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# Liouville's Theorem and the Maximum Modulus Theorem 

Recall. A function is analytic if it has a power series representation. A function of a complex variable, $f(z)$, is analytic (and therefore has a power series representation) at point $z_{0}$ if $f$ is continuously differentiable at $z_{0}$.


Theorem
If $f$ is analytic in a neighborhood of $z_{0}$ and $C$ is a positively oriented simple closed curve in the neighborhood with $z_{0}$ as an interior point, then

$$
f^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{n!}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{f(z) d z}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n+1}}
$$



Augustin Cauchy (1789-1857)

Note. If we let $C$ be a circle of radius $R$ with center $z_{0}$, then Cauchy's Formula allows us to put a bound on derivatives of $f$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|f^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|\frac{n!}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{f(z) d z}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n+1}}\right| \\
\leq\left|\frac{n!}{2 \pi i}\right| \int_{C}\left|\frac{f(z) d z}{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n+1}}\right| \leq \frac{n!}{2 \pi|i|} \int_{C} \frac{|f(z)||d z|}{\left|z-z_{0}\right|^{n+1}} \\
\leq \frac{n!}{2 \pi} \frac{M_{R} 2 \pi R}{R^{n+1}}=\frac{n!M_{R}}{R^{n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $M_{R}$ is an upper bound of $|f(z)|$ over $C$. This is called Cauchy's Inequality.

Theorem
Liouville's Theorem. If $f$ is a function analytic in the entire complex plane ( $f$ is called an entire function) which is bounded in modulus, then $f$ is a constant function.

Proof. Let $M$ be a bound on $|f(z)|$. Then by Cauchy's Inequality with $n=1,\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \leq M / R$. This is true for any $z_{0}$ and for any $R$ since $f$ is entire. Since we can let $R \rightarrow \infty$ then we see that $\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=0$ and $f$ must be constant.


Joseph Liouville (1809-1882)

## Theorem

Liouville's Theorem. If $f$ is a function analytic in the entire complex plane ( $f$ is called an entire function) which is bounded in modulus, then $f$ is a constant function.

Proof. Let $M$ be a bound on $|f(z)|$. Then by Cauchy's Inequality with $n=1,\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \leq M / R$. This is true for any $z_{0}$ and for any $R$ since $f$ is entire. Since we can let $R \rightarrow \infty$ then we see that $\left|f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=0$ and $f$ must be constant.


Joseph Liouville (1809-1882)

Question. The only bounded entire functions of a complex variable are constant functions. Is this the case for functions of a real variable?

Answer. NO! Consider $f(x)=\sin x$ and $g(x)=e^{-x^{2}}$.

Question. The only bounded entire functions of a complex variable are constant functions. Is this the case for functions of a real variable?

Answer. NO! Consider $f(x)=\sin x$ and $g(x)=e^{-x^{2}}$.



From Wolfram Alpha.

Question. The only bounded entire functions of a complex variable are constant functions. Is this the case for functions of a real variable?

Answer. NO! Consider $f(x)=\sin x$ and $g(x)=e^{-x^{2}}$.



From Wolfram Alpha.

## The Maximum Modulus Theorem

## Theorem

The Maximum Modulus Theorem. Let $G$ be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{C}$ and suppose $f$ is continuous on the closure of $G, c /(H)$, and analytic in $G$. Then

$$
\max \{|f(z)| \mid z \in c l(G)\}=\max \{|f(z)| \mid z \in \partial G\} .
$$

Also, if $\max \{|f(z)| \mid z \in G\}=\max \{|f(z)| \mid z \in \partial G\}$, then $f$ is constant.


## The Fundamental Theorem of <br> Algebra



Carl Friedrich Gauss (1777-1855)

## The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Theorem
The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra. Any complex polynomial

$$
p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{1} z+a_{0}\left(a_{n} \neq 0\right)
$$

of degree $n(n \geq 1)$ has at least one complex zero. That is, there exists at least one point $z_{0}$ such that $p\left(z_{0}\right)=0$.

Note. It follows that $p$ can be factored into $n$ (not necessarily distinct) linear terms:

$$
p(z)=a_{n}\left(z-z_{1}\right)\left(z-z_{2}\right) \cdots\left(z-z_{n-1}\right)\left(z-z_{n}\right),
$$

where the zeros of $p$ are $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$.

## The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Theorem
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where the zeros of $p$ are $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$.

## The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, Proof

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra. Any complex polynomial

$$
p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{1} z+a_{0}\left(a_{n} \neq 0\right)
$$

of degree $n(n \geq 1)$ has at least one complex zero. That is, there exists at least one point $z_{0}$ such that $p\left(z_{0}\right)=0$.

Proof. Suppose not. ASSUME $p$ is never zero. Define the function $f(z)=1 / p(z)$. Then $f$ is an entire function.

## The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, Proof

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra. Any complex polynomial

$$
p(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{1} z+a_{0}\left(a_{n} \neq 0\right)
$$

of degree $n(n \geq 1)$ has at least one complex zero. That is, there exists at least one point $z_{0}$ such that $p\left(z_{0}\right)=0$.

