#### History of Geometry

#### Chapter 2. The Elements of Euclid 2.1. Book I—Proofs of Theorems





# Table of contents

Euclid, Book I Proposition 1 Euclid, Book I Proposition 2 Euclid, Book I Proposition 5 Euclid, Book I Proposition 7 Euclid, Book I Proposition 8 Euclid, Book I Proposition 13 6 Euclid, Book I Proposition 14 Euclid, Book I Proposition 15 8 Euclid, Book I Proposition 16 10 Euclid, Book I Proposition 27 Euclid, Book I Proposition 29 12 Euclid, Book I Proposition 30 13 Euclid, Book I Proposition 31

# **Euclid, Book I Proposition 1.** On a given finite straight line *AB* to construct an equilateral triangle.

**Proof.** By Postulate 3, we can construct a circle  $\Delta$  centered at point A and passing through point B. Also by Postulate 3, construct a circle E centered at point B and passing through point A. Let  $\Gamma$  be a point of intersection of circles  $\Delta$  and E.

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 1.** On a given finite straight line *AB* to construct an equilateral triangle.

**Proof.** By Postulate 3, we can construct a circle  $\Delta$  centered at point A and passing through point B. Also by Postulate 3, construct a circle E centered at point B and passing through point A. Let  $\Gamma$  be a point of intersection of circles  $\Delta$  and E. Construct a line segment joining points  $\Gamma$  and A, and construct a line segment joining  $\Gamma$  and B (using Postulate 1). Then the distance  $A\Gamma$  is equal to  $B\Gamma$  and equal to AB (by the definition of circle, Book I Definition 15).



3 / 16

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 1.** On a given finite straight line *AB* to construct an equilateral triangle.

**Proof.** By Postulate 3, we can construct a circle  $\Delta$  centered at point A and passing through point B. Also by Postulate 3, construct a circle E centered at point B and passing through point A. Let  $\Gamma$  be a point of intersection of circles  $\Delta$  and E. Construct a line segment joining points  $\Gamma$  and A, and construct a line segment joining  $\Gamma$  and B (using Postulate 1). Then the distance  $A\Gamma$  is equal to  $B\Gamma$  and equal to AB (by the definition of circle, Book I Definition 15).



**Euclid, Book I Proposition 2.** To place at a given point A a straight line AE equal to a given straight line  $B\Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Use Euclid I.1 to construct an equilateral triangle  $AB\Delta$  on segment AB. By Postulate 3, construct the circle with center B and passing through point  $\Gamma$ . Extend line segment  $\Delta B$  from point B until it intersects this circle at, say, point H (Postulate 2 allows this).

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 2.** To place at a given point A a straight line AE equal to a given straight line  $B\Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Use Euclid I.1 to construct an equilateral triangle  $AB\Delta$  on segment AB. By Postulate 3, construct the circle with center B and passing through point  $\Gamma$ . Extend line segment  $\Delta B$ Η from point B until it intersects this circle at, say, point H (Postulate 2 allows this). Also by Postulate 3, construct a second circle with center  $\Delta$  passing through *H*. Extend line segment  $\Delta A$  from point A until it intersects this second circle at, say, point E (Postulate 2). The distance  $B\Gamma$  equals the distance BH (because of properties of the first circle) and distance  $\Delta H$  equals distance  $\Delta E$ (because of properties of the second circle). So  $\Delta E = \Delta A + AE = \Delta H = \Delta B + BH$  or AE = BH, since  $\Delta B = \Delta A$ .



**Euclid, Book I Proposition 2.** To place at a given point A a straight line AE equal to a given straight line  $B\Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Use Euclid I.1 to construct an equilateral triangle  $AB\Delta$  on segment AB. By Postulate 3, construct the circle with center B and passing through point  $\Gamma$ . Extend line segment  $\Delta B$ Η from point B until it intersects this circle at, say, point H (Postulate 2 allows this). Also by Postulate 3, construct a second circle with center  $\Delta$  passing through H. Extend line segment  $\Delta A$  from point A until it intersects this second circle at, say, point E (Postulate 2). The distance  $B\Gamma$  equals the distance BH (because of properties of the first circle) and distance  $\Delta H$  equals distance  $\Delta E$ (because of properties of the second circle). So  $\Delta E = \Delta A + AE = \Delta H = \Delta B + BH$  or AE = BH, since  $\Delta B = \Delta A$ .



