

Near-Rotational Directed Triple Systems

Robert B. Gardner

Department of Mathematics
Louisiana State University in Shreveport
Shreveport, Louisiana 71115

Abstract. A directed triple system of order v , denoted $DTS(v)$, is said to be k -near-rotational if it admits an automorphism consisting of 3 fixed points and k cycles of length $\frac{v-3}{k}$. In this paper, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of k -near-rotational $DTS(v)$ s.

1. Introduction

A *directed triple system* of order v , denoted $DTS(v)$, is a v -element set, X , of points, together with a set, β , of ordered triples of elements of X , called *blocks*, such that any ordered pair of points of X occur in exactly one block of β . The notation $[x, y, z]$ will be used for the block containing the ordered pairs (x, y) , (x, z) , and (y, z) . Hung and Mendelsohn [7] introduced directed triple systems as a generalization of Steiner triple systems and showed that a $DTS(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$. An *automorphism* of a $DTS(v)$ is a permutation of X which fixes β . A permutation π of a v -element set is said to be of *type* $[\pi] = [p_1, p_2, \dots, p_v]$ if the disjoint cyclic decomposition of π contains p_i cycles of length i . The *orbit* of a block under an automorphism, π , is the image of the block under the powers of π . A set of blocks, B , is said to be a *set of base blocks for a $DTS(v)$ under the permutation π* if the orbits of the blocks of B produce the $DTS(v)$ and exactly one block of B occurs in each orbit.

Several types of automorphisms have been explored in connection with the problem of determining the values v for which there are certain types of block designs of order v admitting the automorphism. In particular, a *cyclic $DTS(v)$* admits an automorphism of type $[0, 0, \dots, 1]$ and exists if and only if $v \equiv 1, 4, \text{ or } 7 \pmod{12}$ [3]. A $DTS(v)$ admitting an automorphism of type $[1, 0, \dots, 0, k, \dots, 0]$ is said to be *k -rotational*. A k -rotational $DTS(v)$ exists if and only if $kv \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $v \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ [2]. Steiner triple systems, denoted STS , have been extensively explored in connection with this question. For a survey of results, see [4]. A cyclic $STS(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, $v \neq 9$ [6, 8, 11]. The case of k -rotational STS s has been solved for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and 6 [1, 9]. A triple system admitting an automorphism of type $[3, 0, \dots, 0, k, 0, \dots, 0]$ is said to be *k -near-rotational*. The case of k -near-rotational STS s has been solved for k divisible by 2 or 3 [5]. The purpose of this paper is to address the problem of existence for k -near-rotational directed triple systems.

2. Near-Rotational Directed Triple Systems

We have the following necessary conditions:

Lemma 2.1. *If a k -near-rotational $DTS(v)$ exists, then $k(v+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $v \equiv 3 \pmod{k}$, and $v \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof: A k -near-rotational $DTS(v)$ on the set $X = \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\} \cup \{\mathbf{Z}_N \times \mathbf{Z}_k\}$ where $N = \frac{v-3}{k}$ admitting $\pi = (\infty_1)(\infty_2)(\infty_3)(0_0, 1_0, \dots, (N-1)_0) \cdots (0_{k-1}, 1_{k-1}, \dots, (N-1)_{k-1})$ as an automorphism may contain blocks of the following forms only:

1. $[\infty_i, \infty_j, \infty_m]$ where $i \neq j \neq m \neq i$ and $i, j, m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,
2. $[x_i, \infty_m, y_j]$ where $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $x_i, y_j \in \mathbf{Z}_N \times \mathbf{Z}_k$,
3. $[\infty_m, x_i, y_j]$ or $[x_i, y_j, \infty_m]$ where $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $i \neq j$, and $x_i, y_j \in \mathbf{Z}_N \times \mathbf{Z}_k$, and
4. $[x_i, y_j, z_m]$ where $x_i, y_j, z_m \in \mathbf{Z}_N \times \mathbf{Z}_k$.