Proof. Suppose not. ASSUME $p$ is never zero. Define the function $f(z)=1 / p(z)$. Then $f$ is an entire function. Now $|p(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ as

$|z| \geq R$. Now by the Extreme Value Theorem, for some $M$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{p(z)}\right| \leq M \text { for }|z| \leq R .
$$

## The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, Proof
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of degree $n(n \geq 1)$ has at least one complex zero. That is, there exists at least one point $z_{0}$ such that $p\left(z_{0}\right)=0$.

Proof. Suppose not. ASSUME $p$ is never zero. Define the function $f(z)=1 / p(z)$. Then $f$ is an entire function. Now $|p(z)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $\frac{1}{p(z)} \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. So for some $R, \frac{1}{|p(z)|} \leq 1$ for $|z| \geq R$. Now by the Extreme Value Theorem, for some $M$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{p(z)}\right| \leq M \text { for }|z| \leq R .
$$

But then, $p$ is bounded for all $z$ by $\max \{1, M\}$. So by Liouville's Theorem, $p$ is a constant CONTRADICTING the fact that $p$ is a polynomial.
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## The Centroid Theorem



## The Centroid Theorem

Theorem
The Centroid Theorem. The centroid of the zeros of a polynomial is the same as the centroid of the zeros of the derivative of the polynomial.

Proof. Let polynomial $p$ have zeros $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$. Then
$p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}=a_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{k}\right)$. Multiplying out, we find that the coefficient of $z^{n-1}$ is $a_{n-1}=-a_{n}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+\cdots+z_{n}\right)$.

## The Centroid Theorem

Theorem
The Centroid Theorem. The centroid of the zeros of a polynomial is the same as the centroid of the zeros of the derivative of the polynomial.

Proof. Let polynomial $p$ have zeros $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$. Then $p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}=a_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{k}\right)$. Multiplying out, we find that the coefficient of $z^{n-1}$ is $a_{n-1}=-a_{n}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+\cdots+z_{n}\right)$. Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p$ is


Let the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ be $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n-1}$. Then

$$
p^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k a_{k} z^{k-1}=n a_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(z-w_{k}\right)
$$

## The Centroid Theorem

## Theorem

The Centroid Theorem. The centroid of the zeros of a polynomial is the same as the centroid of the zeros of the derivative of the polynomial.

Proof. Let polynomial $p$ have zeros $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}$. Then $p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}=a_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(z-z_{k}\right)$. Multiplying out, we find that the coefficient of $z^{n-1}$ is $a_{n-1}=-a_{n}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+\cdots+z_{n}\right)$. Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p$ is

$$
\frac{z_{1}+z_{2}+\cdots+z_{n}}{n}=\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{-a_{n-1}}{a_{n}}\right)=\frac{-a_{n-1}}{n a_{n}}
$$

Let the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ be $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n-1}$. Then

$$
p^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k a_{k} z^{k-1}=n a_{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(z-w_{k}\right)
$$

## The Centroid Theorem (continued)

## Theorem

The centroid of the zeros of a polynomial is the same as the centroid of the zeros of the derivative of the polynomial.

Proof (continued). Multiplying out, we find that the coefficient of $z^{n-2}$ is

$$
(n-1) a_{n-1}=-n a_{n}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ is


Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ is the same as the centroid of the zeros of $p$.

## The Centroid Theorem (continued)

## Theorem

The centroid of the zeros of a polynomial is the same as the centroid of the zeros of the derivative of the polynomial.

Proof (continued). Multiplying out, we find that the coefficient of $z^{n-2}$ is

$$
(n-1) a_{n-1}=-n a_{n}\left(w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ is

$$
\frac{w_{1}+w_{2}+\cdots+w_{n-1}}{n-1}=\left(\frac{1}{n-1}\right)\left(\frac{-(n-1) a_{n-1}}{n a_{n}}\right)=\frac{-a_{n-1}}{n a_{n}} .
$$

Therefore the centroid of the zeros of $p^{\prime}$ is the same as the centroid of the zeros of $p$.

## The Lucas Theorem



François A. E. Lucas (1842-1891)

Note. Recall that a line in the complex plane can be represented by an equation of the form $\operatorname{Im}((z-a) / b)=0$ where the line is "parallel" to the vector $b$ and translated from the origin by an amount $a$ (here we are knowingly blurring the distinction between vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and numbers in $\mathbb{C})$.

## $\operatorname{Im}(z)$



Note. We can represent a closed half-plane with the equation $\operatorname{lm}((z-a) / b) \leq 0$. The represents the half-plane to the right of the line $\operatorname{Im}((z-a) / b)=0$ when traveling along the line in the "direction" of $b$.


## The Lucas Theorem

Theorem
The Lucas Theorem (1874). If all the zeros of a polynomial $p$ lie in a half-plane in the complex plane, then all the zeros of the derivative $p^{\prime}$ lie in the same half-plane.

Proof. By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, we can factor $p$ as $p(z)=a_{n}\left(z-r_{1}\right)\left(z-r_{2}\right) \cdots\left(z-r_{n}\right)$. So

$$
\log p(z)=\log a_{n}+\log \left(z-r_{1}\right)+\log \left(z-r_{2}\right)+\cdots+\log \left(z-r_{n}\right)
$$

and differentiating both sides gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\frac{1}{z-r_{1}}+\frac{1}{z-r_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{z-r_{n}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z-r_{k}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## The Lucas Theorem (continued 1)

Proof (continued). Suppose the half-plane $H$ that contains all the zeros of $p(z)$ is described by $\operatorname{Im}((z-a) / b) \leq 0$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{1}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0, \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{2}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0, \ldots, \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{n}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0
$$

Now let $z^{*}$ be some number not in $H$. We want to show that $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$ (this will mean that all the zeros of $p^{\prime}(z)$ are in $H$ ). Well, $\operatorname{lm}\left(\left(z^{*}-a\right) / b\right)>0$. Let $r_{k}$ be some zero of $p$.

