Introduction to Topology

Chapter 9. The Fundamental Group

Section 60. Fundamental Groups of Some Surfaces-Proofs of Theorems





- 2 Theorem 60.3
- 3 Corollary 60.4
- 4 Lemma 60.5



Theorem 60.1

Theorem 60.1. Let X and Y be topological spaces and $x_0 \in X$, $y_0 \in Y$. The group $\pi_1(X \times Y, x_0 \times y_0)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$. **Proof.** Let $p : X \times Y \to X$ and $q : X \times Y \to Y$ be the projection mappings. Since p and q are continuous, we have the induced homomrophisms

$$p_*:\pi_1(X \times Y, x_0 \times y_0) \to \pi_1(X, x_0)$$

$$q_*:\pi_1(X \times Y, x_0 \times y_0) \to \pi_1(Y, y_0)$$
(1)

By the statement above, the map $\Phi: \pi_1(X \times Y, x_0 \times y_0) \to \pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ defined by the equation $\Phi([f]) = p_*([f]) \times q_*([f]) = [p \circ f] \times [q \circ f]$ by the defn of p_*, q_* (2)

is a homomorphism. We now show that Φ is in fact an isomorphism.

Theorem 60.1. Let X and Y be topological spaces and $x_0 \in X$, $y_0 \in Y$. The group $\pi_1(X \times Y, x_0 \times y_0)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$. **Proof.** Let $p: X \times Y \to X$ and $q: X \times Y \to Y$ be the projection mappings. Since p and q are continuous, we have the induced homomrophisms

$$p_*:\pi_1(X \times Y, x_0 \times y_0) \to \pi_1(X, x_0)$$

$$q_*:\pi_1(X \times Y, x_0 \times y_0) \to \pi_1(Y, y_0)$$
(1)

By the statement above, the map $\Phi: \pi_1(X \times Y, x_0 \times y_0) \to \pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ defined by the equation $\Phi([f]) = p_*([f]) \times q_*([f]) = [p \circ f] \times [q \circ f]$ by the definition of p_*, q_* (2)

is a homomorphism. We now show that Φ is in fact an isomorphism.

Let $g: I \to X$ be a loop in X based at x_0 . Let $h: I \to Y$ be a loop in Y based at y_0 . $[g] \times [h] \in \pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$. Define $f: I \to X \times Y$ by the equation $f(s) = g(s) \times h(s)$. Then f is a loop in $X \times Y$ (f is continuous) based at $x_0 \times y_0$, and $\Phi([f]) = [p \circ f] \times [q \circ f] = [g] * [h]$ and so Φ is onto.

Let $g: I \to X$ be a loop in X based at x_0 . Let $h: I \to Y$ be a loop in Y based at y_0 . $[g] \times [h] \in \pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$. Define $f: I \to X \times Y$ by the equation $f(s) = g(s) \times h(s)$. Then f is a loop in $X \times Y$ (f is continuous) based at $x_0 \times y_0$, and $\Phi([f]) = [p \circ f] \times [q \circ f] = [g] * [h]$ and so Φ is onto.

Suppose that $f: I \to X \times Y$ is a loop in $X \times Y$ based at (x_0, y_0) and that

$$\Phi([f]) = [p \circ f] \times [q \circ f]$$
(3)

is the identity element of $\pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$. The identity of $\pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ is $[(x_0, y_0)]$, so $p \circ f \cong_p e_{x_0}$ and $q \circ f \cong_p e_{y_0}$.

Let $g: I \to X$ be a loop in X based at x_0 . Let $h: I \to Y$ be a loop in Y based at y_0 . $[g] \times [h] \in \pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$. Define $f: I \to X \times Y$ by the equation $f(s) = g(s) \times h(s)$. Then f is a loop in $X \times Y$ (f is continuous) based at $x_0 \times y_0$, and $\Phi([f]) = [p \circ f] \times [q \circ f] = [g] * [h]$ and so Φ is onto.

