho$  if and only if it is Cauchy (or convergent, respectively) relative to d. So the same argument given above shows that  $(\mathbb{R}^k, d)$  is also complete.

**Lemma 43.3.** Let X be the product space  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in J} X_{\alpha}$  (under the product topology) and let  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  be a sequence of points in X. Then  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$  if and only if  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\alpha \in J$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$ . Each projection  $\pi_{\alpha}$  is continuous (see the proof of Theorem 19.6), so fall all  $\alpha \in J$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) = \pi_{\alpha} \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right) \text{ by Theorem 21.3}$$
$$= \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}).$$

**Lemma 43.3.** Let X be the product space  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in J} X_{\alpha}$  (under the product topology) and let  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  be a sequence of points in X. Then  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$  if and only if  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\alpha \in J$ . **Proof.** Suppose  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$ . Each projection  $\pi_{\alpha}$  is continuous (see the proof of Theorem 19.6), so fall all  $\alpha \in J$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) = \pi_{\alpha} \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right) \text{ by Theorem 21.3}$$
$$= \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Suppose  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\alpha \in J$ . Let  $U = \prod_{\alpha \in J} U_{\alpha}$  be a basis element for X in the product topology which contains  $\mathbf{x}$  (so by Theorem 19.1,  $U_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha}$  for all but finitely many  $\alpha \in J$ ).

Introduction to Topology

**Lemma 43.3.** Let X be the product space  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in J} X_{\alpha}$  (under the product topology) and let  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  be a sequence of points in X. Then  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$  if and only if  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\alpha \in J$ . **Proof.** Suppose  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$ . Each projection  $\pi_{\alpha}$  is continuous (see the proof

of Theorem 19.6), so fall all  $\alpha \in J$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) = \pi_{\alpha} \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right) \text{ by Theorem 21.3}$$
$$= \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Suppose  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\alpha \in J$ . Let  $U = \prod_{\alpha \in J} U_{\alpha}$  be a basis element for X in the product topology which contains  $\mathbf{x}$  (so by Theorem 19.1,  $U_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha}$  for all but finitely many  $\alpha \in J$ ). For each  $\alpha \in J$  for which  $U_{\alpha} \neq X_{\alpha}$ , choose  $N_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \in U_{\alpha}$  for all  $n \geq N_{\alpha}$  (such  $N_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$  exists since  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ ). Let N be the largest of the  $N_{\alpha}$ (since there are only finitely many  $N_{\alpha}$ , there is a largest).

**Lemma 43.3.** Let X be the product space  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in J} X_{\alpha}$  (under the product topology) and let  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  be a sequence of points in X. Then  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$  if and only if  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\alpha \in J$ . **Proof.** Suppose  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$ . Each projection  $\pi_{\alpha}$  is continuous (see the proof

of Theorem 19.6), so fall all  $\alpha \in J$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) = \pi_{\alpha} \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right) \text{ by Theorem 21.3}$$
$$= \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Suppose  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\alpha \in J$ . Let  $U = \prod_{\alpha \in J} U_{\alpha}$  be a basis element for X in the product topology which contains  $\mathbf{x}$  (so by Theorem 19.1,  $U_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha}$  for all but finitely many  $\alpha \in J$ ). For each  $\alpha \in J$  for which  $U_{\alpha} \neq X_{\alpha}$ , choose  $N_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \in U_{\alpha}$  for all  $n \geq N_{\alpha}$  (such  $N_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$  exists since  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ ). Let N be the largest of the  $N_{\alpha}$ (since there are only finitely many  $N_{\alpha}$ , there is a largest). Then for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_n \in U$ . Therefore, since U is an arbitrary basis element, then  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$ .