## The Lucas Theorem (continued 1)

Proof (continued). Suppose the half-plane $H$ that contains all the zeros of $p(z)$ is described by $\operatorname{Im}((z-a) / b) \leq 0$. Then

$$
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Now let $z^{*}$ be some number not in $H$. We want to show that $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$ (this will mean that all the zeros of $p^{\prime}(z)$ are in $H$ ). Well, $\operatorname{lm}\left(\left(z^{*}-a\right) / b\right)>0$. Let $r_{k}$ be some zero of $p$. Then

(Notice that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(z^{*}-a\right) / b\right)>0$ since $z^{*}$ is not in $H$ and
$-\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{k}-a\right) / b\right) \geq 0$ since $r_{k}$ is in H.) The imaginary parts of reciprocal numbers have opposite signs, so $\operatorname{Im}\left(b /\left(z^{*}-r_{k}\right)\right)<0$.

## The Lucas Theorem (continued 1)

Proof (continued). Suppose the half-plane $H$ that contains all the zeros of $p(z)$ is described by $\operatorname{Im}((z-a) / b) \leq 0$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{1}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0, \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{2}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0, \ldots, \operatorname{Im}\left(\left(r_{n}-a\right) / b\right) \leq 0
$$

Now let $z^{*}$ be some number not in $H$. We want to show that $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$ (this will mean that all the zeros of $p^{\prime}(z)$ are in $H$ ). Well, $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(z^{*}-a\right) / b\right)>0$. Let $r_{k}$ be some zero of $p$. Then
$\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{z^{*}-r_{k}}{b}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{z^{*}-a-r_{k}+a}{b}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{z^{*}-a}{b}\right)-\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{r_{k}-a}{b}\right)>0$.
(Notice that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\left(z^{*}-a\right) / b\right)>0$ since $z^{*}$ is not in $H$ and $-\operatorname{lm}\left(\left(r_{k}-a\right) / b\right) \geq 0$ since $r_{k}$ is in H.) The imaginary parts of reciprocal numbers have opposite signs, so $\operatorname{Im}\left(b /\left(z^{*}-r_{k}\right)\right)<0$.

## The Lucas Theorem (continued 2)

## Theorem

The Lucas Theorem (1874). If all the zeros of a polynomial $p$ lie in a half-plane in the complex plane, then all the zeros of the derivative $p^{\prime}$ lie in the same half-plane.

## Proof (continued). Recall

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\frac{1}{z-r_{1}}+\frac{1}{z-r_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{z-r_{n}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z-r_{k}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (1),


So $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) / p\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$ and $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$. Therefore if $p^{\prime}(z)=0$ then
$z \in H$.

## The Lucas Theorem (continued 2)

## Theorem

The Lucas Theorem (1874). If all the zeros of a polynomial $p$ lie in a half-plane in the complex plane, then all the zeros of the derivative $p^{\prime}$ lie in the same half-plane.

## Proof (continued). Recall

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}=\frac{1}{z-r_{1}}+\frac{1}{z-r_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{1}{z-r_{n}}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{z-r_{k}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (1),

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{b p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right)}{p\left(z^{*}\right)}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{b}{z^{*}-r_{k}}\right)<0 .
$$

So $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) / p\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$ and $p^{\prime}\left(z^{*}\right) \neq 0$. Therefore if $p^{\prime}(z)=0$ then $z \in H$.

## Corollary to The Lucas Theorem

Note. With repeated application of the Lucas Theorem, we can prove the following corollary.

Corollary
The convex polygon in the complex plane which contains all the zeros of a polynomial $p$ also contains all the zeros of $p^{\prime}$.

Note. For example, we might have zeros of $p$ and its derivatives as follows.

## Corollary to The Lucas Theorem

Note. With repeated application of the Lucas Theorem, we can prove the following corollary.

Corollary
The convex polygon in the complex plane which contains all the zeros of a polynomial $p$ also contains all the zeros of $p^{\prime}$.

Note. For example, we might have zeros of $p$ and its derivatives as follows. . .
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## The Eneström-Kakeya Theorem



Gustav Eneström (1852-1923)
Sōichi Kakeya (1886-1947)

## Eneström-Kakeya Theorem

Note. Gustav Eneström while studying the theory of pensions was the first to publish a result concerning the location of the zeros of a polynomial with monotone, real, nonnegative coefficients. He published his work in 1893 in Swedish. Sōichi Kakeya published a similar result in 1912 in English.

## Theorem

Eneström-Kakeya Theorem. If $p(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with coefficients satisfying $0 \leq a_{0} \leq a_{1} \leq \cdots \leq a_{n}$, then all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

## Eneström-Kakeya Theorem

Note. Gustav Eneström while studying the theory of pensions was the first to publish a result concerning the location of the zeros of a polynomial with monotone, real, nonnegative coefficients. He published his work in 1893 in Swedish. Sōichi Kakeya published a similar result in 1912 in English.