Suppose that $f: I \to X \times Y$ is a loop in $X \times Y$ based at (x_0, y_0) and that

$$\Phi([f]) = [p \circ f] \times [q \circ f]$$
(3)

is the identity element of $\pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$. The identity of $\pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ is $[(x_0, y_0)]$, so $p \circ f \cong_p e_{x_0}$ and $q \circ f \cong_p e_{y_0}$.

So let *G* be a path homotopy from $p \circ f$ to e_{x_0} and let *H* be a path homotopy from $q \circ f$ to e_{y_0} . Define $F(s,t) = G(s,t) \times H(s,t)$. Then $F: I \times I \to X \times Y$ is a path homotopy from *f* to the constant loop at (x_0, y_0) . So if $\Phi([f])$ is the identity in $\pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ then [f] is the identity in $\pi_1(X \times Y, (x_0, y_0))$. So let *G* be a path homotopy from $p \circ f$ to e_{x_0} and let *H* be a path homotopy from $q \circ f$ to e_{y_0} . Define $F(s,t) = G(s,t) \times H(s,t)$. Then $F: I \times I \to X \times Y$ is a path homotopy from *f* to the constant loop at (x_0, y_0) . So if $\Phi([f])$ is the identity in $\pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ then [f] is the identity in $\pi_1(X \times Y, (x_0, y_0))$.

That is, the kernel of Φ is just the identity and hence Φ is one to one (by Fraleigh's Corollary 13.18). Therefore Φ is an isomorphism and the claim is justified.

So let *G* be a path homotopy from $p \circ f$ to e_{x_0} and let *H* be a path homotopy from $q \circ f$ to e_{y_0} . Define $F(s,t) = G(s,t) \times H(s,t)$. Then $F: I \times I \to X \times Y$ is a path homotopy from *f* to the constant loop at (x_0, y_0) . So if $\Phi([f])$ is the identity in $\pi_1(X, x_0) \times \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ then [f] is the identity in $\pi_1(X \times Y, (x_0, y_0))$.

That is, the kernel of Φ is just the identity and hence Φ is one to one (by Fraleigh's Corollary 13.18). Therefore Φ is an isomorphism and the claim is justified.

Theorem 60.3. The projective plane P^2 is a surface, and the quotient map $p: S^2 \to P^2$ defined as $p(\vec{x}) = [\vec{x}] = \{-\vec{x}, \vec{x}\}$ is a covering map.

Proof. First we show that p is an open map. (i.e., p maps opens sets to open sets). Let U be open in S^2 . The antipodal map $a: S^2 \to S^2$ given by $a(\vec{x}) = -\vec{x}$ is clearly a homeomorphism of S^2 . Hence a(U) is open in S^2 .

Theorem 60.3. The projective plane P^2 is a surface, and the quotient map $p: S^2 \to P^2$ defined as $p(\vec{x}) = [\vec{x}] = \{-\vec{x}, \vec{x}\}$ is a covering map.

Proof. First we show that p is an open map. (i.e., p maps opens sets to open sets). Let U be open in S^2 . The antipodal map $a: S^2 \to S^2$ given by $a(\vec{x}) = -\vec{x}$ is clearly a homeomorphism of S^2 . Hence a(U) is open in S^2 . Now p(U) is the set of equivalence classes which contain elements of U:

$$p(U) = \{ [\vec{u}] \in P^2 \mid \vec{u} \in U \}$$
(4)

Theorem 60.3. The projective plane P^2 is a surface, and the quotient map $p: S^2 \to P^2$ defined as $p(\vec{x}) = [\vec{x}] = \{-\vec{x}, \vec{x}\}$ is a covering map.