**Lemma 43.3.** Let X be the product space  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in J} X_{\alpha}$  (under the product topology) and let  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  be a sequence of points in X. Then  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$  if and only if  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\alpha \in J$ . **Proof.** Suppose  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$ . Each projection  $\pi_{\alpha}$  is continuous (see the proof

of Theorem 19.6), so fall all  $\alpha \in J$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_{n}) = \pi_{\alpha} \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right) \text{ by Theorem 21.3}$$
$$= \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Suppose  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\alpha \in J$ . Let  $U = \prod_{\alpha \in J} U_{\alpha}$  be a basis element for X in the product topology which contains  $\mathbf{x}$  (so by Theorem 19.1,  $U_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha}$  for all but finitely many  $\alpha \in J$ ). For each  $\alpha \in J$  for which  $U_{\alpha} \neq X_{\alpha}$ , choose  $N_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \in U_{\alpha}$  for all  $n \geq N_{\alpha}$  (such  $N_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$  exists since  $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) \to \pi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ ). Let N be the largest of the  $N_{\alpha}$ (since there are only finitely many  $N_{\alpha}$ , there is a largest). Then for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_n \in U$ . Therefore, since U is an arbitrary basis element, then  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{x}$ .

**Theorem 43.4.** There is a metric for the product space  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  relative to which  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  is complete.

**Proof.** Consider  $D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{\overline{d}(x_i, y_i)/i\}$  where  $\overline{d}$  is the standard bounded metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then D is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  (see Section 20) and D induces the product topology by Theorem 20.5.

**Theorem 43.4.** There is a metric for the product space  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  relative to which  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  is complete.

**Proof.** Consider  $D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{\overline{d}(x_i, y_i)/i\}$  where  $\overline{d}$  is the standard bounded metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then D is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  (see Section 20) and D induces the product topology by Theorem 20.5. Now let  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence i  $(\mathbb{R}^{\omega}, D)$ . For all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\overline{d}(x_i, y_i/i = \overline{d}(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}), \pi_i(\mathbf{y}))/i \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{\overline{d}(x_i, y_i)/i\} = D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}),$$

so for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\overline{d}(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}, \pi_i(\mathbf{y})) \leq iD(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ .

**Theorem 43.4.** There is a metric for the product space  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  relative to which  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  is complete.

**Proof.** Consider  $D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{\overline{d}(x_i, y_i)/i\}$  where  $\overline{d}$  is the standard bounded metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then D is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  (see Section 20) and D induces the product topology by Theorem 20.5. Now let  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence i  $(\mathbb{R}^{\omega}, D)$ . For all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\overline{d}(x_i, y_i/i = \overline{d}(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}), \pi_i(\mathbf{y}))/i \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{\overline{d}(x_i, y_i)/i\} = D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}),$$

so for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\overline{d}(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}, \pi_i(\mathbf{y})) \leq iD(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ . So for a fixed *i*, since  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  is Cauchy, we have for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $m, n \geq N$  we have  $\overline{d}(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}_n), \pi_i(\mathbf{x}_m)) \leq iD(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) < i(\varepsilon/i) = \varepsilon$ , and so  $(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}_n))$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So  $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}_n) \to a_i$ .

**Theorem 43.4.** There is a metric for the product space  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  relative to which  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  is complete.

**Proof.** Consider  $D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{\overline{d}(x_i, y_i)/i\}$  where  $\overline{d}$  is the standard bounded metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then D is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  (see Section 20) and D induces the product topology by Theorem 20.5. Now let  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence i  $(\mathbb{R}^{\omega}, D)$ . For all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\overline{d}(x_i, y_i/i = \overline{d}(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}), \pi_i(\mathbf{y}))/i \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{\overline{d}(x_i, y_i)/i\} = D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}),$$

so for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\overline{d}(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}, \pi_i(\mathbf{y})) \leq iD(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ . So for a fixed *i*, since  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  is Cauchy, we have for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $m, n \geq N$  we have  $\overline{d}(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}_n), \pi_i(\mathbf{x}_m)) \leq iD(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) < i(\varepsilon/i) = \varepsilon$ , and so  $(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}_n))$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So  $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}_n) \to a_i$ . Consider  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ . Then by Lemma 43.3,  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{a}$  and so  $(\mathbb{R}^{\omega}, D)$  is complete.

**Theorem 43.4.** There is a metric for the product space  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  relative to which  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  is complete.