## Theorem

Eneström-Kakeya Theorem. If $p(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with coefficients satisfying $0 \leq a_{0} \leq a_{1} \leq \cdots \leq a_{n}$, then all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

## Eneström-Kakeya Theorem

Proof. Define $f$ by the equation
$p(z)(1-z)=a_{0}+\left(a_{1}-a_{0}\right) z+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right) z^{2}+\cdots+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right) z^{n}-a_{n} z^{n+1}=$ $f(z)-a_{n} z^{n+1}$. Then for $|z|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| & \leq\left|a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{1}-a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{2}-a_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right| \\
& =a_{0}+\left(a_{1}-a_{0}\right)+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right)=a_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the function $z^{n} f(1 / z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(a_{j}-a_{j-1}\right) z^{n-j}$ (where we take $a_{-1}=0$ ) has the same bound on $|z|=1$ as $f$. Namely, $\left|z^{n} f(1 / z)\right| \leq a_{n}$ for $|z|=1$. Since $z^{n} f(1 / z)$ is analytic in $|z| \leq 1$, we have $\left|z^{n} f(1 / z)\right| \leq a_{n}$ for $|z| \leq 1$ by the Maximum Modulus Theorem. Hence, $|f(1 / z)| \leq a_{n} /|z|^{n}$ for $|z| \leq 1$.

## Eneström-Kakeya Theorem

Proof. Define $f$ by the equation
$p(z)(1-z)=a_{0}+\left(a_{1}-a_{0}\right) z+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right) z^{2}+\cdots+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right) z^{n}-a_{n} z^{n+1}=$ $f(z)-a_{n} z^{n+1}$. Then for $|z|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| & \leq\left|a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{1}-a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{2}-a_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right| \\
& =a_{0}+\left(a_{1}-a_{0}\right)+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right)=a_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the function $z^{n} f(1 / z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(a_{j}-a_{j-1}\right) z^{n-j}$ (where we take $a_{-1}=0$ ) has the same bound on $|z|=1$ as $f$. Namely, $\left|z^{n} f(1 / z)\right| \leq a_{n}$ for $|z|=1$. Since $z^{n} f(1 / z)$ is analytic in $|z| \leq 1$, we have $\left|z^{n} f(1 / z)\right| \leq a_{n}$ for $|z| \leq 1$ by the Maximum Modulus Theorem. Hence, $|f(1 / z)| \leq a_{n} /|z|^{n}$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Replacing $z$ with $1 / z$, we see that $|f(z)| \leq a_{n} z^{n}$ for $|z| \geq 1$, and making use of this we get, $|(1-z) p(z)|=\left|f(z)-a_{n} z^{n+1}\right| \geq$ $a_{n}|z|^{n+1}-|f(z)| \geq a_{n}|z|^{n+1}-a_{n}|z|^{n}=a_{n}|z|^{n}(|z|-1)$. So if $|z|>1$ then $(1-z) p(z) \neq 0$. Therefore, all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

## Eneström-Kakeya Theorem

Proof. Define $f$ by the equation
$p(z)(1-z)=a_{0}+\left(a_{1}-a_{0}\right) z+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right) z^{2}+\cdots+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right) z^{n}-a_{n} z^{n+1}=$ $f(z)-a_{n} z^{n+1}$. Then for $|z|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| & \leq\left|a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{1}-a_{0}\right|+\left|a_{2}-a_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right| \\
& =a_{0}+\left(a_{1}-a_{0}\right)+\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(a_{n}-a_{n-1}\right)=a_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the function $z^{n} f(1 / z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(a_{j}-a_{j-1}\right) z^{n-j}$ (where we take $a_{-1}=0$ ) has the same bound on $|z|=1$ as $f$. Namely, $\left|z^{n} f(1 / z)\right| \leq a_{n}$ for $|z|=1$. Since $z^{n} f(1 / z)$ is analytic in $|z| \leq 1$, we have $\left|z^{n} f(1 / z)\right| \leq a_{n}$ for $|z| \leq 1$ by the Maximum Modulus Theorem. Hence, $|f(1 / z)| \leq a_{n} /|z|^{n}$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Replacing $z$ with $1 / z$, we see that $|f(z)| \leq a_{n} z^{n}$ for $|z| \geq 1$, and making use of this we get, $|(1-z) p(z)|=\left|f(z)-a_{n} z^{n+1}\right| \geq$ $a_{n}|z|^{n+1}-|f(z)| \geq a_{n}|z|^{n+1}-a_{n}|z|^{n}=a_{n}|z|^{n}(|z|-1)$. So if $|z|>1$ then $(1-z) p(z) \neq 0$. Therefore, all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

## Eneström-Kakeya Theorem Related Results

Theorem. (Joyal, Labell, Rahman 1967) If $p(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ with real coefficients satisfying $a_{0} \leq a_{1} \leq \cdots \leq a_{n}$, then all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq\left(a_{n}-a_{0}+\left|a_{0}\right|\right) /\left|a_{n}\right|$.

Theorem. (Gardner, Govil 1994) If $p(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$, where $\operatorname{Re}\left(a_{j}\right)=\alpha_{j}$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left(a_{j}\right)=\beta_{j}$ for $j=0,1,2, \ldots, n$. If

$$
\alpha_{0} \leq \alpha_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n} \text { and } \beta_{0} \leq \beta_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{n},
$$

then all the zeros of $p$ lie in $|z| \leq\left(\left|a_{0}\right|-\left(\alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}\right)+\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}\right)\right) /\left|a_{n}\right|$.

