Advanced Differential Equations

Chapter 3. Existence Theory Section 3.4. The IVP for One Scalar Differential Equations—Proofs of Theorems







3 Lemma 3.4.4. Gronwall's Inequality



Theorem 3.4.5. Continuous Dependence of IVPs on Initial Conditions

Theorem 3.4.1

Theorem 3.4.1. Let f(x, t) be continuous and Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant K on $\Omega = \{(t, y) \mid |t - t_0| \le a, |y - y_0| \le b\}$ and let M be a number such that $|f(t, y)| \le M$ for all $(x, y) \in \Omega$. Choose $0 < \alpha < \min\{1/K, b/M, a\}$. Then there exists a unique solution of

$$y' = f(t, y)$$
$$y(t_0) = y_0$$

for $|t - t_0| \leq \alpha$.

Proof. Let f, Ω, t_0, a, b and α be as hypothesized. Let $B = \{\varphi \mid \varphi \in C([t_0 - \alpha, t_0 + \alpha]), \rho(\varphi, y_0) \le b\}$. Then *B* is complete by Lemma 3.2.3. Define

$$T[\varphi](t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\varphi(s)) \, ds.$$

Now

$$(T\varphi)(t) - y_0| \leq \left|\int_{t_0}^t |f(s,\varphi(s))| \, ds\right| \leq M|t-t_0| \leq M\alpha < b.$$

Theorem 3.4.1

Theorem 3.4.1. Let f(x, t) be continuous and Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant K on $\Omega = \{(t, y) \mid |t - t_0| \le a, |y - y_0| \le b\}$ and let M be a number such that $|f(t, y)| \le M$ for all $(x, y) \in \Omega$. Choose $0 < \alpha < \min\{1/K, b/M, a\}$. Then there exists a unique solution of

$$y' = f(t, y)$$
$$y(t_0) = y_0$$

for $|t - t_0| \leq \alpha$.

Proof. Let f, Ω, t_0, a, b and α be as hypothesized. Let $B = \{\varphi \mid \varphi \in C([t_0 - \alpha, t_0 + \alpha]), \rho(\varphi, y_0) \le b\}$. Then *B* is complete by Lemma 3.2.3. Define

$$T[\varphi](t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\varphi(s)) \, ds.$$

Now

$$|(T\varphi)(t) - y_0| \leq \left|\int_{t_0}^t |f(s,\varphi(s))| \, ds\right| \leq M|t-t_0| \leq Mlpha < b.$$

Theorem 3.4.1 (continued)

Proof (continued). Since $|(t\varphi)(t) - y_0|$ is continuous, it attains its maximum on $[t_0 - \alpha, t_0 + \alpha]$ (by the Extreme Value Theorem) and this max is $\leq b$. So $\rho(T\varphi, y_0) \leq b$ and for each $\varphi \in B$ we have $T\varphi \in B$. That is, $T: B \to B$.

Now

$$\begin{split} |(T\varphi - T\psi)(t)| &= \left| y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\varphi(s)) \, ds - y_0 - \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\psi(s)) \, ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^t |f(s,\varpi(s)) - f(s,\psi(s))| \, ds \right| \leq K \left| \int_{t_0}^t |\varphi(s) - \psi(s)| \, ds \right| \\ &\leq K\rho(\varphi,\psi) \left| \int_{t_0}^t ds \right| = K\rho(\varphi,\psi) |t - t_0| \leq K\alpha\rho(\varphi,\psi). \\ |(T\varphi - T\psi)(t)| \leq K\alpha\rho(\varphi,\psi) \text{ and therefore} \\ &\rho(T\varphi,T\psi) \leq K\alpha\rho(\varphi,\psi) < \rho(\varphi,\psi). \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.4.1 (continued)

Proof (continued). Since $|(t\varphi)(t) - y_0|$ is continuous, it attains its maximum on $[t_0 - \alpha, t_0 + \alpha]$ (by the Extreme Value Theorem) and this max is $\leq b$. So $\rho(T\varphi, y_0) \leq b$ and for each $\varphi \in B$ we have $T\varphi \in B$. That is, $T: B \to B$.