History of Geometry

#### **Euclid, Book I Proposition 5.** If in a triangle, a = b, then $\alpha = \beta$ .

**Proof.** Here, we present Euclid's proof and consider Figure 2.2(a). By Postulate 2 we can extend line segments *CA* and *CB* "continuously" to points *F* and *G* such that, by Euclid I.2, AF = BG (without loss of generality, CA < CB and we can extend *CA* and produce a segment *CF* longer than *CB* and then we can extend *CB* to produce a segment *CG* equal in length to *CB* by Euclid I.2; from this we have AF = BG).

#### **Euclid, Book I Proposition 5.** If in a triangle, a = b, then $\alpha = \beta$ .

**Proof.** Here, we present Euclid's proof and consider Figure 2.2(a). By Postulate 2 we can extend line segments CA and CB "continuously" to points F and G such that, by Euclid I.2, AF = BG (without loss of generality, CA < CBand we can extend CA and produce a segment CF longer than CB and then we can extend CB to produce a segment CG equal in length Figure 2.2(a) to CB by Euclid I.2; from this we have AF = BG). Next, by Postulate 2 we can introduce segments FB and AG. Now by Euclid I.4 ("side-angle-side"), triangles FCB and GCA are equal. So, corresponding angles and sides of the triangles are equal, so that  $\alpha + \delta = \beta + \varepsilon$ ,  $\eta = \zeta$ , and FB = GA. Again by Euclid I.4, triangle AFB and BGA are equal and so  $\delta = \varepsilon$ . Since  $\alpha + \delta = \beta + \varepsilon$  and  $\delta = \varepsilon$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ , as claimed.

()

#### **Euclid, Book I Proposition 5.** If in a triangle, a = b, then $\alpha = \beta$ .

**Proof.** Here, we present Euclid's proof and consider Figure 2.2(a). By Postulate 2 we can extend line segments CA and CB "continuously" to points F and G such that, by Euclid I.2, AF = BG (without loss of generality, CA < CBBand we can extend CA and produce a segment CF longer than CB and then we can extend CB to produce a segment CG equal in length Figure 2.2(a) to CB by Euclid I.2; from this we have AF = BG). Next, by Postulate 2 we can introduce segments FB and AG. Now by Euclid I.4 ("side-angle-side"), triangles FCB and GCA are equal. So, corresponding angles and sides of the triangles are equal, so that  $\alpha + \delta = \beta + \varepsilon$ ,  $\eta = \zeta$ , and FB = GA. Again by Euclid I.4, triangle AFB and BGA are equal and so  $\delta = \varepsilon$ . Since  $\alpha + \delta = \beta + \varepsilon$  and  $\delta = \varepsilon$ , then  $\alpha = \beta$ , as claimed.

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 7.** Consider the two triangles of Figure 2.3(a), with the same base AB and with the third vertex on the same side of the base. If a = a' and b = b', then points C and D are the same, C = D.

**Proof.** We present Euclid's proof. ASSUME  $C \neq D$ . Since triangle *DAC* is isosceles by hypothesis, then by Euclid I.5 we have  $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ . Similarly, triangle *DBC* is isosceles and, again by Euclid I.5,  $\beta = \gamma + \delta$ . Since  $\alpha > 0$  then  $\gamma > \beta$ , and since  $\delta > 0$  then  $\gamma < \beta$ , a CONTRADICTION. So the assumption that  $c \neq D$  is false, and hence C = D as claimed.

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 7.** Consider the two triangles of Figure 2.3(a), with the same base AB and with the third vertex on the same side of the base. If a = a' and b = b', then points C and D are the same, C = D.

**Proof.** We present Euclid's proof. ASSUME  $C \neq D$ . Since triangle *DAC* is isosceles by hypothesis, then by Euclid I.5 we have  $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ . Similarly, triangle *DBC* is isosceles and, again by Euclid I.5,  $\beta = \gamma + \delta$ . Since  $\alpha > 0$  then  $\gamma > \beta$ , and since  $\delta > 0$  then  $\gamma < \beta$ , a CONTRADICTION. So the assumption that  $c \neq D$  is false, and hence C = D as claimed.