**Proof.** Consider  $D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{\overline{d}(x_i, y_i)/i\}$  where  $\overline{d}$  is the standard bounded metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then D is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  (see Section 20) and D induces the product topology by Theorem 20.5. Now let  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence i  $(\mathbb{R}^{\omega}, D)$ . For all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\overline{d}(x_i, y_i/i = \overline{d}(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}), \pi_i(\mathbf{y}))/i \leq \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{\overline{d}(x_i, y_i)/i\} = D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}),$$

so for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\overline{d}(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}, \pi_i(\mathbf{y})) \leq iD(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ . So for a fixed *i*, since  $(\mathbf{x}_n)$  is Cauchy, we have for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $m, n \geq N$  we have  $\overline{d}(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}_n), \pi_i(\mathbf{x}_m)) \leq iD(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) < i(\varepsilon/i) = \varepsilon$ , and so  $(\pi_i(\mathbf{x}_n))$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So  $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}_n) \to a_i$ . Consider  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ . Then by Lemma 43.3,  $\mathbf{x}_n \to \mathbf{a}$  and so  $(\mathbb{R}^{\omega}, D)$  is complete.

**Proof.** If (Y, d) is complete then  $(Y, \overline{d})$  is complete (see the Note in the class notes before Lemma 43.1). Let  $(f_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(Y, \overline{\rho})$ .

**Proof.** If (Y, d) is complete then  $(Y, \overline{d})$  is complete (see the Note in the class notes before Lemma 43.1). Let  $(f_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(Y, \overline{\rho})$ . For any  $\alpha \in J$  we have

 $\overline{d}(f_{x}(\alpha), f_{m}(\alpha)) \leq \sup\{\overline{d}(f_{n}(\alpha), f_{m}(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in J\} = \overline{\rho}(f_{n}, f_{m}), \qquad (*)$ 

so  $(f_n(\alpha))$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(y, \overline{d})$ .

**Proof.** If (Y, d) is complete then  $(Y, \overline{d})$  is complete (see the Note in the class notes before Lemma 43.1). Let  $(f_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(Y, \overline{\rho})$ . For any  $\alpha \in J$  we have

$$\overline{d}(f_x(\alpha), f_m(\alpha)) \leq \sup\{\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f_m(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in J\} = \overline{\rho}(f_n, f_m), \qquad (*)$$

so  $(f_n(\alpha))$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(y, \overline{d})$ . Since  $(Y, \overline{d})$  is complete, then there is  $y_\alpha \in Y$  such that  $f_n(\alpha) \to y_\alpha$  with respect to  $\overline{d}$ . Define  $f: J \to Y$ as  $f(\alpha) = y_\alpha$ ; so  $f \in Y^J$ . We next show that  $f_n \to f$  with respect to  $\overline{\rho}$ .

**Proof.** If (Y, d) is complete then  $(Y, \overline{d})$  is complete (see the Note in the class notes before Lemma 43.1). Let  $(f_n)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $(Y, \overline{\rho})$ . For any  $\alpha \in J$  we have

$$\overline{d}(f_{x}(\alpha), f_{m}(\alpha)) \leq \sup\{\overline{d}(f_{n}(\alpha), f_{m}(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in J\} = \overline{\rho}(f_{n}, f_{m}), \qquad (*)$$

so  $(f_n(\alpha))$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(y, \overline{d})$ . Since  $(Y, \overline{d})$  is complete, then there is  $y_\alpha \in Y$  such that  $f_n(\alpha) \to y_\alpha$  with respect to  $\overline{d}$ . Define  $f: J \to Y$ as  $f(\alpha) = y_\alpha$ ; so  $f \in Y^J$ . We next show that  $f_n \to f$  with respect to  $\overline{\rho}$ .

**Theorem 43.5.** If the space Y is complete in the metric d, then the space  $Y^J$  is complete in the uniform metric  $\overline{\rho}$  corresponding to d.

**Proof (continued).** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \ge N_1$  we have  $\overline{\rho}(f_n, f_m) < \varepsilon/2$  since  $(f_n)$  is Cauchy with respect to  $\overline{\rho}$ . So by (\*), for all  $\alpha \in J$ ,  $\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f_m(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/4$  whenever  $m, n \ge N_1$ . Since  $f_m(\alpha) \to y_\alpha = f(\alpha)$  with respect to  $\overline{d}$  then there is  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m \ge N_2$  we have  $\overline{d}(f_m(\alpha), f(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/4$ .