There are two blocks of the first type, both of which are fixed under π . The orbits of blocks of the second, third and fourth types are of length N . The number of blocks in a $DTS(v)$ is $\frac{v(v-1)}{3}$ so a requirement for a k -near-rotational $DTS(v)$ is $\frac{v(v-1)}{3} - 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. That is, $k(v+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. The other two conditions follow trivially. ■

Lemma 2.1 says that a necessary condition for a k -near-rotational $DTS(v)$ is that

1. if $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ then $v \equiv 3 \pmod{k}$, or
2. if $k \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{3}$ then $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $v \equiv 3 \pmod{k}$.

If π is an automorphism on a v -element set and is of type $[3, 0, \dots, 0, k, 0, \dots, 0]$, then π^n is of type $[3, 0, \dots, 0, nk, 0, \dots, 0]$ provided $n \mid N$ where $N = \frac{v-3}{k}$. So it would be sufficient to show the existence of k -near-rotational $DTS(v)$ s for

1. $k = 1$ and $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and
2. $k = 3$ and $v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

In each of the following lemmas, k -near-rotational $DTS(v)$ s will be constructed on the set X with the automorphism π , where X and π are as described in Lemma 2.1.

We address the case for $k = 1$ in the next two lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *A 1-near-rotational $DTS(v)$ exists for $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$.*

Proof:

case 1. If $v = 7$ then consider the blocks:

$$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_1], [0_0, \infty_1, 1_0], [0_0, \infty_2, 2_0], \text{ and } [0_0, \infty_3, 3_0].$$

case 2. If $v = 13$ then consider the blocks:

$$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_1], [0_0, \infty_1, 3_0], [0_0, \infty_2, 4_0], \\ [0_0, \infty_3, 6_0], [0_0, 1_0, 9_0], \text{ and } [0_0, 2_0, 7_0].$$

case 3. If $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, $v \geq 19$, say $v = 6t + 1$ where $t \geq 3$, then consider the blocks:

$$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_1], \\ [0_0, \infty_1, (3t - 1)_0], [0_0, \infty_2, (2t - 2)_0], [0_0, \infty_3, (2t)_0], \\ [0_0, (2r)_0, (3t - 1 + r)_0] \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \dots, t - 2, \text{ and} \\ [0_0, (2r - 1)_0, (5t - 3 + r)_0] \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \dots, t.$$

In each case, these are collections of base blocks for a 1-near-rotational $DTS(v)$ under the automorphism π . ■

Lemma 2.3. *A 1-near-rotational $DTS(v)$ exists for $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, $v \geq 10$.*

Proof: Suppose $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, say $v = 6t + 4$. Consider the blocks:

$$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_1], \\ [0_0, \infty_1, (2t)_0], [0_0, \infty_2, (5t)_0], [0_0, \infty_3, (6t)_0], \\ [0_0, (2r - 1)_0, (3t + r)_0] \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \dots, t, \text{ and} \\ [0_0, (2r)_0, (5t + r)_0] \text{ for } r = 1, 2, \dots, t - 1 \text{ (omit if } t = 1).$$

These are the base blocks for a 1-near-rotational $DTS(v)$ under π . ■

Lemmas 2.1-2.3 combine to give us:

Theorem 2.1. *A k -near-rotational $DTS(v)$ where $k \equiv 1$ or $2 \pmod{3}$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $v \geq 7$ and $v \equiv 3 \pmod{k}$.*

We now turn our attention to the case $k = 3$. In each of the following lemmas, the subscripts are reduced modulo 3.

Lemma 2.4. *If $v \equiv 0 \pmod{18}$, then there exists a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$.*

Proof: Suppose $v \equiv 0 \pmod{18}$, say $v = 18t$. Consider the blocks:

$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_1], [0_i, \infty_1, (3t-1)_i]$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$,
 $[\infty_2, 0_0, 0_1], [0_0, \infty_2, 0_2], [0_1, 0_2, \infty_2], [0_1, 0_0, \infty_3], [0_2, \infty_3, 0_0], [\infty_3, 0_2, 0_1],$
 $[0_0, r_1, (2r)_2]$ and $[(2r)_2, r_1, 0_0]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, 6t-2$,
 $[0_i, (2r-1)_i, (5t-2+r)_i]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, t$ and for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$, and
 $[0_i, (2r)_i, (3t-1+r)_i]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$ (omit if $t = 1$) and for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$.