**Euclid, Book I Proposition 7.** Consider the two triangles of Figure 2.3(a), with the same base AB and with the third vertex on the same side of the base. If a = a' and b = b', then points C and D are the same, C = D.

**Proof.** We present Euclid's proof. ASSUME  $C \neq D$ . Since triangle *DAC* is isosceles by hypothesis, then by Euclid I.5 we have  $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ . Similarly, triangle *DBC* is isosceles and, again by Euclid I.5,  $\beta = \gamma + \delta$ . Since  $\alpha > 0$  then  $\gamma > \beta$ , and since  $\delta > 0$  then  $\gamma < \beta$ , a CONTRADICTION. So the assumption that  $c \neq D$  is false, and hence C = D as claimed.



# **Euclid, Book I Proposition 8.** If two triangles *ABC* and *DEF* have sides of equal lengths, then they also have equal angles.

**Proof.** Ostermann and Wanner credit the proof they give to Philo of Byzantium (circa 280 BCE–circa 220 BCE), declaring it "more elegant" than Euclid's proof (though they do not give a specific reference). We move triangle *ABC* onto triangle *DEF* in such a way that the line segment *BC* is placed on segment *EF* (this is possible since these are the same length) and the point *A* is moved onto point *G* on the opposite side of *EF* to *D*; see Figures 2.3(b) and (c).

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 8.** If two triangles *ABC* and *DEF* have sides of equal lengths, then they also have equal angles.

**Proof.** Ostermann and Wanner credit the proof they give to Philo of Byzantium (circa 280 BCE–circa 220 BCE), declaring it "more elegant" than Euclid's proof (though they do not give a specific reference). We move triangle *ABC* onto triangle *DEF* in such a way that the line segment *BC* is placed on segment *EF* (this is possible since these are the same length) and the point *A* is moved onto point *G* on the opposite side of *EF* to *D*; see Figures 2.3(b) and (c).



Figure 2.3(b) and (c)

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 8.** If two triangles *ABC* and *DEF* have sides of equal lengths, then they also have equal angles.

**Proof.** Ostermann and Wanner credit the proof they give to Philo of Byzantium (circa 280 BCE–circa 220 BCE), declaring it "more elegant" than Euclid's proof (though they do not give a specific reference). We move triangle *ABC* onto triangle *DEF* in such a way that the line segment *BC* is placed on segment *EF* (this is possible since these are the same length) and the point *A* is moved onto point *G* on the opposite side of *EF* to *D*; see Figures 2.3(b) and (c).



# Euclid, Book I Proposition 8 (continued)

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 8.** If two triangles *ABC* and *DEF* have sides of equal lengths, then they also have equal angles.

**Proof (continued).** So DE = DG and triangle DEG is isosceles. Hence, by Euclid I.5,  $\alpha = \beta$ . Similarly, triangle DFG is also isosceles and  $\gamma = \delta$ . So  $\beta + \delta = \alpha + \gamma$ ; that is, the angle at A (equal to  $\beta + \delta$ ) equals the angle at D (equal to  $\alpha + \gamma$ ). Similar arguments (with different movements) show the other corresponding angles are also equal.



Figure 2.3(b) and (c)

**Euclid I.13.** Let the line *AB* cut the line *CD*. With  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as the two resulting angles on the same side of line *CD*, we have  $\alpha + \beta = 2$   $\square$ .

**Proof.** Let *B* be the point of intersection of the lines. If the lines are perpendicular, then  $\alpha = \beta = \mathbf{b}$  and we are done.

**Euclid I.13.** Let the line *AB* cut the line *CD*. With  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as the two resulting angles on the same side of line *CD*, we have  $\alpha + \beta = 2$   $\square$ . **Proof.** Let *B* be the point of intersection of the lines. If the lines are perpendicular, then  $\alpha = \beta = \square$  and we are done. So without loss of generality, we may assume that one of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is greater than  $\square$ , say  $\beta > \square$ . Euclid I.11 gives the existence of a perpendicular to *CD* through point *B*. With  $\eta$  as the angle between this perpendicular and line *AB*, we have that  $\beta = \square + \eta$  and  $\alpha + \eta = \square$  (see Figure 2.5). Combining these two equations gives  $\alpha + \eta + \beta = 2\square + \eta$ , or  $\alpha + \beta = 2\square$ , as claimed.