ETSU Students with Papers in This Area: Atif Abueida (2007), Jiencheng Cao (2003, 2004), Ty Frazier ("in the year 2525").

## Number of Zeros Results



My Hero, Zero (January 13, 1973)

## Titchmarsh's Number of Zeros Theorem

Jensen's Formula. (From Conway's Function's of One Complex Variable I, page 280.)
Let $f$ be an analytic function on a region containing $\bar{B}(0 ; R)$ and suppose that $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are the zeros of $f$ in $B(0, R)$, repeated according to multiplicity. If $f(0) \neq 0$ then

$$
\log |f(0)|=-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \frac{R}{\left|a_{k}\right|}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|f\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta
$$

Titchmarsh's Number of Zeros Theorem. (Titchmarsh's The Theory of Functions, page 171.)
Let $f$ be analytic in $|z|<R$. Let $|f(z)| \leq M$ in the disk $|z| \leq R$ and suppose $f(0) \neq 0$. Then for $0<\delta<1$ the number of zeros of $f(z)$ in the disk $|z| \leq \delta R$ is less than


## Titchmarsh's Number of Zeros Theorem

Jensen's Formula. (From Conway's Function's of One Complex Variable I, page 280.)
Let $f$ be an analytic function on a region containing $\bar{B}(0 ; R)$ and suppose that $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are the zeros of $f$ in $B(0, R)$, repeated according to multiplicity. If $f(0) \neq 0$ then

$$
\log |f(0)|=-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \frac{R}{\left|a_{k}\right|}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|f\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta
$$

Titchmarsh's Number of Zeros Theorem. (Titchmarsh's The Theory of Functions, page 171.)
Let $f$ be analytic in $|z|<R$. Let $|f(z)| \leq M$ in the disk $|z| \leq R$ and suppose $f(0) \neq 0$. Then for $0<\delta<1$ the number of zeros of $f(z)$ in the disk $|z| \leq \delta R$ is less than

$$
\frac{1}{\log 1 / \delta} \log \frac{M}{|f(0)|}
$$

## Titchmarsh's Theorem, Proof

Proof. Let $f$ have $n$ zeros in the disk $|z| \leq \delta R$, say $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Then for $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have $\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \delta R$, or $\frac{R}{\left|a_{k}\right|} \geq \frac{1}{\delta}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \frac{R}{\left|a_{k}\right|}=\log \frac{R}{\left|a_{1}\right|}+\log \frac{R}{\left|a_{2}\right|}+\cdots+\log \frac{R}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \geq n \log \frac{1}{\delta} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Jensen's Formula, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \frac{R}{\left|a_{k}\right|} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|f\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta-\log |f(0)| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log M d \theta-\log |f(0)| \\
& =\log M-\log |f(0)| \\
& =\log \frac{M}{|f(0)|} \cdot \quad(* *) \tag{**}
\end{align*}
$$

## Titchmarsh's Theorem, Proof

Proof. Let $f$ have $n$ zeros in the disk $|z| \leq \delta R$, say $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Then for $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have $\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \delta R$, or $\frac{R}{\left|a_{k}\right|} \geq \frac{1}{\delta}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \frac{R}{\left|a_{k}\right|}=\log \frac{R}{\left|a_{1}\right|}+\log \frac{R}{\left|a_{2}\right|}+\cdots+\log \frac{R}{\left|a_{n}\right|} \geq n \log \frac{1}{\delta} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Jensen's Formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \frac{R}{\left|a_{k}\right|} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|f\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta-\log |f(0)| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log M d \theta-\log |f(0)| \\
& =\log M-\log |f(0)| \\
& =\log \frac{M}{|f(0)|} \cdot \quad(* *)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining ( $*$ ) and ( $* *$ ) gives. . .

## Titchmarsh's Theorem, Proof

Titchmarsh's Number of Zeros Theorem. (Titchmarsh's The Theory of Functions, page 171.)
Let $f$ be analytic in $|z|<R$. Let $|f(z)| \leq M$ in the disk $|z| \leq R$ and suppose $f(0) \neq 0$. Then for $0<\delta<1$ the number of zeros of $f(z)$ in the disk $|z| \leq \delta R$ is less than

$$
\frac{1}{\log 1 / \delta} \log \frac{M}{|f(0)|}
$$

Proof. ... Combining (*) and ( $* *$ ) gives

$$
n \log \frac{1}{\delta} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \log \frac{R}{\left|a_{k}\right|} \leq \log \frac{M}{|f(0)|}
$$

or

$$
n \leq \frac{1}{\log 1 / \delta} \log \frac{M}{|f(0)|}
$$

Since $n$ is the number of zeros of $f$ in $|z| \leq \delta R$, the result follows.

## Number of Zeros, Students

## Theorem

Pukhta 2011. Let $p(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ be such that $\left|\arg \left(a_{j}\right)-\beta\right| \leq \alpha \leq \pi / 2$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ and some real $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and

$$
0<\left|a_{0}\right| \leq\left|a_{1}\right| \leq\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \cdots \leq\left|a_{n-1}\right| \leq\left|a_{n}\right| .
$$

Then the number of zeros of $p$ in $|z| \leq \delta, 0 \leq \delta<1$, does not exceed

$$
\frac{1}{\log 1 / \delta} \log \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|(\cos \alpha+\sin \alpha+1)+2 \sin \alpha \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left|a_{j}\right|}{\left|a_{0}\right|} .
$$

ETSU Students with Papers in This Area: Brett Shields $(2013,2015)$, Derrick Bryant (2016).