These are the base blocks for a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$ under π . ■

Lemma 2.5. *If $v \equiv 6 \pmod{18}$ and $v \geq 24$, then there exists a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$.*

Proof: Suppose $v \equiv 6 \pmod{18}$, say $v = 18t + 6$. Consider the blocks:

$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_1],$
 $[0_i, \infty_1, (2t)_i]$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$, $[0_i, \infty_2, (2t+1)_i]$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$,
 $[0_i, \infty_3, (3t+1)_i]$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$,
 $[0_0, r_1, (2r)_2]$ and $[(2r)_2, r_1, 0_0]$ for $r = 0, 1, \dots, 6t$,
 $[0_i, (2r-1)_i, (5t+r)_i]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, t$ and for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$,
 $[0_i, (2r)_i, (3t+1+r)_i]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$ (omit for $t = 1$) and for
 $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$.

These are the base blocks for a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$ under π . ■

Lemma 2.6. *If $v \equiv 12 \pmod{18}$, then there exists a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$.*

Proof:

case 1. If $v = 30$ then consider the blocks:

$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_3], [0_i, \infty_1, 3_i]$ and $[0_i, \infty_2, 5_i]$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$,
 $[\infty_3, 0_0, 0_1], [0_0, \infty_3, 0_2], [0_1, 0_2, \infty_3], [0_2, 0_1, 0_0],$
 $[0_0, r_1, (2r)_2]$ and $[(2r)_2, r_1, 0_0]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, 8$,
 $[0_i, 1_i, 8_i]$ and $[0_i, 2_i, 6_i]$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$.

case 2. If $v \equiv 12 \pmod{18}$, $v \neq 30$, say $v = 18t + 12$ where $t \neq 1$, then consider the blocks:

$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_1]$ $[0_i, \infty_1, (2t+1)_i]$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$, $[0_i, \infty_2, (4t+2)_i]$
 for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$,

$[\infty_3, 0_0, 0_1], [0_0, \infty_3, 0_2], [0_1, 0_2, \infty_3], [0_2, 0_1, 0_0],$

$[0_0, r_1, (2r)_2]$ and $[(2r)_2, r_1, 0_0]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, 6t + 2,$

$[x_i, y_i, z_i]$ and $[z_i, y_i, x_i]$ where (x_i, y_i, z_i) is a base block of a cyclic $STS(6t+3)$ on $\mathbf{Z}_{6t+3} \times \{i\}$ under the automorphism $(0_i, 1_i, \dots, (6t+2)_i)$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$, with the exception of the base block in the orbit of the block $(0_i, (2t+1)_i, (4t+2)_i)$ (omit these blocks if $t = 0$).

In both cases, these are the base blocks for a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$ under π . \blacksquare

The following lemma will make use of a particular structure. A (C, k) -system is a set of ordered pairs $\{(a_r, b_r)$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $b_r - a_r = r$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $\bigcup_{r=1}^k \{a_r, b_r\} = \{1, 2, \dots, k, k+2, \dots, 2k+1\}$.

A (C, k) -system exists if and only if $k \equiv 0$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ [10].

Lemma 2.7. *If $v \equiv 3$ or $9 \pmod{24}$ $v \geq 9$, then there exists a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$.*

Proof: Consider the blocks:

$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_1], [0_i, \infty_1, (\frac{v-3}{6})_i]$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3,$

$[0_1, \infty_2, (\frac{v-3}{6})_0], [0_2, \infty_2, (\frac{v-3}{6})_1], [0_0, \infty_2, (\frac{v-3}{6})_2],$

$[0_0, \infty_3, (\frac{v-3}{6})_1], [0_1, \infty_3, (\frac{v-3}{6})_2], [0_2, \infty_3, (\frac{v-3}{6})_0],$

$[0_0, 0_1, 0_2], [0_2, 0_1, 0_0],$ and

$[0_i, r_i, (b_r)_{i+1}]$ and $[(b_r)_{i+1}, r_i, 0_i]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{v-9}{6}$ and $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3$ where $\{(a_r, b_r)$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{v-9}{6}\}$ is a $(C, \frac{v-9}{6})$ -system.

