## Section I.4. Cosets and Counting

Note. In this section, we generalize the idea of congruence modulo m on  $\mathbb{Z}$  to a more general setting. This is the same approach taken in an undergraduate class, but we will deal in a more hands-on way with the equivalence relation here.

**Definition I.4.1.** Let H be a subgroup of group G and  $a, b \in G$ . a is right congruent to b modulo H, denoted  $a \equiv_r b \pmod{H}$  if  $ab^{-1} \in H$ . a is left congruent to b modulo H, denoted  $a \equiv_{\ell} b \pmod{H}$ , if  $a^{-1}b \in H$ .

**Note.** We use left and right congruent to define left and right cosets. As in undergraduate algebra, we'll use the cosets to prove Lagrange's Theorem and, under "appropriate conditions" make a group out of the cosets.

**Theorem I.4.2.** Let H be a subgroup of a group G.

- (i) Right and left congruence modulo H are each equivalence relations on G.
- (*ii*) The equivalence class of  $a \in G$  under right (and left) congruence modulo H is the set  $Ha = \{ha \mid h \in H\}$  (and  $aH = \{ah \mid h \in H\}$  for left congruence).

(iii) |Ha| = |H| = |aH| for all  $a \in G$ .

The set Ha is a *right coset* of H in G and aH is a *left coset* of H in G.

Note. We see from the proof that |Ha| = |aH| = |H|, even if H is infinite since the existence of a bijection is established.

Corollary I.4.3. Let H be a subgroup of group G.

(i) G is the union of the right (and left) cosets of H in G.

- (ii) Two right (or two left) cosets of H in G are either disjoint or equal.
- (*iii*) For  $a, b \in G$ , we have that Ha = Hb if and only if  $ab^{-1} \in H$ , and aH = bH if and only if  $a^{-1}b \in H$ .
- (*iv*) If  $\mathcal{R}$  is the set of distinct right cosets of H in G and  $\mathcal{L}$  is the set of distinct left cosets of H in G, then  $|\mathcal{R}| = |\mathcal{L}|$ .

Note. Parts (i) and (ii) imply that the right (and left) cosets of H in G partition G.

Note. In additive notation, we have  $a \cong_r b \pmod{H}$  if and only if  $a - b \in H$ . The equivalence class of  $a \in G$  is the right coset  $H + a = \{h + a \mid h \in H\}$ .

**Definition I.4.4.** Let H be a subgroup of a group G. The *index* of H in G, denoted [G : H], is the cardinal number of the set of distinct right (or left) cosets of H in G.

Note. G and H may be infinite while [G : H] is finite:  $[\mathbb{Z} : \langle m \rangle] = m$ . The extreme values of the index occur when  $H = \{e\}$  and H = G:  $[G : \{e\}] = |G|$  and [G : G] = 1.

**Theorem I.4.5.** If K, H, G are groups with K < H < G, then [G : K] = [G : H][H : K]. If any two of these indices are finite, then so is the third.

Note. The proof of the well-known Lagrange's Theorem is now easy.

## Corollary I.4.6. Lagrange's Theorem.

If H is a subgroup of a group G, then |G| = [G : H]|H|. In particular, if G is finite then the order |a| of  $a \in G$  divides |G|; |H| divides |G|.

Note. The converse of the "in particular" comment in Lagrange's Theorem does not hold. For example, the alternating group  $A_4$  of order 12 (defined in Section I.6) does not have a subgroup of order 6; this is to be shown in Exercise I.6.8. So it is natural to ask: "For a given divisor d of the order of a finite group G, under what conditions does G have a subgroup of order d?" This is partially addressed in Section II.5. The Sylow Theorems; see Cauchy's Theorem (Theorem II.5.2) and the First Sylow Theorem (Theorem II.5.7). Note. For group G and H, K subsets of G, we denote the set  $\{hk \mid h \in H, k \in K\}$  as HK. If H and K are subgroups of G, then HK may or may not (see Exercise I.4.7) be a subgroup of G. Now for some "counting" results.

**Theorem I.4.7.** Let *H* and *K* be finite subgroups of a group *G*. Then  $|HK| = |H||K|/|H \cap K|$ .

**Proposition I.4.8.** If H and K are subgroups of a group G, then  $[H : H \cap K] \leq [G : K]$ . If [G : K] is finite, then  $[H : H \cap K] = [G : K]$  if and only if G = KH.

**Proposition I.4.9.** Let H and K be subgroups of finite index of group G. Then  $[G: H \cap K]$  is finite and  $[G: H \cap K] \leq [G: H][G: K]$ . Furthermore,  $[G: H \cap K] = [G: H][G: K]$  if and only if G = HK.

Revised: 10/17/2023