**Theorem 43.5.** If the space Y is complete in the metric d, then the space  $Y^J$  is complete in the uniform metric  $\overline{\rho}$  corresponding to d.

**Proof (continued).** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \ge N_1$  we have  $\overline{\rho}(f_n, f_m) < \varepsilon/2$  since  $(f_n)$  is Cauchy with respect to  $\overline{\rho}$ . So by (\*), for all  $\alpha \in J$ ,  $\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f_m(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/4$  whenever  $m, n \ge N_1$ . Since  $f_m(\alpha) \to y_\alpha = f(\alpha)$  with respect to  $\overline{d}$  then there is  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m \ge N_2$  we have  $\overline{d}(f_m(\alpha), f(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/4$ . So for given  $\alpha \in J$ , with  $n \ge N_1$  and  $m \ge N_2$  we have

 $\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f(\alpha)) \leq \overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f_m(\alpha)) + \overline{d}(f_m(\alpha), f(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/4 = \varepsilon/2.$ 

That is, for all  $\alpha \in J$ , if  $n \geq N_1$  then  $\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/2$ .

**Theorem 43.5.** If the space Y is complete in the metric d, then the space  $Y^J$  is complete in the uniform metric  $\overline{\rho}$  corresponding to d.

**Proof (continued).** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \ge N_1$  we have  $\overline{\rho}(f_n, f_m) < \varepsilon/2$  since  $(f_n)$  is Cauchy with respect to  $\overline{\rho}$ . So by (\*), for all  $\alpha \in J$ ,  $\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f_m(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/4$  whenever  $m, n \ge N_1$ . Since  $f_m(\alpha) \to y_\alpha = f(\alpha)$  with respect to  $\overline{d}$  then there is  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m \ge N_2$  we have  $\overline{d}(f_m(\alpha), f(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/4$ . So for given  $\alpha \in J$ , with  $n \ge N_1$  and  $m \ge N_2$  we have

 $\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f(\alpha)) \leq \overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f_m(\alpha)) + \overline{d}(f_m(\alpha), f(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/4 = \varepsilon/2.$ 

That is, for all  $\alpha \in J$ , if  $n \geq N_1$  then  $\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/2$ . Hence, for all  $n \geq N_1$  we have  $\overline{\rho}(f_n, f) = \sup\{\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in J\} \leq \varepsilon/2$ , and so  $(f_n) \to f$  with respect to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Since  $(f_n)$  is an arbitrary Cauchy sequence in  $(Y^J, \overline{\rho})$ , the  $(Y^J, \overline{\rho})$  is complete.

**Theorem 43.5.** If the space Y is complete in the metric d, then the space  $Y^J$  is complete in the uniform metric  $\overline{\rho}$  corresponding to d.

**Proof (continued).** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \ge N_1$  we have  $\overline{\rho}(f_n, f_m) < \varepsilon/2$  since  $(f_n)$  is Cauchy with respect to  $\overline{\rho}$ . So by (\*), for all  $\alpha \in J$ ,  $\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f_m(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/4$  whenever  $m, n \ge N_1$ . Since  $f_m(\alpha) \to y_\alpha = f(\alpha)$  with respect to  $\overline{d}$  then there is  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m \ge N_2$  we have  $\overline{d}(f_m(\alpha), f(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/4$ . So for given  $\alpha \in J$ , with  $n \ge N_1$  and  $m \ge N_2$  we have

$$\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f(\alpha)) \leq \overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f_m(\alpha)) + \overline{d}(f_m(\alpha), f(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/4 + \varepsilon/4 = \varepsilon/2.$$

That is, for all  $\alpha \in J$ , if  $n \geq N_1$  then  $\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f(\alpha)) < \varepsilon/2$ . Hence, for all  $n \geq N_1$  we have  $\overline{\rho}(f_n, f) = \sup\{\overline{d}(f_n(\alpha), f(\alpha)) \mid \alpha \in J\} \leq \varepsilon/2$ , and so  $(f_n) \to f$  with respect to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Since  $(f_n)$  is an arbitrary Cauchy sequence in  $(Y^J, \overline{\rho})$ , the  $(Y^J, \overline{\rho})$  is complete.

