

Note

Steiner Triple Systems with Near-Rotational Automorphisms

ROBERT B. GARDNER

*Division of Mathematics, Department of Algebra, Combinatorics, and Analysis,
Auburn University, Alabama 36849*

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received October 18, 1991

A Steiner triple system of order v , denoted $\text{STS}(v)$, is said to be k -near-rotational if it admits an automorphism consisting of three fixed points and k cycles of length $(v-3)/k$. In this paper, we show that, for $n \geq 1$, a $2n$ -near-rotational $\text{STS}(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, $v \equiv 3 \pmod{2n}$, and $v \neq 13$ or 21 when $n = 1$. Also, for $n \geq 1$, a $3n$ -near-rotational $\text{STS}(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $v \equiv 3 \pmod{3n}$. © 1992 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Steiner triple system of order v , denoted $\text{STS}(v)$, is a v -element set, X , of points, together with a set β , of unordered triples of elements of X , called blocks, such that any two points of X are together in exactly one block of β . It is well known that a $\text{STS}(v)$ exists if and only in $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$. An automorphism of a $\text{STS}(v)$ is a permutation, π , of X which fixes β . A permutation π of a v -element set is said to be of type $[\pi] = [p_1, p_2, \dots, p_v]$ if the disjoint cyclic decomposition of π contains p_i cycles of length i . The orbit of a block under an automorphism, π , is the image of the block under the powers of π . A set of blocks, \mathbf{B} , is said to be a set of base blocks for a $\text{STS}(v)$ under the permutation π if the orbits of the blocks of \mathbf{B} produce the $\text{STS}(v)$ and exactly one block of \mathbf{B} occurs in each orbit.

Several types of automorphisms have been explored in connection with the problem of determining the values of v for which there is a $\text{STS}(v)$ admitting the automorphism. In particular, a $\text{STS}(v)$ admitting an automorphism of type $[1, 0, \dots, 0, k, 0, \dots, 0]$ is called a k -rotational $\text{STS}(v)$. The question of existence for k -rotational $\text{STS}(v)$ has been solved for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and 6 [3, 8]. It is fairly easy to see that the fixed points of

an automorphism form a subsystem. Since a $\text{STS}(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, the number of fixed points is also 1 or 3 $\pmod{6}$. Therefore, a natural question to ask is "What is the spectrum of values of v for which there is a $\text{STS}(v)$ admitting an automorphism consisting of three fixed points and k cycles each of length $(v-3)/k$?" We will call such a design a k -near-rotational $\text{STS}(v)$.

2. THE EXISTENCE OF $2n$ -NEAR-ROTATIONAL STEINER TRIPLE SYSTEMS

A $2n$ -near-rotational $\text{STS}(v)$ admits an automorphism of the type $[3, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 2n, 0, \dots, 0]$. We will first show existence for 2-near-rotational $\text{STS}(v)$ and then deal with the general $2n$ -near-rotational case. We need the existence of certain other types of Steiner triple systems. A $\text{STS}(v)$ admitting an automorphism consisting of a single cycle is called *cyclic* and such systems exist if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$ and $v \neq 9$ [5, 6, 7, and 9]. A $\text{STS}(v)$ admitting an automorphism of type $[1, 1, 0, \dots, 0, k, 0, \dots, 0]$ is said to be k -transrotational. A 1-transrotational $\text{STS}(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 1, 7, 9, \text{ or } 15 \pmod{24}$ [4]. A $\text{STS}(v)$ admitting an automorphism of type $[0, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0]$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ [2].

THEOREM 2.3. *A 2-near-rotational $\text{STS}(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$ and $v \neq 13$ or 21 .*

Proof. If $v \equiv 1, 7, 9, \text{ or } 15 \pmod{24}$ then there exists a 1-transrotational $\text{STS}(v)$ admitting the relevant automorphism π of type $[1, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0]$. If we consider the same $\text{STS}(v)$ under the automorphism π^2 then we see that it is also 2-near-rotational.

We now answer the question of existence in the remaining cases by considering blocks on the set $X = \mathbf{Z}_N \times \{1, 2\} \cup \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\}$. We put the automorphism $\pi = (\infty_1)(\infty_2)(\infty_3)(0_1, 1_1, \dots, (N-1)_1)(0_2, 1_2, \dots, (N-1)_2)$ on this set, where $N = (v-3)/2$. With the pair (x_i, y_i) we associate the *pure difference of type i* defined as $\min\{(x-y) \pmod{N}, (y-x) \pmod{N}\}$. With the pair (x_1, y_2) we associate the *mixed difference* $(y-x) \pmod{N}$. The construction of a 2-near-rotational $\text{STS}(v)$ is equivalent to partitioning the collection of these differences into sets of differences associated with blocks which are base blocks under π .