Proof. First we show that p is an open map. (i.e., p maps opens sets to open sets). Let U be open in S^2 . The antipodal map $a : S^2 \to S^2$ given by $a(\vec{x}) = -\vec{x}$ is clearly a homeomorphism of S^2 . Hence a(U) is open in S^2 . Now p(U) is the set of equivalence classes which contain elements of U:

$$p(U) = \{ [\vec{u}] \in P^2 \mid \vec{u} \in U \}$$
(4)

So $p^{-1}(p(U))$ is the set of all elements of S^2 that are contained in an equivalence class of p(U). So $p^{-1}(p(U)) = U \cup a(U)$. So $p^{-1}(p(U))$ is open.

Theorem 60.3. The projective plane P^2 is a surface, and the quotient map $p: S^2 \to P^2$ defined as $p(\vec{x}) = [\vec{x}] = \{-\vec{x}, \vec{x}\}$ is a covering map.

Proof. First we show that p is an open map. (i.e., p maps opens sets to open sets). Let U be open in S^2 . The antipodal map $a: S^2 \to S^2$ given by $a(\vec{x}) = -\vec{x}$ is clearly a homeomorphism of S^2 . Hence a(U) is open in S^2 . Now p(U) is the set of equivalence classes which contain elements of U:

$$p(U) = \{ [\vec{u}] \in P^2 \mid \vec{u} \in U \}$$
(4)

So $p^{-1}(p(U))$ is the set of all elements of S^2 that are contained in an equivalence class of p(U). So $p^{-1}(p(U)) = U \cup a(U)$. So $p^{-1}(p(U))$ is open.

With S_N^2 and S_S^2 as described above, let

$$U_N = U \cap S_N^2$$
 and $U_S = U \cap S_S^2$ (5)

Then U_N is open relative to S_N^2 and U_S is open relative to S_S^2 . With P^2 as represented as S_N^2 as described above, we have that $p|_{S_N^2}$ is the embedding mapping of S_N^2 to P^2 , and $p|_{S_S^2}$ is the mapping $a : S_S^2 \to S_N^2$ followed by the embedding mapping.

With S_N^2 and S_S^2 as described above, let

$$U_N = U \cap S_N^2$$
 and $U_S = U \cap S_S^2$ (5)

Then U_N is open relative to S_N^2 and U_S is open relative to S_S^2 . With P^2 as represented as S_N^2 as described above, we have that $p|_{S_N^2}$ is the embedding mapping of S_N^2 to P^2 , and $p|_{S_S^2}$ is the mapping $a : S_S^2 \to S_N^2$ followed by the embedding mapping. Since the embedding mapping and mapping 'a' are homeomorphisms, then U_N is mapped to an open set (relative to P^2) and U_S is mapped to an open set (relative to P^2).

With S_N^2 and S_S^2 as described above, let

$$U_N = U \cap S_N^2 \text{ and } U_S = U \cap S_S^2 \tag{5}$$

Then U_N is open relative to S_N^2 and U_S is open relative to S_S^2 . With P^2 as represented as S_N^2 as described above, we have that $p|_{S_N^2}$ is the embedding mapping of S_N^2 to P^2 , and $p|_{S_S^2}$ is the mapping $a : S_S^2 \to S_N^2$ followed by the embedding mapping. Since the embedding mapping and mapping 'a' are homeomorphisms, then U_N is mapped to an open set (relative to P^2) and U_S is mapped to an open set (relative to P^2). So p(U) is the union of these two open sets and hence is open. That is, p is an open map.

With S_N^2 and S_S^2 as described above, let

$$U_N = U \cap S_N^2 \text{ and } U_S = U \cap S_S^2 \tag{5}$$

Then U_N is open relative to S_N^2 and U_S is open relative to S_S^2 . With P^2 as represented as S_N^2 as described above, we have that $p|_{S_N^2}$ is the embedding mapping of S_N^2 to P^2 , and $p|_{S_S^2}$ is the mapping $a : S_S^2 \to S_N^2$ followed by the embedding mapping. Since the embedding mapping and mapping 'a' are homeomorphisms, then U_N is mapped to an open set (relative to P^2) and U_S is mapped to an open set (relative to P^2). So p(U) is the union of these two open sets and hence is open. That is, p is an open map.