**Theorem 43.6.** Let X be a topological space and let (Y, d) be a metric space. The set  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  of continuous functions is closed in  $Y^X$  under the uniform metric. So is the set  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  of bounded functions. Therefore, if Y is a complete metric space, then both  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  are complete metric spaces under the uniform metric.

**Proof.** Let  $(f_n) \to f$  in  $Y^X$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge N$  we have  $\overline{\rho}(f_n, f) < \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 43.6.** Let X be a topological space and let (Y, d) be a metric space. The set  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  of continuous functions is closed in  $Y^X$  under the uniform metric. So is the set  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  of bounded functions. Therefore, if Y is a complete metric space, then both  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  are complete metric spaces under the uniform metric.

**Proof.** Let  $(f_n) \to f$  in  $Y^X$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge N$  we have  $\overline{\rho}(f_n, f) < \varepsilon$ . So for all  $x \in X$  and for all  $n \ge N$  we have

 $\overline{d}(f_n(x), f(x)) \leq \sup\{\overline{d}(f_n(x), f(x)) \mid x \in X\} = \overline{\rho}(f_n, f) < \varepsilon.$ 

Therefore  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly to f.

**Theorem 43.6.** Let X be a topological space and let (Y, d) be a metric space. The set  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  of continuous functions is closed in  $Y^X$  under the uniform metric. So is the set  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  of bounded functions. Therefore, if Y is a complete metric space, then both  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  are complete metric spaces under the uniform metric.

**Proof.** Let  $(f_n) \to f$  in  $Y^X$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge N$  we have  $\overline{\rho}(f_n, f) < \varepsilon$ . So for all  $x \in X$  and for all  $n \ge N$  we have

$$\overline{d}(f_n(x), f(x)) \leq \sup\{\overline{d}(f_n(x), f(x)) \mid x \in X\} = \overline{\rho}(f_n, f) < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly to f.

Now we show that  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  is closed in  $Y^X$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Let  $f \in Y^X$  where f is a limit point of  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ .

**Theorem 43.6.** Let X be a topological space and let (Y, d) be a metric space. The set  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  of continuous functions is closed in  $Y^X$  under the uniform metric. So is the set  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  of bounded functions. Therefore, if Y is a complete metric space, then both  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  are complete metric spaces under the uniform metric.

**Proof.** Let  $(f_n) \to f$  in  $Y^X$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge N$  we have  $\overline{\rho}(f_n, f) < \varepsilon$ . So for all  $x \in X$  and for all  $n \ge N$  we have

$$\overline{d}(f_n(x), f(x)) \leq \sup\{\overline{d}(f_n(x), f(x)) \mid x \in X\} = \overline{\rho}(f_n, f) < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly to f.

Now we show that  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  is closed in  $Y^X$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Let  $f \in Y^X$  where f is a limit point of  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ . By Theorem 17.6, f is a point of the closure of  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and so by The Sequence Lemma (Lemma 21.2) there is a sequence  $(f_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  which converges to f relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ .

**Theorem 43.6.** Let X be a topological space and let (Y, d) be a metric space. The set  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  of continuous functions is closed in  $Y^X$  under the uniform metric. So is the set  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  of bounded functions. Therefore, if Y is a complete metric space, then both  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  are complete metric spaces under the uniform metric.

**Proof.** Let  $(f_n) \to f$  in  $Y^X$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \ge N$  we have  $\overline{\rho}(f_n, f) < \varepsilon$ . So for all  $x \in X$  and for all  $n \ge N$  we have

$$\overline{d}(f_n(x), f(x)) \leq \sup\{\overline{d}(f_n(x), f(x)) \mid x \in X\} = \overline{\rho}(f_n, f) < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore  $(f_n)$  converges uniformly to f.