## Rate of Growth Results



Sergei Bernstein (1880-1968)

## Application of the Maximum Modulus Theorem

Theorem
Rate of Growth, Bernstein. If $p$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ such that $|p(z)| \leq M$ on $|z|=1$, then for $R \geq 1$ we have

$$
\max _{|z|=R}|p(z)| \leq M R^{n} .
$$

Proof. For $p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$ we have $r(z)=z^{n} p(1 / z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{n-k}$ Notice that for $|z|=1$ (and $1 / z=\bar{z}$ ) we have $\|r\|=\|p\|$ where $\|p\|=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$. By the Maximum Modulus Theorem, for $|z| \leq 1$ we have $|r(z)| \leq\|r\|=\|p\| \leq M$. That is, $\left|z^{n} p(1 / z)\right| \leq M$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Replacing $z$ with $1 / z$, we have $\left|\left(1 / z^{n}\right) p(z)\right| \leq M$ for $|z| \geq 1$, or $|p(z)| \leq M|z|^{n}$ for $|z| \geq 1$.

## Application of the Maximum Modulus Theorem

Theorem
Rate of Growth, Bernstein. If $p$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ such that $|p(z)| \leq M$ on $|z|=1$, then for $R \geq 1$ we have

$$
\max _{|z|=R}|p(z)| \leq M R^{n}
$$

Proof. For $p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{k}$ we have $r(z)=z^{n} p(1 / z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} z^{n-k}$.
Notice that for $|z|=1$ (and $1 / z=\bar{z}$ ) we have $\|r\|=\|p\|$ where $\|p\|=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$. By the Maximum Modulus Theorem, for $|z| \leq 1$ we have $|r(z)| \leq\|r\|=\|p\| \leq M$. That is, $\left|z^{n} p(1 / z)\right| \leq M$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Replacing $z$ with $1 / z$, we have $\left|\left(1 / z^{n}\right) p(z)\right| \leq M$ for $|z| \geq 1$, or $|p(z)| \leq M|z|^{n}$ for $|z| \geq 1$.

## Ankeny and Rivlin, Students

## Theorem

Ankeny and Rivlin, 1955. If $p$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ such that $p(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$ and $|p(z)| \leq M$ on $|z|=1$, then for $R \geq 1$ we have

$$
\max _{|z|=R}|p(z)| \leq \frac{R^{n}+1}{2} M .
$$

Theorem
Aziz and Dawood, 1988. If $p$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ such that $p(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$ and $|p(z)| \leq M$ on $|z|=1$, then for $|z|=R \geq 1$ we have

$$
\max _{|z|=R}|p(z)| \leq \frac{R^{n}+1}{2} M-\frac{R^{n}-1}{2} \min _{|z|=1}|p(z)| .
$$

ETSU Students with Papers in This Area: Amy Weems (2004, 2004).

## Bernstein's Inequality



Sergei Bernstein (1880-1968)

Definition. For a polynomial $p$, define the norm $\|p\|=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$. This is sometimes called the "sup norm" or "infinity norm" denoted $\|p\|_{\infty}$.

Note. Bernstein's Inequality in the complex setting states: "If $p$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, then $\left\|p^{\prime}\right\| \leq n\|p\|$. Equality holds if and only if $p(z)=\lambda z^{n}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$."

Note. Bernstein's original result (in 1926) concerned trigonometric polynomials, which are of the form $\sum_{v=-n}^{n} a_{v} e^{i v \theta}$. The version presented here is a special case of Bernstein's general result. There is a lengthy history of the so-called "Bernstein's Inequality" (there is also a different result in statistics with the same name).

Definition. For a polynomial $p$, define the norm $\|p\|=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$. This is sometimes called the "sup norm" or "infinity norm" denoted $\|p\|_{\infty}$.

Note. Bernstein's Inequality in the complex setting states: "If $p$ is a polynomial of degree $n$, then $\left\|p^{\prime}\right\| \leq n\|p\|$. Equality holds if and only if $p(z)=\lambda z^{n}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$."

Note. Bernstein's original result (in 1926) concerned trigonometric polynomials, which are of the form $\sum_{v=-n}^{n} a_{v} e^{i v \theta}$. The version presented here is a special case of Bernstein's general result. There is a lengthy history of the so-called "Bernstein's Inequality" (there is also a different result in statistics with the same name).