For $v = 13$, the set of mixed differences, $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the set of pure differences of type 1, $\{1, 2\}$, and the set of pure differences of type 2, $\{1, 2\}$, must be partitioned into sets of differences associated with base blocks of a $\text{STS}(13)$ under π . A mixed difference must be used in a base block of the form (∞_k, x_i, y_j) , $i \neq j$, for $k = 1, 2, 3$. This leaves two mixed differences

and a total of four pure differences. These clearly cannot be partitioned into sets of differences associated with base blocks. For $v=21$, a similar exhaustive search reveals that a 2-near-rotational STS(21) does not exist. For more information on the difference method of construction, see Anderson [1].

In the following cases, base blocks for a 2-near-rotational STS(v) under π are presented:

Case 1a. If $v=27$ then take the blocks

$$\begin{aligned} &(\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3), (0_1, 2_1, 3_1), (0_1, 4_1, 8_1), (\infty_1, 0_1, 6_1), (0_2, 4_2, 8_2), \\ &(\infty_1, 0_2, 6_2), (0_1, 2_2, 3_2), (0_1, 1_2, 4_2), (0_1, 0_2, 5_2), (0_1, 8_2, 10_2), \\ &(11_2, 0_1, 5_1), (\infty_2, 0_1, 7_2), (\infty_3, 0_1, 9_2). \end{aligned}$$

Case 1b. If $v \equiv 3 \pmod{24}$, say $v = 24k + 3$, where $k \geq 2$, then take the blocks

$$\begin{aligned} &(\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3), (\infty_1, 0_1, (6k)_1), (\infty_1, 0_2, (6k)_2), (\infty_2, 0_1, (9k-1)_2), \\ &(\infty_3, 0_1, (11k-1)_2), (0_1, (4k)_1, (8k)_1), (0_2, (4k)_2, (8k)_2), \\ &(0_1, (6k)_2, (11k)_2), \\ &(0_2, (3k-1-r)_2, (3k+r)_2) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, k-1, \\ &(0_2, (5k-1-r)_2, (5k+1+r)_2) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, k-2, \\ &(0_2, (9k-r)_1, (9k+1+r)_1) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, 3k-1, \\ &(0_2, (3k-r)_1, (3k+2+r)_1) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, 2k-2, \\ &(0_2, (5k+1+r)_1, (k-1-r)_1) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, k-2. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2a. If $v=37$ then take the blocks

$$\begin{aligned} &(\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3), (\infty_1, 0_1, 7_2), (\infty_2, 0_1, 11_2), (\infty_3, 0_1, 12_2), \\ &(0_1, 4_1, 10_1), (0_2, 4_2, 10_2), (0_2, 5_2, 8_2), \\ &(0_2, 13_1, 14_1), (0_2, 12_1, 15_1), (0_2, 11_1, 16_1), \\ &(0_2, 0_1, 9_1), (0_2, 1_1, 3_1), (0_1, 9_2, 10_2), (0_1, 13_2, 15_2). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2b. If $v \equiv 13 \pmod{24}$, say $v = 24k + 13$, where $k \geq 2$, then take the blocks

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3), (0_2, (2k)_2, (3k+1)_2), \\
 &(0_2, (4k-3)_1, (2k-3)_1), (\infty_1, 0_1, (9k+3)_2), \\
 &(0_1, (5k+1-r)_1, (5k+2+r)_1) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, k, \\
 &(0_1, (3k-r)_1, (3k+2+r)_1) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, k-2, \\
 &(0_1, (3k-r)_2, (3k+1+r)_2) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, 3k, \\
 &(0_1, (9k+2-r)_2, (9k+4+r)_2) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, k-2, k, k+1, \dots, 3k.
 \end{aligned}$$

One of the above blocks is of the form $(0_1, a_2, (a+3k+1)_2)$. Replace it with the block $(0_2, (12k-a)_1, (9k-1-a)_1)$.

Another block is of the form $(0_1, b_2, (b+k+1)_2)$. Omit it and add the blocks $(\infty_2, 0_1, b_2)$ and $(\infty_3, 0_1, (b+k+1)_2)$.