Now we show that  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  is closed in  $Y^X$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Let  $f \in Y^X$  where f is a limit point of  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ . By Theorem 17.6, f is a point of the closure of  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and so by The Sequence Lemma (Lemma 21.2) there is a sequence  $(f_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  which converges to f relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ .

**Proof (continued).** But as shown above, this means that f is the uniform limit of  $(f_n)$  and so by the Uniform Limit Theorem (Theorem 21.6) f is continuous. That is,  $f \in C(X, Y)$  and since f is an arbitrary limit point of C(X, Y), then C(X, Y) contains all of its limit points and so is closed by Theorem 17.6, as claimed.

Now to show that  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is closed in  $Y^X$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Let f be a limit point of  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . As above, there is a sequence  $(f_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  where  $(f_n) \to f$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . So there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\overline{\rho}(f_N, f) < 1/2$ .

**Proof (continued).** But as shown above, this means that f is the uniform limit of  $(f_n)$  and so by the Uniform Limit Theorem (Theorem 21.6) f is continuous. That is,  $f \in C(X, Y)$  and since f is an arbitrary limit point of C(X, Y), then C(X, Y) contains all of its limit points and so is closed by Theorem 17.6, as claimed.

Now to show that  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is closed in  $Y^X$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Let f be a limit point of  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . As above, there is a sequence  $(f_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  where  $(f_n) \to f$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . So there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\overline{\rho}(f_N, f) < 1/2$ . Then for all  $x \in X$  we have

 $\overline{d}(f_N(x), f(x)) \leq \sup\{\overline{d}(f_N(x), f(x) \mid x \in X\} = \overline{\rho}(f_N, f) < 1/2.$ 

Since  $\overline{d}(f_N(x), f(x)) = \min\{d(f_N(x), f(x)), 1\}$  this means that for all  $x \in X$  we have  $d(f_N(x), f(x)) < 1/2$ . Let M be the diameter of the set  $f_N(x)$  (which exists as a finite number since  $f_N$  is bounded) then by the Triangle Inequality for d, the diameter of f(X) is at most M + 1. Hence  $f \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and, as above,  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is closed, as claimed.

**Proof (continued).** But as shown above, this means that f is the uniform limit of  $(f_n)$  and so by the Uniform Limit Theorem (Theorem 21.6) f is continuous. That is,  $f \in C(X, Y)$  and since f is an arbitrary limit point of C(X, Y), then C(X, Y) contains all of its limit points and so is closed by Theorem 17.6, as claimed.

Now to show that  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is closed in  $Y^X$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . Let f be a limit point of  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . As above, there is a sequence  $(f_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  where  $(f_n) \to f$  relative to  $\overline{\rho}$ . So there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\overline{\rho}(f_N, f) < 1/2$ . Then for all  $x \in X$  we have

 $\overline{d}(f_{\mathcal{N}}(x), f(x) \leq \sup\{\overline{d}(f_{\mathcal{N}}(x), f(x) \mid x \in X\} = \overline{\rho}(f_{\mathcal{N}}, f) < 1/2.$ 

Since  $\overline{d}(f_N(x), f(x)) = \min\{d(f_N(x), f(x)), 1\}$  this means that for all  $x \in X$  we have  $d(f_N(x), f(x)) < 1/2$ . Let M be the diameter of the set  $f_N(x)$  (which exists as a finite number since  $f_N$  is bounded) then by the Triangle Inequality for d, the diameter of f(X) is at most M + 1. Hence  $f \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and, as above,  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is closed, as claimed.

**Theorem 43.6.** Let X be a topological space and let (Y, d) be a metric space. The set  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  of continuous functions is closed in  $Y^X$  under the uniform metric. So is the set  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  of bounded functions. Therefore, if Y is a complete metric space, then both  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  are complete metric spaces under the uniform metric.

**Proof (continued).** Suppose (Y, d) is complete. By Theorem 43.5, we know that if Y is complete in the metric d then  $Y^X$  is complete in the uniform metric  $\overline{\rho}$ . So if  $(f_n)$  is any Cauchy sequence in either  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  or  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ , then  $(f_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Y^X$  (since  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \subset Y^X$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y) \subset Y^X$ ) and since  $Y^X$  is complete then  $(f_n) \to f$  for some  $f \in Y^X$ . Then f is a limit point of both  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  by The Sequence Lemma (Lemma 21.2), and as shown above,  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . Therefore, if (Y, d) is complete then both  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ .

**Theorem 43.6.** Let X be a topological space and let (Y, d) be a metric space. The set  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  of continuous functions is closed in  $Y^X$  under the uniform metric. So is the set  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  of bounded functions. Therefore, if Y is a complete metric space, then both  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  are complete metric spaces under the uniform metric.

**Proof (continued).** Suppose (Y, d) is complete. By Theorem 43.5, we know that if Y is complete in the metric d then  $Y^X$  is complete in the uniform metric  $\overline{\rho}$ . So if  $(f_n)$  is any Cauchy sequence in either  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  or  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ , then  $(f_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Y^X$  (since  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y) \subset Y^X$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y) \subset Y^X$ ) and since  $Y^X$  is complete then  $(f_n) \to f$  for some  $f \in Y^X$ . Then f is a limit point of both  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  by The Sequence Lemma (Lemma 21.2), and as shown above,  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . Therefore, if (Y, d) is complete then both  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  are complete with respect to  $\overline{\rho}$ .

**Theorem 43.7.** Let (X, d) be a metric space. There is an isometric embedding of X into a complete space.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R})$  be the set of all bounded functions mapping X into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $x_0 \in X$  be fixed. Given  $a \in X$ , define  $\varphi_a : X \to \mathbb{R}$  as  $\varphi_a(x) = d(x, a) - d(x, x_0)$ .

**Theorem 43.7.** Let (X, d) be a metric space. There is an isometric embedding of X into a complete space.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R})$  be the set of all bounded functions mapping X into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $x_0 \in X$  be fixed. Given  $a \in X$ , define  $\varphi_a : X \to \mathbb{R}$  as  $\varphi_a(x) = d(x, a) - d(x, x_0)$ . For any  $b \in X$  we have by the Triangle Inequality for d that for all  $x \in X$  we have

 $d(x,a) \leq d(x,b) + d(a,b)$  and  $d(x,b) \leq d(x,a) + d(a,b)$ 

and combining these inequalities gives  $-d(a,b) \le d(x,a) - d(x,b) \le d(a,b)$  or

$$|d(x,a) - d(x,b)| \le d(a,b).$$
 (\*)

**Theorem 43.7.** Let (X, d) be a metric space. There is an isometric embedding of X into a complete space.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R})$  be the set of all bounded functions mapping X into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $x_0 \in X$  be fixed. Given  $a \in X$ , define  $\varphi_a : X \to \mathbb{R}$  as  $\varphi_a(x) = d(x, a) - d(x, x_0)$ . For any  $b \in X$  we have by the Triangle Inequality for d that for all  $x \in X$  we have

$$d(x,a) \leq d(x,b) + d(a,b)$$
 and  $d(x,b) \leq d(x,a) + d(a,b)$ 

and combining these inequalities gives  $-d(a,b) \leq d(x,a) - d(x,b) \leq d(a,b)$  or

$$|d(x,a) - d(x,b)| \le d(a,b).$$
 (\*)

With  $b = x_0$ , we then have  $|\varphi_1(x)| \le d(a, x_0)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_1$  is bounded and  $\varphi_a \in \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Theorem 43.7.** Let (X, d) be a metric space. There is an isometric embedding of X into a complete space.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R})$  be the set of all bounded functions mapping X into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $x_0 \in X$  be fixed. Given  $a \in X$ , define  $\varphi_a : X \to \mathbb{R}$  as  $\varphi_a(x) = d(x, a) - d(x, x_0)$ . For any  $b \in X$  we have by the Triangle Inequality for d that for all  $x \in X$  we have

$$d(x,a) \leq d(x,b) + d(a,b)$$
 and  $d(x,b) \leq d(x,a) + d(a,b)$ 

and combining these inequalities gives  $-d(a,b) \le d(x,a) - d(x,b) \le d(a,b)$  or  $|d(x,a) - d(x,b)| \le d(a,b).$  (\*)

