## Real Analysis

Chapter V. Mappings of the Euclidean Plane 49. Direct and Indirect Isometries-Proofs of Theorems
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Theorem 49.2. Indirect Isometries as Reflections.
An indirect isometry $z^{\prime}=a \bar{z}+b$ has invariant points if and only if $a \bar{b}+b=0$. If it has an invariant point, it is a line reflection and has a hole like of invariant points. Every line reflection is an indirect isometry.

Proof. The first claim is shown above.
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An indirect isometry $z^{\prime}=a \bar{z}+b$ has invariant points if and only if $a \bar{b}+b=0$. If it has an invariant point, it is a line reflection and has a hole like of invariant points. Every line reflection is an indirect isometry.

Proof. The first claim is shown above.
Suppose indirect isometry $z^{\prime}=a \bar{z}+b$, where $|a|=1$, has invariant point
$w$. Then $w=a \bar{w}+b$ and with $z^{\prime}=a \bar{z}+b$ we have (subtracting)

$$
z^{\prime}-w=(z \bar{z}+b)-(a \bar{w}+b)=a(\bar{z}-\bar{w})=a \overline{(z-w)} .
$$
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If $T_{w}: z^{\prime}=z+w$ is a translation, and $M_{a}: z^{\prime}=a \bar{z}$ is a reflection about the line through the origin which makes an angle $\arg (a) / 2$ with the real axis (see Definition 41.3), then

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{w} \circ M_{a} \circ T_{w}^{-1}(z)=T_{w} \circ M_{a}(z-w)=T_{z}(\overline{a(z-w)}) \\
=a \overline{(z-w)}+w=\left(z^{\prime}-w\right)+w=z^{\prime} .
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Theorem 49.2 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). So the given isometry is $T_{w} \circ M_{a} \circ T_{w}^{-1}$ (a translation of $w$ to 0 , a reflection about the line through the origin which makes an angle $\arg (a) / 2$ with the real axis, and a translation of 0 to $w)$. This is a reflection about the line through $w$ that makes an angle of $\arg (a) / 2$ with the real axis. Hence if the direct isometry has an invariant point then it is a reflection about a line and this line of reflection is invariant, as claimed.

Now suppose we have a line reflection $M$ about a line $m$. (See the next slide for a picture.) Let $w$ be a point on $m$ and let $\ell$ be a line through the origin which is parallel to $m$. Notice that the translation $T_{w}^{-1}: z^{\prime}=z-w$ maps line $m$ to line $\ell$. Let $M_{a}: z^{\prime}=a \bar{z}$ where $|a|=1$ and $\arg (a) / 2$ equals the angle that line $\ell$ makes with the real axis (so $M_{a}$ is a reflection about line $\ell$ ).

## Theorem 49.2 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). So the given isometry is $T_{w} \circ M_{a} \circ T_{w}^{-1}$ (a translation of $w$ to 0 , a reflection about the line through the origin which makes an angle $\arg (a) / 2$ with the real axis, and a translation of 0 to $w)$. This is a reflection about the line through $w$ that makes an angle of $\arg (a) / 2$ with the real axis. Hence if the direct isometry has an invariant point then it is a reflection about a line and this line of reflection is invariant, as claimed.

Now suppose we have a line reflection $M$ about a line $m$. (See the next slide for a picture.) Let $w$ be a point on $m$ and let $\ell$ be a line through the origin which is parallel to $m$. Notice that the translation $T_{w}^{-1}: z^{\prime}=z-w$ maps line $m$ to line $\ell$. Let $M_{a}: z^{\prime}=a \bar{z}$ where $|a|=1$ and $\arg (a) / 2$ equals the angle that line $\ell$ makes with the real axis (so $M_{a}$ is a reflection about line $\ell$ ).
$M(z)=T_{w} \circ M_{a} \circ T_{w}^{-1}(z)-a \bar{z}-a \bar{w}+w$ where $|a|=1$ (as shown above) so that $M$ is an indirect isometry. So every line reflection is an indirect isometry, as claimed.
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