Now we show that p is a covering map. For any $\vec{y} \in P^2$, choose $\vec{x} \in p^{-1}(\vec{y})$ and choose an ϵ -neighborhood U of \vec{x} in S^2 for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$ using the Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^3 . Then U contains no pair $\{\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})\}$ of antipodal points of S^2 since $d(\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})) = 2$. As a result, the map $p: U \to p(U)$ is one to one and onto (i.e., onto p(U)).

Now we show that p is a covering map. For any $\vec{y} \in P^2$, choose $\vec{x} \in p^{-1}(\vec{y})$ and choose an ϵ -neighborhood U of \vec{x} in S^2 for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$ using the Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^3 . Then U contains no pair $\{\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})\}$ of antipodal points of S^2 since $d(\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})) = 2$. As a result, the map $p: U \to p(U)$ is one to one and onto (i.e., onto p(U)). Now p is continuous and is open by above, so it is a homeomorphism between U and p(U) (since p is bijective, then p^{-1} exists and since p maps open sets to open sets, then p^{-1} is continuous).

Now we show that p is a covering map. For any $\vec{y} \in P^2$, choose $\vec{x} \in p^{-1}(\vec{y})$ and choose an ϵ -neighborhood U of \vec{x} in S^2 for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$ using the Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^3 . Then U contains no pair $\{\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})\}$ of antipodal points of S^2 since $d(\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})) = 2$. As a result, the map $p: U \to p(U)$ is one to one and onto (i.e., onto p(U)). Now p is continuous and is open by above, so it is a homeomorphism between U and p(U) (since p is bijective, then p^{-1} exists and since p maps open sets to open sets, then p^{-1} is continuous).

Now $p^{-1}(p(U))$ is the set of all elements of S^2 that are contained in an equivalence class of p(U). So $p^{-1}(p(U)) = U \cup a(U)$.

Now we show that p is a covering map. For any $\vec{y} \in P^2$, choose $\vec{x} \in p^{-1}(\vec{y})$ and choose an ϵ -neighborhood U of \vec{x} in S^2 for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$ using the Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^3 . Then U contains no pair $\{\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})\}$ of antipodal points of S^2 since $d(\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})) = 2$. As a result, the map $p: U \to p(U)$ is one to one and onto (i.e., onto p(U)). Now p is continuous and is open by above, so it is a homeomorphism between U and p(U) (since p is bijective, then p^{-1} exists and since p maps open sets to open sets, then p^{-1} is continuous).

Now $p^{-1}(p(U))$ is the set of all elements of S^2 that are contained in an equivalence class of p(U). So $p^{-1}(p(U)) = U \cup a(U)$. So $p^{-1}(p(U))$ is the union of the two disjoint (because $\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})$ are not both in U) open sets, each of these two sets is mapped homeomorphically by p onto p(U). Then p(U) is a neighborhood of p(x) = y that is evenly covered by p.

Now we show that p is a covering map. For any $\vec{y} \in P^2$, choose $\vec{x} \in p^{-1}(\vec{y})$ and choose an ϵ -neighborhood U of \vec{x} in S^2 for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$ using the Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^3 . Then U contains no pair $\{\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})\}$ of antipodal points of S^2 since $d(\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})) = 2$. As a result, the map $p: U \to p(U)$ is one to one and onto (i.e., onto p(U)). Now p is continuous and is open by above, so it is a homeomorphism between U and p(U) (since p is bijective, then p^{-1} exists and since p maps open sets to open sets, then p^{-1} is continuous).

Now $p^{-1}(p(U))$ is the set of all elements of S^2 that are contained in an equivalence class of p(U). So $p^{-1}(p(U)) = U \cup a(U)$. So $p^{-1}(p(U))$ is the union of the two disjoint (because $\vec{z}, a(\vec{z})$ are not both in U) open sets, each of these two sets is mapped homeomorphically by p onto p(U). Then p(U) is a neighborhood of p(x) = y that is evenly covered by p.