Now

So

$$\begin{split} |(T\varphi - T\psi)(t)| &= \left| y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\varphi(s)) \, ds - y_0 - \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\psi(s)) \, ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{t_0}^t |f(s,\varpi(s)) - f(s,\psi(s))| \, ds \right| \leq K \left| \int_{t_0}^t |\varphi(s) - \psi(s)| \, ds \right| \\ &\leq K\rho(\varphi,\psi) \left| \int_{t_0}^t \, ds \right| = K\rho(\varphi,\psi)|t - t_0| \leq K\alpha\rho(\varphi,\psi). \\ |(T\varphi - T\psi)(t)| \leq K\alpha\rho(\varphi,\psi) \text{ and therefore} \\ &\rho(T\varphi,T\psi) \leq K\alpha\rho(\varphi,\psi) < \rho(\varphi,\psi). \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.4.1 (continued)

Theorem 3.4.1. Let f(x, t) be continuous and Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant K on $\Omega = \{(t, y) \mid |t - t_0| \le a, |y - y_0| \le b\}$ and let M be a number such that $|f(t, y)| \le M$ for all $(x, y) \in \Omega$. Choose $0 < \alpha < \min\{1/K, b/M, a\}$. Then there exists a unique solution of

y' = f(t, y) $y(t_0) = y_0$

for $|t - t_0| \leq \alpha$.

Proof. So T is a contraction on B and therefore T has a unique fixed point by Theorem 3.3.1. This fixed point ψ is a solution to the integral equation

$$y=y_0+\int_{t_0}^t f(s,\psi(s))\,ds.$$

By Theorem 3.2.1, this fixed point is also the unique solution to the IVP.

Theorem 3.4.2. Let f(t, y) be continuous and Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant K valid for every t and y (i.e., f is "uniformly Lipschitz"). Then for any $t_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a solution to

$$y' = f(t, y)$$
$$y(t_0) = y_0$$

and this solution is valid for all t.

Proof. Let $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and assume $t_1 > t_0$. Let $M = C([t_0, t_1])$. For $f, g \in M$, define

$$\rho(f,g) = \max_{t \in [t_0,t_1]} e^{-L(t-t_0)} |f(t) - g(t)|.$$

Then ρ is a metric on M. We restrict L > K. Define

$$(Ty)(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, y(s)) \, ds.$$

Then $T: M \to M$.

Theorem 3.4.2. Let f(t, y) be continuous and Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant K valid for every t and y (i.e., f is "uniformly Lipschitz"). Then for any $t_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a solution to

$$y' = f(t, y)$$
$$y(t_0) = y_0$$

and this solution is valid for all t.

Proof. Let $t_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and assume $t_1 > t_0$. Let $M = C([t_0, t_1])$. For $f, g \in M$, define

$$\rho(f,g) = \max_{t \in [t_0,t_1]} e^{-L(t-t_0)} |f(t) - g(t)|.$$

Then ρ is a metric on M. We restrict L > K. Define

$$(Ty)(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, y(s)) \, ds.$$

Then $T: M \to M$.

Theorem 3.4.2 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). If $g, h \in M$ then

$$egin{aligned} &|(Tg)(t)-(Th)(t)| = \left|\int_{t_0}^t (f(s,g(s))-f(s,h(s)))\,ds
ight| \ &\leq \left|\int_{t_0}^t |f(s,g(s))-f(s,h(s))|\,ds
ight| \leq K \left|\int_{t_0}^t |g(s)-h(s)|\,ds
ight| \ &= K \int_{t_0}^t |g(s)-h(s)|\,ds, \end{aligned}$$

or, with $\alpha = K/L < 1$,

$$e^{-L(t-t_0)}|(Tg)(t)-(Th)(t)| \le Ke^{-L(t-t_0)}\int_{t_0}^t e^{-L(s-t_0)}e^{L(s-t_0)}|g(s)-h(s)|\,ds$$

$$\leq K\rho(g,h)e^{-L(t-t_0)}\int_{t_0}^t e^{L(s-t_0)}\,ds = \frac{K}{L}\rho(g,h)e^{-L(t-t_0)}(e^{L(t-t_0)}-1)$$

$$=\frac{K}{L}\rho(g,h)(1-e^{-L(t-t_0)})<\frac{K}{L}\rho(g,h)=\alpha\rho(g,h).$$

Theorem 3.4.2 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). If $g, h \in M$ then