**Euclid I.13.** Let the line *AB* cut the line *CD*. With  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as the two resulting angles on the same side of line *CD*, we have  $\alpha + \beta = 2$   $\square$ .

**Proof.** Let *B* be the point of intersection of the lines. If the lines are perpendicular, then  $\alpha = \beta = b$  and we are done. So without loss of generality, we may assume that one of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is greater than b, say  $\beta > b$ . Euclid I.11 gives the existence of a perpendicular to *CD* through point *B*. With  $\eta$  as the angle between this perpendicular and line *AB*, we have that  $\beta = b + \eta$  and  $\alpha + \eta = b$  (see Figure 2.5). Combining these two equations gives  $\alpha + \eta + \beta = 2b + \eta$ , or  $\alpha + \beta = 2b$ , as claimed.



Figure 2.5

**Euclid I.13.** Let the line *AB* cut the line *CD*. With  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  as the two resulting angles on the same side of line *CD*, we have  $\alpha + \beta = 2$   $\square$ .

**Proof.** Let *B* be the point of intersection of the lines. If the lines are perpendicular, then  $\alpha = \beta = b$  and we are done. So without loss of generality, we may assume that one of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is greater than b, say  $\beta > b$ . Euclid I.11 gives the existence of a perpendicular to *CD* through point *B*. With  $\eta$  as the angle between this perpendicular and line *AB*, we have that  $\beta = b + \eta$  and  $\alpha + \eta = b$  (see Figure 2.5). Combining these two equations gives  $\alpha + \eta + \beta = 2b + \eta$ , or  $\alpha + \beta = 2b$ , as claimed.



Figure 2.5

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 14.** Let line segment *DB* and line segment *BA* determine an angle  $\beta$ . If segment *BC* makes an angle  $\alpha$  with segment *BA* where point *C* is exterior to the first angle. If  $\alpha + \beta = 2$  then *C* lies on the line *DB*.

**Proof.** Let *E* line on the line *DB* and let  $\gamma$  be the angle between segments *BA* and *BE*. Then by Euclid I.13,  $\gamma + \beta = 2$  **b**. By hypothesis,  $\alpha + \beta = 2$  **b**, so  $\gamma + \beta = \alpha + \beta$  (by Postulate 4) and hence  $\gamma = \alpha$ . So points *E* and *C* lie on the same line (i.e., lie on the same side of the common angle). Since *E* lies on line *DB* then we have that *C* lies on line *DB*, as claimed.

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 14.** Let line segment *DB* and line segment *BA* determine an angle  $\beta$ . If segment *BC* makes an angle  $\alpha$  with segment *BA* where point *C* is exterior to the first angle. If  $\alpha + \beta = 2$  then *C* lies on the line *DB*.

**Proof.** Let *E* line on the line *DB* and let  $\gamma$  be the angle between segments *BA* and *BE*. Then by Euclid I.13,  $\gamma + \beta = 2$   $\square$ . By hypothesis,  $\alpha + \beta = 2$   $\square$ , so  $\gamma + \beta = \alpha + \beta$  (by Postulate 4) and hence  $\gamma = \alpha$ . So points *E* and *C* lie on the same line (i.e., lie on the same side of the common angle). Since *E* lies on line *DB* then we have that *C* lies on line *DB*, as claimed.



**Euclid, Book I Proposition 14.** Let line segment *DB* and line segment *BA* determine an angle  $\beta$ . If segment *BC* makes an angle  $\alpha$  with segment *BA* where point *C* is exterior to the first angle. If  $\alpha + \beta = 2$  then *C* lies on the line *DB*.

**Proof.** Let *E* line on the line *DB* and let  $\gamma$  be the angle between segments *BA* and *BE*. Then by Euclid I.13,  $\gamma + \beta = 2$   $\square$ . By hypothesis,  $\alpha + \beta = 2$   $\square$ , so  $\gamma + \beta = \alpha + \beta$  (by Postulate 4) and hence  $\gamma = \alpha$ . So points *E* and *C* lie on the same line (i.e., lie on the same side of the common angle). Since *E* lies on line *DB* then we have that *C* lies on line *DB*, as claimed.