Since S^2 is a surface, then it has a countable basis $\{U_n\}$, then $\{p(U_n)\}$ is a countable basis of P^2 , since p is a homeomorphism.

Now to show that P^2 is Hausdorff. Let $y_1, y_2 \in P^2$ $(y_1 \neq y_2)$. The set $p^{-1}(y_1) \cup p^{-1}(y_2)$ consists of four points in S^2 . Let 2ϵ be the minimum distance between pairs of them.

Since S^2 is a surface, then it has a countable basis $\{U_n\}$, then $\{p(U_n)\}$ is a countable basis of P^2 , since p is a homeomorphism.

Now to show that P^2 is Hausdorff. Let $y_1, y_2 \in P^2$ $(y_1 \neq y_2)$. The set $p^{-1}(y_1) \cup p^{-1}(y_2)$ consists of four points in S^2 . Let 2ϵ be the minimum distance between pairs of them. Let U_1 be the ϵ -neighborhood of one of the points of $p^{-1}(y_1)$ and let U_2 be the ϵ -neighborhood of one of the points of $p^{-1}(y_2)$. Then $U_1 \cup a(U_1)$ and $U_2 \cup a(U_2)$ are disjoint.

Since S^2 is a surface, then it has a countable basis $\{U_n\}$, then $\{p(U_n)\}$ is a countable basis of P^2 , since p is a homeomorphism.

Now to show that P^2 is Hausdorff. Let $y_1, y_2 \in P^2$ $(y_1 \neq y_2)$. The set $p^{-1}(y_1) \cup p^{-1}(y_2)$ consists of four points in S^2 . Let 2ϵ be the minimum distance between pairs of them. Let U_1 be the ϵ -neighborhood of one of the points of $p^{-1}(y_1)$ and let U_2 be the ϵ -neighborhood of one of the points of $p^{-1}(y_2)$. Then $U_1 \cup a(U_1)$ and $U_2 \cup a(U_2)$ are disjoint. Then $p(U_1)$ and $p(U_2)$ are disjoint neighborhoods of y_1 and y_2 , respectively, in P^2 .

Since S^2 is a surface, then it has a countable basis $\{U_n\}$, then $\{p(U_n)\}$ is a countable basis of P^2 , since p is a homeomorphism.

Now to show that P^2 is Hausdorff. Let $y_1, y_2 \in P^2$ $(y_1 \neq y_2)$. The set $p^{-1}(y_1) \cup p^{-1}(y_2)$ consists of four points in S^2 . Let 2ϵ be the minimum distance between pairs of them. Let U_1 be the ϵ -neighborhood of one of the points of $p^{-1}(y_1)$ and let U_2 be the ϵ -neighborhood of one of the points of $p^{-1}(y_2)$. Then $U_1 \cup a(U_1)$ and $U_2 \cup a(U_2)$ are disjoint. Then $p(U_1)$ and $p(U_2)$ are disjoint neighborhoods of y_1 and y_2 , respectively, in P^2 .

Since p is a homeomorphism from S^2 to P^2 , every point \vec{x} of P^2 has a neighborhood homeomorphic with an open subset of S^2 . Since S^2 is a surface then every point in S^2 has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , and so every open subset of S^2 is homeomorphic to an open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . By taking this open subset fo \mathbb{R}^2 into S^2 and then P^2 , we get a neighborhood of \vec{x} [illegible] P^2 that is homeomorphic with an open set in \mathbb{R}^2 . So P^2 is a surface.

Since p is a homeomorphism from S^2 to P^2 , every point \vec{x} of P^2 has a neighborhood homeomorphic with an open subset of S^2 . Since S^2 is a surface then every point in S^2 has a neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , and so every open subset of S^2 is homeomorphic to an open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . By taking this open subset fo \mathbb{R}^2 into S^2 and then P^2 , we get a neighborhood of \vec{x} [illegible] P^2 that is homeomorphic with an open set in \mathbb{R}^2 . So P^2 is a surface.