$$egin{aligned} &|(Tg)(t)-(Th)(t)| = \left|\int_{t_0}^t (f(s,g(s))-f(s,h(s)))\,ds
ight| \ &\leq \left|\int_{t_0}^t |f(s,g(s))-f(s,h(s))|\,ds
ight| \leq K \left|\int_{t_0}^t |g(s)-h(s)|\,ds
ight| \ &= K \int_{t_0}^t |g(s)-h(s)|\,ds, \end{aligned}$$

or, with $\alpha = K/L < 1$,

$$e^{-L(t-t_0)}|(Tg)(t)-(Th)(t)| \leq Ke^{-L(t-t_0)}\int_{t_0}^t e^{-L(s-t_0)}e^{L(s-t_0)}|g(s)-h(s)|\,ds$$

$$\leq K\rho(g,h)e^{-L(t-t_0)}\int_{t_0}^t e^{L(s-t_0)}\,ds = \frac{K}{L}\rho(g,h)e^{-L(t-t_0)}(e^{L(t-t_0)}-1)$$

$$=\frac{K}{L}\rho(g,h)(1-e^{-L(t-t_0)})<\frac{K}{L}\rho(g,h)=\alpha\rho(g,h).$$

Theorem 3.4.2 (continued 2)

Theorem 3.4.2. Let f(t, y) be continuous and Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant K valid for every t and y (i.e., f is "uniformly Lipschitz"). Then for any $t_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a solution to

$$y' = f(t, y)$$
$$y(t_0) = y_0$$

and this solution is valid for all t.

Proof (continued). So $\rho(Tg, Th) \leq \alpha \rho(g, h)$ and T is a contraction. As in Theorem 3.4.1, this produces a unique fixed solution of the IVP valid on $[t_0, t_1]$. Since t_1 was arbitrary, the solution is valid for $t \in [t_0, \infty)$. Similarly, if $t_1 < t_0$ we get the solution valid for all t.

Lemma 3.4.4. Gronwall's Inequality

Lemma 3.4.4. Gronwall's Inequality.

Let $\varphi(t)$ be a nonnegative function where

$$arphi(t) \leq \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{K} \int_{t_0}^t arphi(s) \, ds, \ t > t_0$$

where C and K are constants, $K \ge 0$ and C > 0. Then $\varphi(t) \le Ce^{K(t-t_0)}$ for $t > t_0$.

Proof. Under the stated hypotheses, $\frac{K\varphi(t)}{C + K \int_{t_0}^t \varphi(s) \, ds} \leq K$ and so

$$\int_{u=t_0}^{u=t} \frac{K\varphi(u)}{C+K\int_{t_0}^{u}\varphi(s)\,ds}\,du \le \int_{u=t_0}^{u=t} K\,du$$

or $\ln\left(C+K\int_{t_0}^{u}\varphi(s)\,ds\right)\Big|_{u=t_0}^{u=t} \le K(t-t_0)$

Lemma 3.4.4. Gronwall's Inequality

Lemma 3.4.4. Gronwall's Inequality.

Let $\varphi(t)$ be a nonnegative function where

$$arphi(t) \leq \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{K} \int_{t_0}^t arphi(s) \, ds, \ t > t_0$$

where C and K are constants, $K \ge 0$ and C > 0. Then $\varphi(t) \le Ce^{K(t-t_0)}$ for $t > t_0$.

Proof. Under the stated hypotheses, $\frac{K\varphi(t)}{C + K \int_{t_0}^t \varphi(s) \, ds} \leq K$ and so

$$\int_{u=t_0}^{u=t} \frac{K\varphi(u)}{C+K\int_{t_0}^{u}\varphi(s)\,ds}\,du \leq \int_{u=t_0}^{u=t} K\,du$$

or $\ln\left(C+K\int_{t_0}^{u}\varphi(s)\,ds\right)\Big|_{u=t_0}^{u=t} \leq K(t-t_0)$

Lemma 3.4.4 (continued)

Proof (continued).

or
$$\ln\left(C + \int_{t_0}^t \varphi(s) \, ds\right) = \ln C \le K(t - t_0)$$

or $\frac{C + K \int_{t_0}^t \varphi(s) \, ds}{C} \le e^{K(t - t_0)}$
or $C + K \int_{t_0}^t \varphi(s) \, ds \le C e^{K(t - t_0)}$

and so by the hypotheses,

$$arphi(t) \leq \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{K} \int_{t_0}^t arphi(s) \, ds \leq \mathcal{C} e^{\mathcal{K}(t-t_0)}.$$

Theorem 3.4.5. Continuous Dependence of IVPs on Initial Conditions

Theorem 3.4.5. Continuous Dependence of IVPs on Initial Conditions.

