
Introduction to Knot Theory

Chapter 9. High-Dimensional Knot Theory

9.4. Slice Knots—Proofs of Theorems



Introduction to Knot Theory

May 2, 2021 1 /

1 / 10

Corollary 9.4.3

Corollary 9.4.3 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). Now

$$MVM^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$
 implies $(MVM^t)^t = MV^tM^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C^t \\ B^t & D^t \end{pmatrix}$.

So the Alexander polynomial is

$$\det(MVM^{t} - tMV^{t}M^{t}) = \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} - t\begin{pmatrix} 0 & C^{t} \\ B^{t} & D^{t} \end{pmatrix}\right)$$
$$= \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B - tC^{t} \\ C - tB^{t} & D - tD^{t} \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Now, in general, for partitioned square matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, where A_{11} is square and nonsingular, we have

$$\det(A) = \det(A_{11}) \det(A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}) \dots$$

Corollary 9.4.3

Corollary 9.4.3

Corollary 9.4.3. The Alexander polynomial of a slice knot can be factored as $\pm t^k f(t) f(t^{-1})$ for some integer polynomial f and integer k.

Proof. The Alexander polynomial is $\det(V-tV^t)$, where V is a Seifert matrix for the knot, by Theorem 6.2.1. By Theorem 9.4.2, there is an invertible, determinant 1, integer matrix M such that MVM^t if of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$. So the Alexander polynomial is

$$\begin{split} \det(V-tV^t) &= \det(M)\det(V-tV^t)\det(M^t) \\ &= \det(M) = \det(M^t) = 1 \\ &= \det(M(V-tV^t)M^t) \text{ by the Multiplicative} \\ &= \det(MVM^t-tMV^tM^t). \end{split}$$

Introduction to Knot Theory May 2, 2021 3 / 10

Corollary 9.4

Corollary 9.4.3 (continued 2)

Proof (continued).

$$\ldots \det(A) = \det(A_{11}) \det(A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12})$$

(see Theorem 3.4.3 in my online notes for Theory of Matrices [MATH 5090] on Section 3.4. More on Partitioned Square Matrices: The Schur Complement). In fact, we can use a sequence of row interchanges and column interchanges to show that if A_{22} is nonsingular then

$$\det(A) = \det(A_{22}) \det(A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21})$$

(see Theorem 2.5.C(2) in my online notes for Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis [STAT 5730] on Section 2.5. Partitioned Matrices).

 Introduction to Knot Theory
 May 2, 2021
 4 / 10
 ()
 Introduction to Knot Theory
 May 2, 2021
 5 / 10

Corollary 9.4.3 (continued 3)

Corollary 9.4.3. The Alexander polynomial of a slice knot can be factored as $\pm t^k f(t) f(t^{-1})$ for some integer polynomial f and integer k.

Proof (continued). Notice that $D - tD^t$ is nonsingular (or else the Alexander polynomial would be simply 0), so

$$\det(D - tD^{t}) \det(0 - (B - tC^{t})(D - tD^{t})^{-1}(C - tB^{t}))$$

$$= \det(D - tD^{t}) \det(-(B - tC^{t})(D - tD^{t})^{-1}(C - tB^{t}))$$

$$= -\det(D - tD^{t}) \det(B - tC^{t}) \det(D - tD^{t})^{-1} \det(C - tB^{t})$$

$$= -\det(B - tC^{t}) \det(C - tB^{t}) \text{ because } \det((D - tD^{t})^{-1}) = 1/\det(D - tD^{t})$$

$$= -\det(B - tC^{t}) \det((C - tB^{t})^{t}) = -\det(B - tC^{t}) \det(C^{t} - tB)$$

$$= -\det(B - tC^{t}) \det((-t)(B - (t/t)C^{t})$$

$$= \pm t^{k} \det(B - tC^{t}) \det(B - (1/t)C^{t})$$

for some k. So we can take $f(t) = \det(B - tC^t)$ and the claim holds.

Introduction to Knot Theory

May 2, 2021 6 /

Corollary 9.4.

Corollary 9.4.4 (continued 1)

Proof (continued). Notice that $M(V + V^t)M^t$ is symmetric (since it equals its transpose), so we have

$$M(V+V^t)M^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S \\ S^t & R \end{pmatrix}$$

for some matrices 0, S, and R.

We borrow a result from another source. The determinant of any knot, $\det(V+V^t)$, is odd and hence is nonzero (see Theorem 3.1 in "Seifert Matrix" on Mitchell Faulk's webpage [accessed 5/2/2021]). So $V+V^t$ is invertible and so $M(V+V^t)M^t=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & S \\ S^t & R \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible.

Corollary 9.4.4

Corollary 9.4.4. If a knot is slice then its signature (and all its ω -signatures) are 0.

Proof. Recall that the signature of a matrix is is the number of positive entries minus the number of negative entries on the diagonal, and for a Seifert matrix V of a knot K, the matrix $V + V^t$ is symmetric and its signature is the signature of knot K, denoted $\sigma(K)$. Livingston only considers the real case, declaring "a proof for the complex signatures [that is, the ω -signatures] is similar."

By Theorem 9.4.2, there is an invertible, determinant 1, integer matrix M such that MVM^t is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$. Also,

$$(MVM^t)^t = MV^tM^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & C^t \\ B^t & D^t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Introduction to Knot Theory

May 2, 2021 7 / 10

Corollary 9.4.4

Corollary 9.4.4 (continued 2)

Proof (continued). As mentioned in the proof of Corollary 9.4.3, if A_{22} is nonsingular then

$$\det(A) = \det(A_{22}) \det(A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21})$$

(see Theorem 2.5.C(2) in my online notes for Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis [STAT 5730] on Section 2.5. Partitioned Matrices). So

$$0 \neq \det(V + V^t) = \det(M(V + V^t)M^t) = \det\begin{pmatrix} 0 & S \\ S^t & R \end{pmatrix}$$

 $= \det(R) \det((0) - SR^{-1}S^{t}) = \pm \det(R) \det(S) \det(R^{-1}) \det(S^{t}),$

and hence $det(S) \neq 0$, so that matrix S must is invertible (nonsingular).

Corollary 9.4.4

Corollary 9.4.4 (continued 3)

Proof (continued). So we can use the same row and column operations to put $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & S \\ S^t & R \end{pmatrix}$ into the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ I_g & R \end{pmatrix}$; the row operations can be performed by a product of elementary matrices, M, and the the corresponding column operations can be performed by M^t such that $V+V^t=M\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ I_g & R \end{pmatrix}M^t$. By Note 6.3.B, the signature of $V+V^t$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ I_g & R \end{pmatrix}$ are the same. Similarly, row and column operations can be used to eliminate the bottom right-hand block to get $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_g \\ I_g & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with the same signature as $V+V^t$ by Note 6.3.B. Now this last matrix has signature 0, and so the slice knot has signature 0, as claimed.

()	Introduction to Knot Theory	May 2, 2021 10