# **Euclid, Book I Proposition 15.** If two lines cut one another, they make the opposite angles equal to one another.

**Proof.** Consider the opposite angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and introduce angle  $\gamma$  as given in Figure 2.7. By Euclid I.13 we have  $\alpha + \gamma = 2$  and  $\gamma + \beta = 2$  and  $\gamma + \beta = 2$  b. So  $\alpha + \gamma = \gamma + \beta$  (by Postulate 4). Therefore  $\alpha = \beta$ , as claimed.

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 15.** If two lines cut one another, they make the opposite angles equal to one another.

**Proof.** Consider the opposite angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and introduce angle  $\gamma$  as given in Figure 2.7. By Euclid I.13 we have  $\alpha + \gamma = 2$  and  $\gamma + \beta = 2$  b. So  $\alpha + \gamma = \gamma + \beta$  (by Postulate 4). Therefore  $\alpha = \beta$ , as claimed.



**Euclid, Book I Proposition 15.** If two lines cut one another, they make the opposite angles equal to one another.

**Proof.** Consider the opposite angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and introduce angle  $\gamma$  as given in Figure 2.7. By Euclid I.13 we have  $\alpha + \gamma = 2$  and  $\gamma + \beta = 2$  b. So  $\alpha + \gamma = \gamma + \beta$  (by Postulate 4). Therefore  $\alpha = \beta$ , as claimed.



**Euclid, Book I Proposition 16.** If one side of a triangle is extended at C, the exterior angle is greater than both angles in the triangle opposite to C.

**Proof.** Let *E* be the midpoint of *AC*, which can be found by Euclid I.10. By Postulate 1, line segment *BE* exists. By Postulate 2, line segment *BE* can be extended to a point *F* such that BE = EF. The "grey" angles at point *E* of Figure 2.8 are opposite angles and so by Euclid I.15 are equal. So by Euclid I.4 (side-angle-side) the two grey triangles are equal.

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 16.** If one side of a triangle is extended at C, the exterior angle is greater than both angles in the triangle opposite to C.

**Proof.** Let *E* be the midpoint of *AC*, which can be found by Euclid I.10. By Postulate 1, line segment BE exists. By Postulate 2, line segment *BE* can be extended to a point *F* such that BE = EF. The "grey" angles at point E of Figure 2.8 are opposite angles and so by Euclid I.15 are equal. So by Euclid I.4 (side-angle-side) the two grey triangles are equal. Therefore the grey angle at point C is  $\alpha$  and is "obviously" smaller than  $\delta$  (we could use Common Notion 5 here); that is,  $\delta > \alpha$ , as claimed. Similarly, by bisecting side BC and introducing a triangle with a vertex at point C, we can use the other angle of size  $\delta$  to



Figure 2.8

show  $\delta > \beta$ , as claimed.

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 16.** If one side of a triangle is extended at C, the exterior angle is greater than both angles in the triangle opposite to C.

**Proof.** Let *E* be the midpoint of *AC*, which can be found by Euclid I.10. By Postulate 1, line segment BE exists. By Postulate 2, line segment *BE* can be extended to a point *F* such that BE = EF. The "grey" angles at point E of Figure 2.8 are opposite angles and so by Euclid I.15 are equal. So by Euclid I.4 (side-angle-side) the two grey triangles are equal. Therefore the grey angle at point C is  $\alpha$  and is "obviously" smaller than  $\delta$  (we could use Common Notion 5 here); that is,  $\delta > \alpha$ , as claimed. Similarly, by bisecting side BC and introducing a triangle with a vertex at point C, we can use the other angle of size  $\delta$  to show  $\delta > \beta$ , as claimed.

Figure 2.8

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 27.** If some line cuts two line *a* and *b* such that alternate interior angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are equal, then lines *a* and *b* are parallel, denoted  $a \parallel b$ .

**Proof.** Let points *E* and *F* be the points of intersection of the cutting line with lines *a* and *b* (see Figure 2.10). ASSUME that lines *a* and *b* are not parallel; then they meet at some point *G*. Without loss of generality, suppose point *G* is on side of the cutting line in which angle  $\beta$  lies, as in Figure 2.10. Then *EGF* is a triangle with  $\alpha$  as an exterior angle. So by Euclid I.16,  $\alpha > \beta$ . But this CONTRADICTS the assumption that  $\alpha = \beta$ . So the assumption that lines *a* and *b* are not parallel is false, and hence lines *a* and *b* are parallel, as claimed.