Corollary 60.4. $\pi_1(P^2, y)$ is a group of order 2. **Proof.** The projection $p: S^2 \to P^2$ is a covering map by Theorem 60.3. Since S^2 is simply connected (Theorem 59.3), by Theorem 54.4 there is a bijective correspondence between $\pi_1(P^2, y)$ and the set $p^{-1}(y)$. Since $p^{-1}(y)$ is a two element set, then $\pi_1(P^2, y)$ is a group of order 2. Lemma 60.5. The fundamental group of the figure eight is not abelian.

Proof. Let X be the union of two circls A and B in \mathbb{R}^2 with intersection x_0 . We give a covering space E of X.

For space E, take the subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 consisting of the x-axis, the y-axis, and circles tangent to these axes, one circle tangent to the x-axis at each nonzero integer point and lying above the x-axis and one circle tangent to the y-axis at each nonzero integer point and lying to the right of the y-axis.

Lemma 60.5. The fundamental group of the figure eight is not abelian.

Proof. Let X be the union of two circls A and B in \mathbb{R}^2 with intersection x_0 . We give a covering space E of X.

For space E, take the subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 consisting of the x-axis, the y-axis, and circles tangent to these axes, one circle tangent to the x-axis at each nonzero integer point and lying above the x-axis and one circle tangent to the y-axis at each nonzero integer point and lying to the right of the y-axis. Make each circle of the same radius (for convenience) and choose the radius to be sufficiently small so that the circles are disjoint.

Lemma 60.5. The fundamental group of the figure eight is not abelian.

Proof. Let X be the union of two circls A and B in \mathbb{R}^2 with intersection x_0 . We give a covering space E of X.

For space E, take the subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 consisting of the x-axis, the y-axis, and circles tangent to these axes, one circle tangent to the x-axis at each nonzero integer point and lying above the x-axis and one circle tangent to the y-axis at each nonzero integer point and lying to the right of the y-axis. Make each circle of the same radius (for convenience) and choose the radius to be sufficiently small so that the circles are disjoint.

We now describe the relevant mappings geometrically instead of quantitatively. The projection map $p: E \to X$ wraps the x-axis around the circle A and wraps the y-axis around the other circle B (with (0,0) mapped to the point x_0 common to both A and B).

Similar to the mapping for S^1 , map each integer point to the base point x_0 . Each circle tangent to an integer point on the *x*-axis is mapped homeomorphically by *p* onto *B*. Each circle tangent to an integer point on the *y*-axis is mapped homeomorphically onto *A*.

We now describe the relevant mappings geometrically instead of quantitatively. The projection map $p: E \to X$ wraps the x-axis around the circle A and wraps the y-axis around the other circle B (with (0,0) mapped to the point x_0 common to both A and B).

Similar to the mapping for S^1 , map each integer point to the base point x_0 . Each circle tangent to an integer point on the *x*-axis is mapped homeomorphically by *p* onto *B*. Each circle tangent to an integer point on the *y*-axis is mapped homeomorphically onto *A*.

In each case the point of tangency is mapped onto the point x_0 . This mapping $p: E \to X$ is indeed a covering map (Munkres leaves "it to you to check mentally" this claim).

We now describe the relevant mappings geometrically instead of quantitatively. The projection map $p: E \to X$ wraps the x-axis around the circle A and wraps the y-axis around the other circle B (with (0,0) mapped to the point x_0 common to both A and B).

Similar to the mapping for S^1 , map each integer point to the base point x_0 . Each circle tangent to an integer point on the *x*-axis is mapped homeomorphically by *p* onto *B*. Each circle tangent to an integer point on the *y*-axis is mapped homeomorphically onto *A*.