With  $b = x_0$ , we then have  $|\varphi_1(x)| \le d(a, x_0)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_1$  is bounded and  $\varphi_a \in \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof (continued).** Define  $\Phi: X \to \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R})$  as  $\Phi(a) = \varphi_a$ . We now show that  $\Phi$  is an isometric embedding of (X, d) into the complete metric space  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \rho)$ . Notice that since  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  is complete, then  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \overline{\rho})$  is complete by Theorem 43.6. Since  $\overline{\rho}(f, g) = \min\{\rho(f, g), 1\}$ (see the Note before the statement of this theorem) then completeness of  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \rho)$  is equivalent to the completeness of  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \overline{\rho})$  (see the Note before the statement of Lemma 43.1 in these class notes, or see page 264). So  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \rho)$  is in fact a complete metric space.

**Proof (continued).** Define  $\Phi: X \to \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R})$  as  $\Phi(a) = \varphi_a$ . We now show that  $\Phi$  is an isometric embedding of (X, d) into the complete metric space  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \rho)$ . Notice that since  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  is complete, then  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \overline{\rho})$  is complete by Theorem 43.6. Since  $\overline{\rho}(f, g) = \min\{\rho(f, g), 1\}$ (see the Note before the statement of this theorem) then completeness of  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \rho)$  is equivalent to the completeness of  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \overline{\rho})$  (see the Note before the statement of Lemma 43.1 in these class notes, or see page 264). So  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \rho)$  is in fact a complete metric space. Next, for the isometry claim, let  $a, b \in X$ . Then

 $\rho(\varphi_a, \varphi_b) = \sup\{|\varphi_a(x) - \varphi_b(x)| \mid x \in X\} \text{ since the metric on } \mathbb{R} \text{ is } |\cdot|$ =  $\sup\{|(d(x, a) - d(x, x_0)) - (d(x, b) - d(x, x_0))| \mid x \in X\}$ by the definition of  $\varphi_a$  and  $\varphi_b$ =  $\sup\{|d(x, a) - d(x, b)| \mid x \in X\}$ 

 $\leq$  d(a, b) by (\*).

**Proof (continued).** Define  $\Phi: X \to \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R})$  as  $\Phi(a) = \varphi_a$ . We now show that  $\Phi$  is an isometric embedding of (X, d) into the complete metric space  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \rho)$ . Notice that since  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  is complete, then  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \overline{\rho})$  is complete by Theorem 43.6. Since  $\overline{\rho}(f, g) = \min\{\rho(f, g), 1\}$ (see the Note before the statement of this theorem) then completeness of  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \rho)$  is equivalent to the completeness of  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \overline{\rho})$  (see the Note before the statement of Lemma 43.1 in these class notes, or see page 264). So  $(\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R}), \rho)$  is in fact a complete metric space. Next, for the isometry claim, let  $a, b \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \rho(\varphi_a, \varphi_b) &= \sup\{|\varphi_a(x) - \varphi_b(x)| \mid x \in X\} \text{ since the metric on } \mathbb{R} \text{ is } |\cdot| \\ &= \sup\{|(d(x, a) - d(x, x_0)) - (d(x, b) - d(x, x_0))| \mid x \in X\} \\ &\quad \text{ by the definition of } \varphi_a \text{ and } \varphi_b \\ &= \sup\{|d(x, a) - d(x, b)| \mid x \in X\} \\ &\leq d(a, b) \text{ by } (*). \end{split}$$

**Theorem 43.7.** Let (X, d) be a metric space. There is an isometric embedding of X into a complete space.

**Proof (continued).** So  $\rho(\varphi_a, \varphi_b) \leq d(a, b)$ . But when x = a|d(x, a) - d(x, b)| = d(a, b) and so  $\rho(\varphi_a, \varphi_b) = \sup\{|d(x, a) - d(x, b)| \mid x \in X\} \geq d(a, b)$ . Therefore,  $\rho(\varphi_a, \varphi_b) = d(a, b)$  and the mapping  $\Phi : X \to \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{R})$  is an isometry (that is,  $d(a, b) = \rho(\varphi_a, \varphi_b) = \rho(\Phi(a), \Phi(b))$ ).