Define $T : \mathbb{R} \to C([a, b])$ be defined as $Ty_0 = \varphi$ where φ is the solution of

$$y' = f(t, y)$$
$$y(t_0) = y_0$$

for given Lipschitz f with Lipschitz constant K valid for every t and y. Then T is continuous.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\delta < \varepsilon/e^{K(b-a)}$. By Theorem 3.2.1, $Ty_0 = \varphi$ is equivalent to

$$\varphi(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\varphi(s)) \, ds.$$

Let $y_0, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ where $|y_0 - y_1| < \delta$. Then if $Ty_1 = \psi$, we have...

Theorem 3.4.5. Continuous Dependence of IVPs on Initial Conditions

Theorem 3.4.5. Continuous Dependence of IVPs on Initial Conditions.

Define $T : \mathbb{R} \to C([a, b])$ be defined as $Ty_0 = \varphi$ where φ is the solution of

$$y' = f(t, y)$$
$$y(t_0) = y_0$$

for given Lipschitz f with Lipschitz constant K valid for every t and y. Then T is continuous.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\delta < \varepsilon/e^{K(b-a)}$. By Theorem 3.2.1, $Ty_0 = \varphi$ is equivalent to

$$\varphi(t) = y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\varphi(s)) \, ds.$$

Let $y_0, y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ where $|y_0 - y_1| < \delta$. Then if $Ty_1 = \psi$, we have...

Conditions

Theorem 3.4.5 (continued)

Proof (continued).

$$\begin{split} |Ty_0 - Ty_1| &= |\varphi(t) - \psi(t)| \\ &= \left| \left(y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\varphi(s)) \, ds \right) - \left(y_1 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\psi(s)) \, ds \right) \right| \\ &= \left| y_0 - y_1 + \int_{t_0}^t (f(s,\varphi(s)) - f(s,\psi(s)) \, ds \right| \\ &\leq |y_0 + y_1| + \left| \int_{t_0}^t |f(s,\varphi(s)) - f(s,\psi(s))| \, ds \right| \\ &\leq |y_0 - y_1| + K \left| \int_{t_0}^t |\varphi(s) - \psi(s)| \, ds \right| \quad (*) \end{split}$$

since *K* is the Lipschitz constant for *f*. By Lemma 3.4.4, we see that (*) implies $|Ty_0 - Ty_1| \le |y_0 - y_1|e^{K|t_0 - t|} \le |y_0 - y_1|e^{K(b-a)} < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. So $\rho(Ty_0, Ty_1) < \varepsilon$ and *T* is continuous.

Theorem 3.4.5 (continued)

Proof (continued).

$$\begin{split} |Ty_0 - Ty_1| &= |\varphi(t) - \psi(t)| \\ &= \left| \left(y_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\varphi(s)) \, ds \right) - \left(y_1 + \int_{t_0}^t f(s,\psi(s)) \, ds \right) \right| \\ &= \left| y_0 - y_1 + \int_{t_0}^t (f(s,\varphi(s)) - f(s,\psi(s)) \, ds \right| \\ &\leq |y_0 + y_1| + \left| \int_{t_0}^t |f(s,\varphi(s)) - f(s,\psi(s))| \, ds \right| \\ &\leq |y_0 - y_1| + K \left| \int_{t_0}^t |\varphi(s) - \psi(s)| \, ds \right| \quad (*) \end{split}$$

since K is the Lipschitz constant for f. By Lemma 3.4.4, we see that (*) implies $|Ty_0 - Ty_1| \le |y_0 - y_1|e^{K|t_0 - t|} \le |y_0 - y_1|e^{K(b-a)} < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. So $\rho(Ty_0, Ty_1) < \varepsilon$ and T is continuous.