These are the base blocks for a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$ under π . \blacksquare

Lemma 2.8. *If $v \equiv 15 \pmod{24}$, then there exists a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$.*

Proof: Suppose $v \equiv 15 \pmod{24}$, say $v = 24t + 15$. Consider the blocks:

$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_1], [0_0, 0_1, 0_2], [0_2, 0_1, 0_0], [0_i, \infty_1, (4t+2)_i]$ for $i \in \mathbf{Z}_3,$

$[0_0, \infty_2, (2t+1)_1], [0_1, \infty_2, (2t+1)_2], [0_2, \infty_2, (2t+1)_0],$

$[0_1, \infty_3, (6t+3)_0], [0_2, \infty_3, (6t+3)_1], [0_0, \infty_3, (6t+3)_2],$

$[0_i, (2r-1)_i, (6t+2+r)_{i+1}]$ and $[(6t+2+r)_{i+1}, (2r-1)_i, 0_i]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, 2t+1$ and for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$,

$[0_i, (2r)_i, (2t+1+r)_{i+1}]$ and $[(2t+1+r)_{i+1}, (2r)_i, 0_i]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, 2t$ and for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$.

These are base blocks for a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$ under π . ■

Lemma 2.9. *If $v \equiv 21 \pmod{24}$, then there exists a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$.*

Proof: Suppose $v \equiv 21 \pmod{24}$, say $v = 24t + 21$. Consider the blocks:

$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_1], [0_0, 0_1, 0_2], [0_2, 0_1, 0_0],$

$[0_i, \infty_1, (4t+3)_i]$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3, [0_0, \infty_2, (2t+2)_1], [0_1, \infty_2, (2t+2)_2],$

$[0_2, \infty_2, (2t+2)_0], [0_1, \infty_3, (6t+4)_0], [0_2, \infty_3, (6t+4)_1], [0_0, \infty_3, (6t+4)_2],$

$[0_i, (2r-1)_i, (6t+4+r)_{i+1}]$ and $[(6t+4+r)_{i+1}, (2r-1)_i, 0_i]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, 2t+1$ and for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, and

$[0_i, (2r)_i, (2t+2+r)_{i+1}]$ and $[(2t+2+r)_{i+1}, (2r)_i, 0_i]$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, 2t+1$ and for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$.

These are the base blocks for a 3-near-rotational $DTS(v)$ under π . ■

Combining the results of Lemmas 2.2-2.9, we see that the necessary conditions of Lemma 2.1 are also sufficient. We therefore have:

Theorem 2.2. *A k -near-rotational $DTS(v)$ exists if and only if $k(v+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $v \equiv 3 \pmod{k}$, and $v \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$, $v \geq 7$.*

References

1. C.J. Cho, *Rotational Steiner triple systems*, Discrete Math. **42** (1982), 153-159.
2. C. J. Cho, *Rotational directed triple systems*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **24**(2) (1987), 133-142.
3. M. J. Colbourn and C. J. Colbourn, *The analysis of directed triple systems by refinement*, Annals of Discrete Math. **15** (1982), 97-103.
4. R. Gardner, *Automorphisms of Steiner triple Systems*, M.S. Thesis, Auburn University, Auburn, AL (1987).

5. R. Gardner, *Steiner triple systems with near-rotational automorphisms*, J. Comb. Theory Series A, to appear.
6. L. Heffter, *Ueber tripelsysteme*, Math. Ann. **49** (1897), 101-112.
7. S. H. Y. Hung and N. S. Mendelsohn, *Directed triple systems*, J. Comb. Theory Series A **14** (1973), 310-318.
8. R. Peltesohn, *Eine Lösung der beiden Heffterschen Differenzenprobleme*, Compositio Math. **6** (1939), 251-257.
9. K.T. Phelps and A. Rosa, *Steiner triple systems with rotational automorphisms*, Discrete Math. **33** (1981), 57-66.
10. A. Rosa, *Poznámka o cyklických Steinerových systémech trojíc*, Mat. Fyz. Cas. **16** (1966), 285-290.
11. T. Skolem, *On certain distributions of integers in pairs with given differences*, Math. Scand. **5** (1957), 57-68.