In each case the point of tangency is mapped onto the point x_0 . This mapping $p: E \to X$ is indeed a covering map (Munkres leaves "it to you to check mentally" this claim).

Now let $\tilde{f}: I \to E$ be the path $\tilde{f}(s) = \{s\} \times \{0\}$, going along the x-axis from the origin to point (1,0). Let $\tilde{g}: I \to E$ be the path $\tilde{g}(s) = \{0\} \times \{s\}$ going along the y-axis from the origin to the point (0,1). Let $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$ and $g = p \circ \tilde{g}$.

Then f and g are loops in the figure eight based at x_0 . We have informally described p, so WLOG say f goes around circle A once counterclockwise and g goes around the circle B once counterclockwise.

Now let $\tilde{f}: I \to E$ be the path $\tilde{f}(s) = \{s\} \times \{0\}$, going along the x-axis from the origin to point (1,0). Let $\tilde{g}: I \to E$ be the path $\tilde{g}(s) = \{0\} \times \{s\}$ going along the y-axis from the origin to the point (0,1). Let $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$ and $g = p \circ \tilde{g}$.

Then f and g are loops in the figure eight based at x_0 . We have informally described p, so WLOG say f goes around circle A once counterclockwise and g goes around the circle B once counterclockwise.

We now show that f * g and g * f are noth path homotopic in the figure eight. Lift the path f * g to E to a path in E starting at $e_0 = (0,0)$. Then f * g lifts to a path in E from (0,0) to (1,0) and then goes around the circle tangent to the x-axis at (1,0) and ends at $(1,0) \in E$.

The path g * f lifts to a path in E from (0,0) to (0,1) and then goes around the circle tangent to the y-axis at (0,1) and ends at (0,1). By Theorem 54.3, since the lifts of f * g and g * f (denoted f * g and g * f) do not end at the same point of E, then f * g is not path homotopic to g * f.

We now show that f * g and g * f are noth path homotopic in the figure eight. Lift the path f * g to E to a path in E starting at $e_0 = (0,0)$. Then f * g lifts to a path in E from (0,0) to (1,0) and then goes around the circle tangent to the x-axis at (1,0) and ends at $(1,0) \in E$.

The path g * f lifts to a path in E from (0,0) to (0,1) and then goes around the circle tangent to the y-axis at (0,1) and ends at (0,1). By Theorem 54.3, since the lifts of f * g and g * f (denoted f * g and g * f) do not end at the same point of E, then f * g is not path homotopic to g * f.

That is, $[f * g] \neq [g * f]$, or (by definition of * in the fundamental group) $[f] * [g] \neq [g] * [f]$. Since $[f], [g] \in \pi_1$ (figure eight, b_0), then the fundamental group of the figure eight is not abelian.

We now show that f * g and g * f are noth path homotopic in the figure eight. Lift the path f * g to E to a path in E starting at $e_0 = (0,0)$. Then f * g lifts to a path in E from (0,0) to (1,0) and then goes around the circle tangent to the x-axis at (1,0) and ends at $(1,0) \in E$.

The path g * f lifts to a path in E from (0,0) to (0,1) and then goes around the circle tangent to the y-axis at (0,1) and ends at (0,1). By Theorem 54.3, since the lifts of f * g and g * f (denoted f * g and g * f) do not end at the same point of E, then f * g is not path homotopic to g * f.

That is, $[f * g] \neq [g * f]$, or (by definition of * in the fundamental group) $[f] * [g] \neq [g] * [f]$. Since $[f], [g] \in \pi_1$ (figure eight, b_0), then the fundamental group of the figure eight is not abelian. **Theorem 60.6.** The fundamental group of the double torus is not abelian. **Proof.** The double torus, denoted T # T is, informally

$$j_*([f]) * j_*([g]) \neq j_*([g]) * j_*([f])$$
(6)

Where $j_*([f]), j_*([g]) \in \pi_1(T \# T, x_0)$.

That is, the fundamental group of T # T is nonabelian.