Case 3a. If $v = 19$ then take the blocks

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3), (\infty_1, 0_1, 4_1), (\infty_1, 0_2, 4_2), (\infty_2, 0_1, 5_2), (\infty_3, 0_1, 7_2) \\
 &(0_1, 1_1, 3_1), (0_1, 1_2, 2_2), (0_1, 0_2, 3_2), (0_1, 4_2, 6_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 3b. If $v \equiv 19 \pmod{24}$, say $v = 24k + 19$, where $k \geq 1$, then take the blocks

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3), (\infty_1, 0_1, (6k+4)_1), (\infty_1, 0_2, (6k+4)_2), \\
 &(\infty_2, 0_1, (8k+4)_2), (\infty_3, 0_1, (10k+6)_2), (0_2, (8k+6)_1, (10k+7)_1), \\
 &(0_2, (7k+5)_1, (11k+8)_1), (0_2, (2k+1)_2, (4k+3)_2), (0_2, 1_1, (3k+3)_1) \\
 &(0_1, (3k+1-r)_1, (3k+3+r)_1) \quad \text{for } 0, 1, \dots, k-1, \\
 &(0_1, (5k+3-r)_2, (5k+4+r)_2) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, k-1, \\
 &(0_1, (3k+1-r)_2, (3k+2+r)_2) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, k-1, k+1, k+2, \dots, 2k, \\
 &\quad \quad \quad 2k+2, 2k+3, \dots, 3k+1, \\
 &(0_1, (9k+4-r)_2, (9k+6+r)_2) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, k-1, k+1, k+2, \dots, 3k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 4. If $v \equiv 21 \pmod{24}$, say $v = 24k + 21$, where $k \geq 1$, then take the blocks

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3), (0_2, (4k+3)_2, (8k+6)_2), (\infty_1, 0_1, k_2), \\
 &(\infty_2, 0_1, (5k+3)_2), (\infty_3, 0_1, (9k+6)_2), \\
 &(0_1, (3k+1-r)_2, (3k+2+r)_2) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, 2k, 2k+2, \\
 &\quad \quad \quad 2k+3, \dots, 3k+1, \\
 &(0_1, (9k+5-r)_2, (9k+7+r)_2) \quad \text{for } r=0, 1, \dots, 3k+1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Plus, take the base blocks for a cyclic STS(N) on the set $\mathbb{Z}_N \times \{1\}$, where $N = (v-3)/2$. This can be done since $N \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $N \neq 9$. ■

We now turn our attention to $2n$ -near-rotational STS(v).

THEOREM 2.4. *A $2n$ -near-rotational STS(v) exists if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, $v \equiv 3 \pmod{2n}$, and $v \neq 13$ or 21 when $n = 1$.*

Proof. Since a Steiner triple system exists if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, this is a trivial necessary condition. Also, a $2n$ -near-rotational STS(v) has an automorphism consisting of three fixed points and $2n$ cycles, so it is necessary that $2n \mid (v-3)$.

In Theorem 2.3, we saw that a 2-near-rotational STS(v) does not exist for $v = 13$ or 21 . However, a 6-near-rotational STS(21) does exist. By previously stated results, there exists a STS(21), admitting an automorphism π of type $[0, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0]$. This system is also 6-near-rotational as can be seen by considering π^6 . In general, if we take any 2-near-rotational STS(v) admitting the relevant automorphism π , then by taking the automorphism π^n we see that the STS(v) is also $2n$ -near-rotational. ■

3. THE EXISTENCE OF $3n$ -NEAR-ROTATIONAL STEINER TRIPLE SYSTEMS

A $3n$ -near-rotational STS(v) admits an automorphism of the type $[3, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 3n, 0, \dots, 0]$. The construction of these trivially follows from a result of Calahan [2].

THEOREM 3.1. *A $3n$ -near-rotational STS(v) exists if and only if $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $v \equiv 3 \pmod{3n}$,*

Proof. The conditions are necessary, since $3n \mid (v-3)$. Sufficiency is established by applying an above mentioned result. If v satisfies the necessary conditions, then there is a STS(v) admitting an automorphism π of type $[0, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0]$ (see [2]). The automorphism π^{3n} is then of type $[3, 0, \dots, 0, 3n, 0, \dots, 0]$ and the STS(v) is also $3n$ -near-rotational. ■

REFERENCES

1. I. ANDERSON, "Combinatorial Design: Construction Methods," Ellis Harwood, Chichester, 1990.
2. R. S. CALAHAN, "Automorphisms of Steiner Triple Systems with Holes," Dissertation, Auburn University, August 1990.
3. C. J. CHO, Rotational Steiner triple systems, *Discrete Math.* **42** (1982), 153-159.
4. R. GARDNER, Steiner triple systems with transrotational automorphisms, submitted.

5. L. HEFFTER, Ueber Tripelsysteme, *Math. Ann.* **49** (1897), 101–112.
6. E. O'KEEFE, Verification of a conjecture of Th. Skolem, *Math. Scand.* **9** (1961), 80–82.
7. R. PELTESOHN, Eine Lösung der beiden Heffterschen Differenzenprobleme, *Compositio Math.* **6** (1939), 251–257.
8. K. T. PHELPS AND A. ROSA, Steiner triple systems with rotational automorphisms, *Discrete Math.* **33** (1981), 57–66.
9. S. SKOLEM, On the distributions of integers in pairs with given differences, *Math. Scand.* **5** (1957), 57–68.