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 27.** If some line cuts two line *a* and *b* such that alternate interior angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are equal, then lines *a* and *b* are parallel, denoted  $a \parallel b$ .

**Proof.** Let points *E* and *F* be the points of intersection of the cutting line with lines *a* and *b* (see Figure 2.10). ASSUME that lines *a* and *b* are not parallel; then they meet at some point *G*. Without loss of generality, suppose point *G* is on side of the cutting line in which angle  $\beta$  lies, as in Figure 2.10. Then *EGF* is a triangle with  $\alpha$  as an exterior angle. So by Euclid I.16,  $\alpha > \beta$ . But this CONTRADICTS the assumption that  $\alpha = \beta$ . So the assumption that lines *a* and *b* are not parallel is false, and hence lines *a* and *b* are parallel, as claimed.



**Euclid, Book I Proposition 27.** If some line cuts two line *a* and *b* such that alternate interior angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are equal, then lines *a* and *b* are parallel, denoted  $a \parallel b$ .

**Proof.** Let points *E* and *F* be the points of intersection of the cutting line with lines *a* and *b* (see Figure 2.10). ASSUME that lines *a* and *b* are not parallel; then they meet at some point *G*. Without loss of generality, suppose point *G* is on side of the cutting line in which angle  $\beta$  lies, as in Figure 2.10. Then *EGF* is a triangle with  $\alpha$  as an exterior angle. So by Euclid I.16,  $\alpha > \beta$ . But this CONTRADICTS the assumption that  $\alpha = \beta$ . So the assumption that lines *a* and *b* are not parallel is false, and hence lines *a* and *b* are parallel, as claimed.



# **Euclid, Book I Proposition 29.** Parallel lines cut by some line, have alternate interior angles are equal.

**Proof.** Let *a* and *b* be parallel lines and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be alternate interior angles that result from the cutting line (see Figure 2.11). ASSUME  $\alpha > \beta$ . Introduce angle  $\gamma$  as in Figure 2.11. By Euclid I.13 we have  $\alpha + \gamma = 2$  **b**, and hence  $\beta + \gamma < 2$  **b**. By the Parallel Postulate (Postulate 5), lines *a* and *b* meet (and do so on the side of the cutting line containing angles  $\beta$ and  $\gamma$ ), CONTRADICTING the hypothesis that *a* || *b*. So the assumption that  $\alpha > \beta$  is false and hence we have  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Similarly, if we assume  $\alpha < \beta$  we can show that  $\alpha \geq \beta$ . Hence  $\alpha = \beta$ , as claimed.

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 29.** Parallel lines cut by some line, have alternate interior angles are equal.

**Proof.** Let *a* and *b* be parallel lines and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be alternate interior angles that result from the cutting line (see Figure 2.11). ASSUME  $\alpha > \beta$ . Introduce angle  $\gamma$  as in Figure 2.11. By Euclid I.13 we have  $\alpha + \gamma = 2$  b, and hence  $\beta + \gamma < 2$  b. By the Parallel Postulate (Postulate 5), lines *a* and *b* meet (and do so on the side of the cutting line containing angles  $\beta$ and  $\gamma$ ), CONTRADICTING the hypothesis that *a* || *b*. So the assumption that  $\alpha > \beta$  is false and hence we have  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Similarly, if we assume  $\alpha < \beta$  we can show that  $\alpha \geq \beta$ . Hence  $\alpha = \beta$ , as claimed.



Figure 2.11

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 29.** Parallel lines cut by some line, have alternate interior angles are equal.

**Proof.** Let *a* and *b* be parallel lines and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be alternate interior angles that result from the cutting line (see Figure 2.11). ASSUME  $\alpha > \beta$ . Introduce angle  $\gamma$  as in Figure 2.11. By Euclid I.13 we have  $\alpha + \gamma = 2$  b, and hence  $\beta + \gamma < 2$  b. By the Parallel Postulate (Postulate 5), lines *a* and *b* meet (and do so on the side of the cutting line containing angles  $\beta$ and  $\gamma$ ), CONTRADICTING the hypothesis that *a* || *b*. So the assumption that  $\alpha > \beta$  is false and hence we have  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Similarly, if we assume  $\alpha < \beta$  we can show that  $\alpha \geq \beta$ . Hence  $\alpha = \beta$ , as claimed.