## Lemma

Let $p$ and $q$ be polynomials such that (i) $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq 1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. Then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

Proof. Define $f(z)=p(z) / q(z)$. Then $f$ is analytic on $|z|>1,|f(z)| \leq 1$ for $|z|=1$, and $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|f(z)| \leq 1$. So by the Maximum Modulus Principle for Unbounded Domains,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq 1 \text { for }|z| \geq 1 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma

Let $p$ and $q$ be polynomials such that (i) $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq 1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. Then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

Proof. Define $f(z)=p(z) / q(z)$. Then $f$ is analytic on $|z|>1,|f(z)| \leq 1$ for $|z|=1$, and $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|f(z)| \leq 1$. So by the Maximum Modulus Principle for Unbounded Domains,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq 1 \text { for }|z| \geq 1 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $|\lambda|>1$ and define polynomial $g(z)=p(z)-\lambda q(z)$. If $g\left(z_{0}\right)=p\left(z_{0}\right)-\lambda q\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ and if $q\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$ then
$\left|p\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=|\lambda|\left|q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>\left|q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|$. Therefore $\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|p\left(z_{0}\right) / q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>1$ and
so $\left|z_{0}\right|<1$ by $(*)$. Now if $q\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, then $\left|z_{0}\right| \leq 1$ and it could be that
$\left|z_{0}\right|=1$ in which case $p\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ and $g\left(z_{0}\right)=0$. So all zeros of $g$ lie in
$|z| \leq 1$.

## Lemma

Let $p$ and $q$ be polynomials such that (i) $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq 1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. Then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

Proof. Define $f(z)=p(z) / q(z)$. Then $f$ is analytic on $|z|>1,|f(z)| \leq 1$ for $|z|=1$, and $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|f(z)| \leq 1$. So by the Maximum Modulus Principle for Unbounded Domains,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq 1 \text { for }|z| \geq 1 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $|\lambda|>1$ and define polynomial $g(z)=p(z)-\lambda q(z)$. If $g\left(z_{0}\right)=p\left(z_{0}\right)-\lambda q\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ and if $q\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$ then $\left|p\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=|\lambda|\left|q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>\left|q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|$. Therefore $\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\left|p\left(z_{0}\right) / q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>1$ and so $\left|z_{0}\right|<1$ by $(*)$. Now if $q\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, then $\left|z_{0}\right| \leq 1$ and it could be that $\left|z_{0}\right|=1$ in which case $p\left(z_{0}\right)=0$ and $g\left(z_{0}\right)=0$. So all zeros of $g$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

Lemma
Let $p$ and $q$ be polynomials such that (i) $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq 1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. Then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

Proof (continued). By Lucas' Theorem, $g^{\prime}$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$. So for no $|\lambda|>1$ is $g^{\prime}(z)=p^{\prime}(z)-\lambda q^{\prime}(z)=0$ where $|z|>1$; or in other words, $p^{\prime}(z) / q^{\prime}(z)=\lambda$ where $|\lambda|>1$ has no solution in $|z|>1$. Hence $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|>1$. By taking limits, we have $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z| \geq 1$, and the result follows.

Lemma
Let $p$ and $q$ be polynomials such that (i) $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq 1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. Then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

Proof (continued). By Lucas' Theorem, $g^{\prime}$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$. So for no $|\lambda|>1$ is $g^{\prime}(z)=p^{\prime}(z)-\lambda q^{\prime}(z)=0$ where $|z|>1$; or in other words, $p^{\prime}(z) / q^{\prime}(z)=\lambda$ where $|\lambda|>1$ has no solution in $|z|>1$. Hence $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|>1$. By taking limits, we have $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z| \geq 1$, and the result follows.

Note. This lemma as stated was proved by Bernstein. A generalization was proven where " $|z|<1$ " is replaced with a convex domain $D$ and " $|z|=1$ " is replaced with the boundary of $D, \partial D$.

## Lemma

Let $p$ and $q$ be polynomials such that (i) $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq 1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|$ for $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. Then $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

Proof (continued). By Lucas' Theorem, $g^{\prime}$ has all its zeros in $|z| \leq 1$. So for no $|\lambda|>1$ is $g^{\prime}(z)=p^{\prime}(z)-\lambda q^{\prime}(z)=0$ where $|z|>1$; or in other words, $p^{\prime}(z) / q^{\prime}(z)=\lambda$ where $|\lambda|>1$ has no solution in $|z|>1$. Hence $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|>1$. By taking limits, we have $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z| \geq 1$, and the result follows.

Note. This lemma as stated was proved by Bernstein. A generalization was proven where " $|z|<1$ " is replaced with a convex domain $D$ and " $|z|=1$ " is replaced with the boundary of $D, \partial D$.

## Bernstein's Inequality

Theorem
Bernstein's Inequality. Let $p$ be a polynomial of degree $n$. Then

$$
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq n \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|
$$

Proof. Let $M=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$ and define $q(z)=M z^{n}$. Then (i) $|p(z)| \leq R^{n} M$ for $|z|=R$ by Bernstein's Rate of Growth Theorem, and so $\lim _{|z|=R \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty}\left(R^{n} M\right) /\left(R^{n} M\right)=1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|=M$ on $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. So, by the lemma, $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$.

## Bernstein's Inequality

## Theorem

Bernstein's Inequality. Let $p$ be a polynomial of degree $n$. Then

$$
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq n \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|
$$

Proof. Let $M=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$ and define $q(z)=M z^{n}$. Then
(i) $|p(z)| \leq R^{n} M$ for $|z|=R$ by Bernstein's Rate of Growth Theorem, and so $\lim _{|z|=R \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty}\left(R^{n} M\right) /\left(R^{n} M\right)=1$, (ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|=M$ on $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$. So, by the lemma, $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$. This implies that


## Bernstein's Inequality

## Theorem

Bernstein's Inequality. Let $p$ be a polynomial of degree $n$. Then

$$
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq n \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|
$$

Proof. Let $M=\max _{|z|=1}|p(z)|$ and define $q(z)=M z^{n}$. Then
(i) $|p(z)| \leq R^{n} M$ for $|z|=R$ by Bernstein's Rate of Growth Theorem, and so $\lim _{|z|=R \rightarrow \infty}|p(z) / q(z)| \leq \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty}\left(R^{n} M\right) /\left(R^{n} M\right)=1$,
(ii) $|p(z)| \leq|q(z)|=M$ on $|z|=1$, and (iii) all zeros of $q$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$.