Figure 2.11

# **Euclid, Book I Proposition 30.** For any three (distinct) lines a, b, c, if $a \parallel b$ and $b \parallel a$ then $a \parallel c$ .

**Proof.** Let the angles between the parallel lines *a*, *b*, *c* and the cutting line be  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , respectively (see Figure 2.12). If we introduce the angles opposite  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  as  $\alpha', \beta', \gamma'$  (not pictured in Figure 2.12) then by Euclid I.15 we have  $\alpha = \alpha', \beta = \beta'$ , and  $\gamma = \gamma'$ . By Euclid I.29,  $\alpha = \beta'$  since  $a \parallel b$ , and  $\beta = \gamma'$  since  $b \parallel c$ . Hence,  $\alpha = \beta' = \beta = \gamma'$ . Finally, by Euclid I.27, we have  $a \parallel c$ , as claimed.

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 30.** For any three (distinct) lines a, b, c, if  $a \parallel b$  and  $b \parallel a$  then  $a \parallel c$ .

**Proof.** Let the angles between the parallel lines *a*, *b*, *c* and the cutting line be  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , respectively (see Figure 2.12). If we introduce the angles opposite  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  as  $\alpha', \beta', \gamma'$  (not pictured in Figure 2.12) then by Euclid I.15 we have  $\alpha = \alpha', \beta = \beta'$ , and  $\gamma = \gamma'$ . By Euclid I.29,  $\alpha = \beta'$  since  $a \parallel b$ , and  $\beta = \gamma'$  since  $b \parallel c$ . Hence,  $\alpha = \beta' = \beta = \gamma'$ . Finally, by Euclid I.27, we have  $a \parallel c$ , as claimed.





**Euclid, Book I Proposition 30.** For any three (distinct) lines a, b, c, if  $a \parallel b$  and  $b \parallel a$  then  $a \parallel c$ .

**Proof.** Let the angles between the parallel lines *a*, *b*, *c* and the cutting line be  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , respectively (see Figure 2.12). If we introduce the angles opposite  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  as  $\alpha', \beta', \gamma'$  (not pictured in Figure 2.12) then by Euclid I.15 we have  $\alpha = \alpha', \beta = \beta'$ , and  $\gamma = \gamma'$ . By Euclid I.29,  $\alpha = \beta'$  since  $a \parallel b$ , and  $\beta = \gamma'$  since  $b \parallel c$ . Hence,  $\alpha = \beta' = \beta = \gamma'$ . Finally, by Euclid I.27, we have  $a \parallel c$ , as claimed.





# **Euclid, Book I Proposition 31.** To draw a parallel to a given line *a* through a given point *A* not on the *a*.

**Proof.** By Euclid I.12, we can construct a perpendicular to line *a* through point *A*. By Euclid I.11, we can construct a perpendicular *b* to the perpendicular through point *A*. We then have that the alternate interior angles for lines *a* and *b* are both right angles and hence by Euclid I.27 line *b* is parallel to line *a* and passes through point *A*, as needed.

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 31.** To draw a parallel to a given line *a* through a given point *A* not on the *a*.

**Proof.** By Euclid I.12, we can construct a perpendicular to line *a* through point *A*. By Euclid I.11, we can construct a perpendicular *b* to the perpendicular through point *A*. We then have that the alternate interior angles for lines *a* and *b* are both right angles and hence by Euclid I.27 line *b* is parallel to line *a* and passes through point *A*, as needed.



Figure 2.13

**Euclid, Book I Proposition 31.** To draw a parallel to a given line *a* through a given point *A* not on the *a*.

**Proof.** By Euclid I.12, we can construct a perpendicular to line *a* through point *A*. By Euclid I.11, we can construct a perpendicular *b* to the perpendicular through point *A*. We then have that the alternate interior angles for lines *a* and *b* are both right angles and hence by Euclid I.27 line *b* is parallel to line *a* and passes through point *A*, as needed.



Figure 2.13