So, by the lemma, $\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for $|z|=1$. This implies that

$$
\max _{|z|=1}\left|p^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \max _{|z|=1}\left|q^{\prime}(z)\right|=\max _{|z|=1}\left|n M z^{n-1}\right|=n M=n \max _{|z|=1}|p(z)| .
$$

Theorem
Erdös-Lax Theorem 1944. Let $p$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ where $p(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$. Then $\left\|p^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{n}{2}\|p(z)\|_{\infty}$.

Theorem
de Bruijn's Theorem 1947. Let $p$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ where $p(z) \neq 0$ for $|z|<1$. Then for $1 \leq \delta \leq \infty$,

$$
\left\|p^{\prime}\right\|_{\delta} \leq \frac{n}{\|1+z\|_{\delta}}\|p\|_{\delta}
$$

where $\|p\|_{\delta}=\left\{\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|p\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{\delta} d \theta\right\}^{1 / \delta}$ for $1 \leq \delta<\infty$.

ETSU Students with Papers in This Area: Amy Weems (1998).

## lliev-Sendov Conjecture



Ljubomir Iliev (1913-2000)


Blagovest Sendov (1932- )

## Iliev-Sendov Conjecture

Note. The conjecture explored now is known variously as the lliev Conjecture, the lliev-Sendov Conjecture, and the Sendov Conjecture (making it particularly difficult to search for papers on the subject). It was originally posed by Bulgarian mathematician Blagovest Sendov in 1958 (according to some references; sometimes the year 1962 is given), but often attributed to lliev because of a reference in Hayman's Research Problems in Function Theory in 1967. To muddle things further, sometimes "lliev" is spelled "Ilieff."

Conjecture. The Iliev-Sendov Conjecture.
If all the zeros of a polynomial $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$ and if $r$ is a zero of $p$, then there is a zero of $p^{\prime}$ in the circle $|z-r| \leq 1$.

## Iliev-Sendov Conjecture

Note. The conjecture explored now is known variously as the lliev Conjecture, the lliev-Sendov Conjecture, and the Sendov Conjecture (making it particularly difficult to search for papers on the subject). It was originally posed by Bulgarian mathematician Blagovest Sendov in 1958 (according to some references; sometimes the year 1962 is given), but often attributed to lliev because of a reference in Hayman's Research Problems in Function Theory in 1967. To muddle things further, sometimes "lliev" is spelled "Ilieff."

Conjecture. The Iliev-Sendov Conjecture.
If all the zeros of a polynomial $p$ lie in $|z| \leq 1$ and if $r$ is a zero of $p$, then there is a zero of $p^{\prime}$ in the circle $|z-r| \leq 1$.

## lliev-Sendov Conjecture Picture

Note. Combining the Iliev-Sendov Conjecture with Corollary 3, we can further restrict the conjectured location of the critical points of $p$.



## Iliev-Sendov Conjecture Special Cases

Note. According to a paper by Michael Miller (2008), there have been over 80 papers written on the conjecture. As a result, it has be demonstrated in many special cases. Some of the special cases are:
(1) 3rd and 4th degree polynomials (Rubenstein 1968),
(2) 5th degree polynomials (Meir and Sharma 1969),
(3) polynomials having a root of modulus 1 (Rubenstein 1968, Schmeisser 1969),
(9) polynomials with real and non-positive coefficients (Schmeisser 1971),
(3) polynomials with at most three distinct zeros (Cohen and Smith 1988, Saff and Twomey 1971),
(0) polynomials with at most six distinct zeros (Borcea 1996),
(1) polynomials of degree less than or equal to 6 (Brown 1991),
(8) polynomials of degree less than or equal to 8 (Brown 1999), and
(0) the circle $|z-r| \leq 1.08331641$ (Bojanov, Rahman, Szynal 1985).

## Goodman-Rahman-Ratti Conjecture

Note. A common approach to proving a difficult conjecture is to prove something even more restrictive than the conjecture, and then the conjecture falls as a corollary. In 1969, Goodman, Rahman, and Ratti (and independently Schmiesser in 1969) conjectured that the Iliev-Sendov Conjecture could be modified to the claim that (with the notation above) the region $|z-r / 2| \leq 1-|r| / 2$ must contain a zero of $p^{\prime}$. This is the blue region here:


## Goodman-Rahman-Ratti Conjecture, continued

Note. However, this conjecture is not true as shown by Micheal Miller in 1990. The following eighth degree polynomial violates the Goodman, Rahman, Ratti Conjecture:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(z)= & (z-0.8)\left(z^{7}+1.241776468 z^{6}+1.504033112 z^{5}+1.702664563 z^{4}\right. \\
& \left.+1.702664563 z^{3}+1.504033112 z^{2}+1.241776468 z+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Miller also found degree 6, 10, and 12 polynomials violating the new conjecture.

## Complex Analysis 1 in Fall 2017!!!
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